The Royal Commission reserves the right not to publish or to redact (black out) parts of the transcripts for legal, privacy and/or safety reasons or if the Royal Commission otherwise considers it appropriate to do so.

VICTORIAN ROYAL COMMISSION INTO FAMILY VIOLENCE

MELBOURNE

TUESDAY, 11 AUGUST 2015

(17th day of hearing)

BEFORE:

THE HONOURABLE M. NEAVE AO - Commissioner MS P. FAULKNER AO - Deputy Commissioner MR T. NICHOLSON - Deputy Commissioner

.DTI CORPORATION AUSTRALIA PTY LTD. 4/190 Queen Street, Melbourne.

Telephone: 8628 5555 Facsimile: 9642 5185 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: As I have said on a number of previous
 occasions, the functions of the Royal Commission can be
 performed by one or more Commissioners separately. Today
 we are sitting two Commissioners, as Patricia Faulkner
 cannot be present. Mr Nicholson has something to say as
 well.

7 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: Yes. Before we commence I wish to state that I am Executive Director at the Brotherhood 8 9 of St Laurence. This morning we have Gabriel Aleksandrs giving evidence. He is a social worker and employee with 10 11 the Brotherhood of St Laurence. In that capacity he has 12 no direct reporting relationship with me. I don't believe that my role of Executive Director at the Brotherhood is 13 in conflict with my role as Commissioner this morning. 14 15 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you, Ms Davidson.

16 MS DAVIDSON: Thank you. Commissioners, the definition of "family violence" is broad and there is a diverse range of 17 18 victims. Intimate partner violence does not just occur between heterosexual couples. While women are more likely 19 to be victims of intimate partner violence, there are also 20 male victims and female perpetrators. Intimate partner 21 22 violence can occur within gay and lesbian relationships. There are also different experiences of family violence 23 24 within different cultural groups and additional barriers to receiving help for victims. 25

Family violence is not limited to intimate partner violence. It includes elder abuse as well as violence by a child, including an adult child against a parent. These areas of violence have been the subject of much less research, but can clearly involve both male and female victims and male and female perpetrators. In

2520

today's hearing we will explore the different experiences of family violence in these diverse groups, what services are available for victims and perpetrators and the barriers to accessing support and services.

We will be having five panel sessions today 5 exploring these issues. Firstly, for LGBTIO communities; 6 7 that is, lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex and queer communities. We will then have a panel session in 8 relation to people with disabilities. We will then have a 9 session in relation to older people, including those who 10 11 experience what is termed elder abuse. We will have a panel session after the lunch break in relation to what 12 13 are often called CALD communities, which means culturally and linguistically diverse communities. Finally, we will 14 hear evidence in relation to men as victims of family 15 16 violence.

The first session, as I say, will be LGBTIQ 17 communities, and for convenience we will use the acronym 18 rather than the full name on each occasion, and 19 Mr Moshinsky will lead the evidence in that case. 20 21 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: I think you might have omitted to mention 22 the very last witness, am I right, and our very last witness will be Superintendent Charles Allen from the 23 24 Victoria Police who will be discussing some of the police responses to this. 25

26 MS DAVIDSON: To all of those issues, yes.

27 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you.

- 28 MR MOSHINSKY: The first panel comprises Dr Philomena Horsley, 29 Anna Brown and Gabriel Aleksandrs. If they could please 30 come forward to the witness stand.
- 31 <ANNA SHELLY BROWN, affirmed and examined:

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission 2521

MS DAVIDSON

<PHILOMENA ANNE HORSLEY, affirmed and examined:</pre> 1 <GABRIEL ALEKSANDRS, affirmed and examined: 2 MR MOSHINSKY: Could I start with you, Ms Brown. Could you 3 4 please state what your current position is and give us a brief outline of your professional background? 5 6 MS BROWN: I am currently for this purpose the co-convenor of 7 the Victorian Gay and Lesbian Rights Lobby. That's a voluntary organisation. So, my professional current role 8 is at the Human Rights Law Centre as Director of Advocacy 9 and Strategic Litigation and I previously worked as a 10 11 commercial litigator and also in government as a lawyer and as an adviser to a minister. 12 13 MR MOSHINSKY: Thank you. Have you prepared, together with Sean Mulcahy, a witness statement for the Royal 14 15 Commission? MS BROWN: Yes, I have. 16 MR MOSHINSKY: Are the contents of the witness statement true 17 and correct? 18 19 MS BROWN: Yes, they are. 20 MR MOSHINSKY: Attached to your statement is the submission 21 made by the Victorian Gay and Lesbian Rights Lobby to the Royal Commission? 22 MS BROWN: Yes, that's right . 23 24 MR MOSHINSKY: Thank you. Dr Horsley, can I turn to you. Could you please outline for the Commission what your 25 26 current positions are, and I note you have a number of 27 academic posts, and also give an overview of your 28 professional background? 29 DR HORSLEY: Certainly. I am a Research Fellow and a Senior 30 Trainer at Gay and Lesbian Health Victoria and at the 31 Australian Research Centre in Sex, Health and Society

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

which is part of La Trobe University. I also hold a
 position as an Honorary Senior Research Fellow and
 Sessional Lecturer at the University of Melbourne, and in
 that position I lecture on gender and violence, sexual
 violence and gender and health.

6 MR MOSHINSKY: Thank you. You referred to Gay and Lesbian
7 Health Victoria. Could you just give us a brief summary
8 of what that organisation is?

9 DR HORSLEY: Gay and Lesbian Health Victoria was funded by the State Department of Health around 13 years ago now. It 10 11 was funded in order to do a range of things to improve the 12 health and wellbeing of LGBTI Victorians. So we conduct 13 research, both state and national research. We run training through a range of health and community sectors 14 15 and run a number of programs such as the Safe Schools 16 program of Victoria. So we are across a range of areas, 17 including training, research, resource development and advocacy in terms of policy to government. 18

MR MOSHINSKY: Apart from the things you have already mentioned, in terms of your work over the years have you also dealt with issues relating to people with

22 disabilities?

23 DR HORSLEY: Yes, since the 1980s I've held a position - I'm 24 working specifically around the sexual rights and the 25 sexual assault experiences of people with intellectual disabilities in Victoria when I was based at Family 26 27 Planning Victoria. Since then I have maintained a 28 long-term interest in that particular area. I'm currently 29 a member of the duty of care committee of Scope Victoria, 30 also the Human Rights Ethics Committee and I provide input to a number of projects, including one at Women With 31

2523

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 Disabilities Victoria on the issues around gender and 2 disability. 3 MR MOSHINSKY: Have you prepared a statement for the Royal 4 Commission? DR HORSLEY: I have. 5 MR MOSHINSKY: Attached to that statement is a submission that 6 7 you provided to the Royal Commission on behalf of Gay and Lesbian Health Victoria? 8 DR HORSLEY: That's right, yes. 9 MR MOSHINSKY: Are the contents of your witness statement true 10 11 and correct? 12 DR HORSLEY: Yes, they are. 13 MR MOSHINSKY: Thank you. Could I then turn to you, Mr Aleksandrs. Could you please outline what your current 14 15 positions are and give us an overview of your professional 16 background? MR ALEKSANDRS: Yes, certainly. Currently I work as a social 17 worker at the Brotherhood of St Laurence in aged care, 18 retirement and community programs. I work specifically 19 20 with people who are homeless or at risk of homelessness, 21 many of whom have experienced family violence or are 22 experiencing current family violence. Some of them also experience violence from carers and various other family 23 members or families of choice. 24 25 I also work as a consultant in the area of community services and have a keen interest in the area of 26 27 family violence and particularly the health and wellbeing of LGBTIQ communities, and I have been a long-term 28 29 committee member of Transgender Victoria and I recently 30 completed my final term with them, which is a transgender 31 advocacy organisation.

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 MR MOSHINSKY: Thank you. You have prepared a statement for 2 the Royal Commission? MR ALEKSANDRS: Yes, I have. 3 4 MR MOSHINSKY: Are the contents of your statement true and 5 correct? 6 MR ALEKSANDRS: Yes, they are. 7 MR MOSHINSKY: Attached to your statement is the submission put forward to the Royal Commission jointly by No To Violence 8 and Safe Steps. 9 MR ALEKSANDRS: Yes. 10 11 MR MOSHINSKY: You were one of the co-authors of that 12 submission? MR ALEKSANDRS: That's correct, yes. 13 MR MOSHINSKY: Can you tell us a little bit about what brought 14 about that submission coming into existence? 15 MR ALEKSANDRS: Sure. I suppose I have a perspective of a 16 person who has worked in the family violence sector as 17 well as being a member of the LGBTIQ community. When 18 I first worked in the family violence sector I noticed 19 20 there was a strong desire by services to treat everybody 21 equally and that there was a real sort of pride, I suppose, in sort of addressing a diverse range of 22 communities in the service provisions. 23 24 What I experienced as part of the LGBTIQ community on a personal level was very sort of different 25 to that. So I saw a lot of people who were in my 26 27 community who had experienced family violence or 28 relationship violence and they were hesitant to actually use the services and the sort of services that I had 29 30 worked at and worked with. That sort of held a curiosity 31 for me over the years after I had ceased working in the

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

family violence sector and I guess I was interested to 1 know how things had flowed over the years. It seemed to 2 me that things hadn't really changed. I'd originally 3 4 worked in the sector in 2003 and, yes, prior to approaching No To Violence with some of these issues 5 6 I couldn't really see that a lot had changed that was 7 being reflected back to me in the community. So I spoke to Safe Steps and No To Violence about those issues and we 8 started to go from there on ideas about how to work and 9 address those issues. 10

11 MR MOSHINSKY: The submission has two parts to it. Could you 12 just outline what the difference is between the two parts? 13 MR ALEKSANDRS: Sure. So the first part of the paper is more or less an overview of some of the recent research, 14 available research. There is not a whole lot of research, 15 really, and I will let Philomena sort of discuss a lot of 16 that. I just wanted to have a bit of an overview of some 17 of the issues that have been raised so far. So, that's 18 the part 1. Then part 2 is a consultation that we 19 undertook, Tanya Phillips and I, just going through some 20 21 of these issues with both LGBTIQ organisations, community run organisation, and also some mainstream family violence 22 services as well. 23

24 MR MOSHINSKY: Thank you. I should just note, in terms of use of language, in my questions to the panel I will be 25 referring to LGBTIQ people or LGBTI people, and I don't 26 27 want it to be assumed that the experiences of different 28 groups within that acronym are necessarily the same. So, 29 even though as a matter of convenience I may express a 30 question in that way, please feel free in the way you are 31 answering the questions to point out differences that may

2526

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission 1 exist between different groups.

The first topic I would like to ask the panel about is what do we know about the prevalence of family violence in relation to LGBTI people. Dr Horsley, could I start with you and direct you to your submission at pages 3 to 4 and ask you to explain to the Commission what we know at the moment about prevalence of family violence among LGBTI people?

9 DR HORSLEY: It is important to note there is very little data
10 available in Australia in terms of this issue, both in
11 terms of domestic violence specifically and family
12 violence more broadly as it affects the LGBTI populations.

13 We do know from our own national research that one in three LGBTI Australians have reported being in a 14 relationship that was abusive and in our 15 Victorian-specific study that figure was essentially the 16 same. This pretty much accords with the limited 17 international research that exists and that in essence 18 seems to be saying at least this abuse or violence within 19 intimate partner relationships specifically exists at at 20 21 least the same level, if not a slightly higher level, than the heterosexual family violence sector has indicated. 22 So we are looking at a situation where it is very similar, if 23 not worse in terms of its prevalence. 24

25 MR MOSHINSKY: On page 3, about two-thirds of the way down, you
26 refer to a Victorian study from 2008.

27 DR HORSLEY: Yes.

- 28 MR MOSHINSKY: Is that the most recent data that we really have
 29 about the position in Victoria?
- 30 DR HORSLEY: The most recent would be our Private Lives 2
 31 study, so that was published in 2014. Because these are

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission broad studies, the issue around in general LGBTI population's experience of violence and then being able to drill down in terms of specifically intimate partner violence or family violence, it's fairly general and raw, but certainly those two projects and a number of others have accorded with that.

7 I might add that in terms of transgender people, even though they are included in our research, the numbers 8 are not sufficient to be able to give necessarily specific 9 data on that. But certainly international data, in 10 11 particular a Scottish study that was done just a couple of 12 years ago, certainly indicates that it is very high levels 13 for the transgender community. Our national and state research certainly indicates that, in terms of overall 14 15 experiences of violence, both transgender men and 16 transgender women experience higher levels of violence overall in their lives than people who identify as 17 lesbian, gay or bisexual. 18

MR MOSHINSKY: Further down on page 3 you refer to homicide statistics. What do we know about homicide rates in this area?

22 DR HORSLEY: The Australian Institute of Criminology just last 23 year published I think the first analysis of the gender of both victim and offender in terms of domestic homicides. 24 25 They indicate that in 2 per cent of cases these have 26 involved same sex couples, but they also indicate that 27 this would be underreporting, that in many cases the gender of both offender and victim are not necessarily 28 29 identified. So they are suspecting that it would quite 30 possibly be higher than that.

31 MR MOSHINSKY: Ms Brown, in your submission, and I am referring

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 2528 BROWN/HORSLEY/ALEKSANDRS XN Royal Commission BY MR MOSHINSKY to the page numbers across the top of the page, at pages 6 to 7 you refer to some data available about statistics. Do you wish to add anything to what Dr Horsley has said in terms of what we know about the prevalence of family violence among LGBTI communities?

6 MS BROWN: No, I think Philomena has really covered it quite 7 well and I guess the main point to make is the lack of 8 data, that we know it's the same if not worse for the 9 various communities, but those different communities have 10 very different needs and experiences and we need to better 11 understand what those are.

MR MOSHINSKY: One of the points that you make, and this is further up on page 6, I think is that family violence in LGBTI communities often manifests itself in different ways. I was wondering if you might be able to speak to that briefly?

MS BROWN: Sure. Page 6. I think I might have a differentpage.

In the third line where you say "Other examples 19 MR MOSHINSKY: 20 could include" and then there is a list of examples. 21 MS BROWN: No, I have a different page. I think I have a 22 different version, sorry, Mark. Okay. This is covered 23 also in the other submissions as well, but there's 24 obviously unique circumstances in LGBTI couples, for want of a better expression, and particularly the emotional or 25 psychological abuse that is used includes threatening to 26 27 out a partner to family or friends. That's outing as a 28 lesbian, gay or bisexual person, outing as a trans person 29 or as an intersex person.

30 They can tell a partner that they will lose31 custody of children as a result of being outed, using

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

homophobia, transphobia as a tool of control, so they will 1 tell their partner, "You'll be unable to access a police 2 or justice service or other support service because the 3 4 system is homophobic or transphobic." We can see that partners tell the other partner that they deserve the 5 violence or abuse because they are LGBTI. 6 They will tell 7 their partner they're not a real lesbian, gay or bisexual They might threaten to disclose HIV status and 8 person. 9 they might hide or withhold or stop a partner from taking medication or treatment such as hormones or HIV and, in 10 11 the case of transgender people, as the GLHV submission 12 covers, it can also include deliberate misgendering or 13 withdrawing affirmation of that person's gender identity as a man, a woman or indeed a non-binary gender. 14 MR MOSHINSKY: Can I invite the other members of the panel to 15 16 comment about different ways in which family violence may manifest itself among LGBTI people? 17 DR HORSLEY: I think one of the key things is it is often more 18 difficult to recognise domestic violence and family 19 20 violence from the perspective of being the victim or indeed the offender, that because the language has been 21 extensively relating only to heterosexual couples or in 22

fact families that are all heterosexual, there is an invisibility and exclusion over this whole issue so people don't necessarily have the vocabulary or the sense of recognition around the dynamics that occur.

27 So particularly for victims it's more difficult, 28 as Anna said, to really name or recognise what's happening 29 to them. That in a sense makes it more difficult to 30 leave, both as Anna said because of increasing isolation 31 or we know that particularly older LGBTI people are much

2530

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 more isolated than other people of their age, they are 2 less likely to have networks, and so having that 3 opportunity even to seek support around friendship 4 networks.

Because it's also such an invisible issue within 5 the community there's a high level of shame associated 6 7 with it. So in the anecdotes and the stories that we hear it's very common for friends not to be aware at all of 8 9 same sex violence that is occurring, which can be a little bit different to the heterosexual community where often 10 11 women's friends are aware of abuse that may be occurring, 12 and certainly in the case of men in same sex relationships 13 it would be almost impossible for friends to identify because it's seen so much as a woman-specific issue. 14

I think the other issues that come out from both 15 16 our comments is the cumulative impact of violence, prejudice and discrimination throughout the lives of 17 people who identify as LGBTI means that sometimes that 18 absolute accumulation of violence and its impact on 19 20 psychological health, for instance we know that LGBTI 21 people have higher rates of depression and anxiety and 22 mental illness overall, combined with the social isolation means that the experience of violence just becomes part of 23 24 a spectrum of experience of violence and abuse or negative reactions within the whole life of a person. 25 So it 26 becomes - it's almost less distinguishable for many people 27 and certainly leads to other issues around services which we will come to. 28

29 MR MOSHINSKY: Mr Aleksandrs, do you wish to comment on 30 different ways family violence may manifest itself among 31 LGBTI people?

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 2531 BROWN/HORSLEY/ALEKSANDRS XN Royal Commission BY MR MOSHINSKY MR ALEKSANDRS: Yes, certainly. I think the issue with trying to identify those things is trying to access more disaggregated data where we are going to individual communities and speaking with them. I think also the issues that both Philomena and Anna have touched on in terms of the research and the difficulties of gaining figures.

One thing that you could add there, apart from 8 9 the overlap between hate crimes and family violence, is you are collecting data on very marginalised communities 10 11 and you really need to, I suppose, consult with the 12 communities as well first before you even go about 13 collecting the data to see what the most appropriate ways are and most respectful ways are of collecting the 14 information to start off with. 15

16 One example that was raised with me was through an intersex organisation most recently who were raising 17 18 the issue of medical interventions on people's bodies and normalising of intersex bodies, so to speak, by the 19 medical profession, which wasn't a consensual arrangement 20 21 with intersex people. Some intersex people have experienced medical intervention like that as a form of 22 family violence, that there has been sort of a coercion of 23 24 family and doctors to normalise them.

25 Certainly if you are talking about family 26 violence and people accessing a family violence service 27 where they are already quite traumatised, then it's very 28 important to consider those sorts of things in the way 29 that you would be working with somebody.

30 MR MOSHINSKY: Can I take up a point that I think each of you
31 makes in your submissions, which is a particular situation

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

which is violence perpetrated by other family members.
 I wonder if, perhaps starting with you, Dr Horsley, you
 might be able to speak to that issue?

4 DR HORSLEY: I think it's a really important issue and it's often hidden behind the focus on intimate partner 5 violence. Certainly in our broad national and state 6 7 research, as well as our specific research that's involved young people aged 14 to 21 in Australia over a period of 8 18 years, it's fairly clear that young people face a 9 significant degree of homophobia within the family. That 10 11 can include from parents and certainly around one in five young people indicated that that had occurred, and that 12 13 includes significant physical assaults like broken jaws, being locked in rooms by parents and being told to kill 14 themselves "or else we'll kill you instead". So, it's not 15 16 surprising then that within that family violence context young people we know who identify as not heterosexual are 17 18 overrepresented among the homeless population of young people aged 12 to 20 in Victoria. 19

20 At the other end of the spectrum, of course, we 21 have older people who, as we know and we will hear, elder abuse is a significant issue in the community generally, 22 but older people who identify as LGBT or I have had five 23 24 or six decades of a lifelong experience of exclusion, violence, non-recognition of relationships and so on, and 25 26 very often are disconnected or alienated from biological 27 family members. Therefore, when it comes to a situation 28 where they are older, they are more frail and they are 29 more dependent, those opportunities for family members to 30 exploit financially, to abuse physically and generally make life very difficult come to the fore because there is 31

2533

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1

2

often a residual anger among family members, whether they are adult children or whether they are siblings.

As we have all said, those issues play out quite 3 4 differently whether it's young people or old people, and certainly people with other diversities as well. 5 MR MOSHINSKY: Ms Brown, would you like to comment on that 6 7 point of other family members perpetuating violence? MS BROWN: I guess I really just want to affirm everything that 8 9 Philomena has said about the difficulties faced by young people in the home, whether that's as a young lesbian, 10 11 gay, bisexual person or a gender questioning person and the links between those issues and the rates of 12 13 homelessness amongst LGBTI youth, with the limited data available they still establish. So it has flow-on 14 15 consequences for other parts of the system as well. So 16 I think we really do need to desperately understand and better address those issues for LGBTI youth specifically. 17 MR ALEKSANDRS: Could I also add too that the non-biological 18 family as well, that due to so many people being estranged 19 20 from their biological family they will often seek support 21 from friends or kinships and non-biological families, so to speak, and the violence can also happen in those 22 situations or in the relationship. So, if they 23 24 experience, if the LGBTIQ person is experiencing violence in their intimate relationship or relationships, then they 25 26 may have limited resources to turn to within their own 27 biological family to seek support. So that, sort of coupled with the whole lack of access to so many family 28 29 violence services, really does make people incredibly 30 vulnerable in that sense.

31 MR MOSHINSKY: Dr Horsley, did you want to add anything?

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 2534 BROWN/HORSLEY/ALEKSANDRS XN Royal Commission BY MR MOSHINSKY

DR HORSLEY: I just wanted to add the particular issues around 1 diversity within diversity. We know from broad research 2 done by La Trobe University, the Australian study of human 3 relationships, that communities that are more recently 4 arrived from other countries, communities in rural 5 6 settings, are much more conservative and negative in their 7 attitude to homosexuality. So therefore we hear, and again very under-researched, that there are specific 8 issues around physical safety as well as emotional abuse 9 for LGBTI people who come from CALD communities and 10 certainly from those in rural settings where the negative 11 attitudes can be hostile to the point of actually being 12 life-threatening in some very conservative religious or 13 cultural settings. 14

15 MS BROWN: Then that obviously compounds the difficulties in actually accessing services. They have this extra 16 vulnerability and then also an additional barrier to 17 accessing services as well, so it is particularly acute. 18 MR MOSHINSKY: Can I now ask you to address the sort of model 19 20 or definition of "family violence" and the way that is 21 often approached. Dr Horsley, in your submission at pages 6 to 7, down the bottom of page 6 you refer to, after the 22 heading, "The predominant often exclusive explanatory 23 24 models of family violence". Could you expand on that for the Commission, this issue of how we define or what model 25 we use for family violence? 26

27 DR HORSLEY: I think the predominant approach to family 28 violence and domestic violence particularly in Australia, 29 from a Commonwealth policy level right through to state 30 institutions, is very much of a gender based one using the 31 Duluth model, which is essentially looking at issues

2535

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 around the inequality between men and women. We know
2 internationally that is a very, very important and key and
3 the main factor in terms of what promotes or what allows
4 violence against women.

5 The problem with that model is that it is pretty 6 much focused only on a notion of gender being 7 relationships between men and women or standard gender 8 relationships within family settings, and it doesn't allow 9 for the greater complexity of what is at play in terms of 10 family violence generally and domestic violence 11 specifically.

12 So we know that issues around homophobia and 13 transphobia are also really strong factors. We know issues around socio and economic status, that issues 14 around mental health, drug and alcohol use are all part of 15 16 the interplay. But I think for the purposes of these kind of community discussions and policy the focus very much 17 tends to be on gender inequality and the effect of that is 18 that it renders invisible same sex relationships. 19 Ιt 20 suggests that of course there can't be violence in a same 21 sex relationship because two women or two men are equal and that leaves people with nowhere to go, as I mentioned 22 earlier, in terms of understanding family violence or 23 24 domestic violence. It leaves people who are trans or intersex nowhere to go in terms of fitting into that model 25 that's both a service delivery model, but it is also a 26 27 policy framework at both a state and a Commonwealth level.

So, I guess what we are suggesting is that both from the broader framework of policy, but also drilling right down to community understandings of what constitutes family violence and domestic violence, we really need to

2536

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

actually look in more detail at the intersections of 1 2 things that are at play there. For instance, disability. We know that people who are LGBTI have the same proportion 3 4 of people living with disability. We know that people with disability generally, particularly women, are much 5 more at risk of domestic violence and family violence 6 7 broadly. So, when you add in an issue around sexuality or gender identity, you are actually potentially really 8 magnifying the risk for those communities, and 9 particularly then also we have issues around disadvantage, 10 11 low education, all those kinds of things that we know have 12 an impact.

13 So I guess what we are saying is it's a useful 14 model to start with, but when we are approaching policy, 15 when we are approaching service delivery and when we are 16 approaching community education programs we need to open 17 up those definitions and allow the diversity and the 18 diversity within diversity to be acknowledged and made 19 visible.

20 MR MOSHINSKY: Are you advocating that we need to broaden the 21 model? Are you also advocating that we need to change 22 definitions in the Act or is the focus more on the model 23 and the way we address matters such as policy, service 24 delivery and community education?

DR HORSLEY: I think it needs to happen across the board. I think one of the key things that leads us to better understand violence is recognising inequality and dependence as key factors which make people vulnerable, but also allow people to render violence against others with some impunity. So that issue around inequality of course can be gender based, but it also is based on other

2537

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

socioeconomic and demographic factors such as disability,
 class, ethnicity and so on.

So I think it's important to keep the focus on 3 4 women to a significant degree because we know women are the people who predominantly suffer, and of course 5 children who witness violence if they are present, but we 6 7 also need to allow for the fact that the violence and the perpetration of it and the experience of it is filtered 8 through a range of prisms. Gender of course is one, but 9 there are other factors that create inequality or 10 11 vulnerability to violence.

12 MR MOSHINSKY: Ms Brown, could I invite you to comment on the 13 breadth of the issue of family violence and also whether the current definition in the Act is broad enough? 14 MS BROWN: We had a look at the current definition in our 15 submission, and this is in the Act, sorry, and the 16 17 definition appears to be expansive and non-exhaustive and in fact gender neutral, so we are quite happy with it from 18 that respect because it appears to be inclusive of LGBTI 19 20 relationships and families.

21 But I'd agree with everything that Philomena says about the need to broaden the way we look at this issue 22 and, without losing that focus on the gendered nature of 23 24 much of intimate partner violence and the experiences of women, we still need to be inclusive of the diversity 25 that's existing within our society. So that's incredibly 26 27 important. I think given the legislation appears to be okay, the policy framework and the way that we deliver 28 29 programs is utterly critical.

30 MR MOSHINSKY: Mr Aleksandrs, this is also a point you make in 31 your submission. Do you wish to add any comments?

2538

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

MR ALEKSANDRS: Yes, I think it's reflective down to the 1 service provision level, that the definition of "family 2 violence" is very much around a heterosexual experience of 3 4 that violence. There's always been - through the hard work of many family violence agencies there's been a very 5 clear establishment of a good, solid gender analysis of 6 7 violence and I would agree that, yes, a large proportion, the highest proportion of people experiencing family 8 violence are women. 9

I think, though, as Philomena has pointed out, a 10 11 large part of feminist analysis also looks at inequality 12 as an issue and, given that the LGBTIQ communities are so 13 marginalised, that that fits within that analysis and I think there's room for that. I think the gendered 14 spaces that the heterosexual view of family violence has 15 16 sort of created for refuge and for support I think has made it very difficult for the LGBTIQ communities. 17 MR MOSHINSKY: Can I turn then to the topic of barriers to 18 people seeking access and support, access to services but 19 20 also support and assistance. Just starting sort of at a 21 broad level, what are some of the barriers that exist? Perhaps if I could start with you, Dr Horsley. At page 6 22 of your submission you raise some of these issues. Would 23 you be able to speak to that issue? 24

DR HORSLEY: Certainly. I think at an individual level the very basic barriers are people experiencing violence thinking, "What is happening to me? Where can I go? Who can I trust?" And that kind of opens up a whole range of issues. We know, for instance, that concealment of people's sexuality and gender identity is habitual in the LGBTI community. At least 50 per cent, so half of people

2539

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

really generally or occasionally conceal their identity
 when accessing services. That becomes a habitual thing
 because of the fear of negative responses.

That is underpinned in many ways by fundamental distrust of services and what reception people might receive, whether a service will be appropriate, whether a service will be educated enough about the specific needs of LGBTI people, and do they appear open and welcoming, and does the model even fit.

So, for instance, a gay man who is experiencing 10 11 significant physical abuse in a relationship, he may be 12 thinking, "Okay, this is getting serious. Where do I go? 13 What do I do?" But then the whole discourse around domestic violence is about a system that provides refuge 14 15 and support for women. So, in that sense he can feel absolutely stifled or unable to actually think about where 16 he could go, including whether it's safe to approach the 17 18 police, let alone other services that specifically signify themselves as providing family violence services. 19

20 So, there are issues there around individuals 21 being able to identify services. Then at the other end is I think the services generally in family violence, but 22 also in broader mainstream areas such as aged care 23 24 services, community health and so on, are moving towards a recognition that there are these barriers for LGBTI people 25 to access services, but also feeling unsure or unconfident 26 27 about how they might do that: what do they need to do, 28 what would be appropriate or inappropriate, and even does 29 the particular service model even fit the needs of that 30 community?

31

So we have barriers at the individual level or

2540

the friendship network of somebody in terms of where can we go, what would be safe, appropriate and so on, and then at the service level there are barriers there in terms of their lack of preparedness or information or skill level around dealing with these particular issues.

6 MR MOSHINSKY: Would either of the other panel wish to speak to 7 this issue of barriers?

MR ALEKSANDRS: Yes. Certainly resourcing has been coming up 8 throughout the Royal Commission and also definitely was an 9 issue when we spoke to family violence services and also 10 11 to an extent the LGBTIO agencies during our consultations. 12 To a degree there was a hesitancy from agencies to start 13 to, I quess, promote a response to LGBTIQ intimate partner violence and family violence, and this was due in part to 14 I guess a lack of faith that there will be enough 15 resources and capability within those agencies to meet the 16 17 needs of the increase of people attending or wanting to use their services. 18

19 So, yes, you have that sort of push and pull 20 going on, and then the LGBTIQ community sort of don't know 21 enough about the pathways to those services as well, so 22 that came up for us.

23 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Ms Brown?

MS BROWN: I agree with everything that's been said and would also add that I think the stigma and discrimination that LGBTI people face, an apprehension of experiencing that in the service provider context, is a very real factor as well, as well as I guess the general perception that these organisations, as well as the risk of discrimination, just simply won't understand their needs.

31 Some of the responses to the Coming Forward

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 2541 BROWN/HORSLEY/ALEKSANDRS XN Royal Commission BY MR MOSHINSKY survey cited heterosexism as a barrier and fear of hetero male ridicule or having gender history revealed or just embarrassment and ignorance among service providers. That is not just the sector, but also police and justice support agencies as well. I mean, I could go on for a while.

7 We talked specifically around regional and rural area issues and we do have some very limited specialist 8 LGBTI providers or mainstream providers with some LGBTI 9 understanding and competency in the metro areas, very, 10 11 very limited as set out in the submissions. But this 12 obviously is deeply lacking when it comes to regional and 13 rural Victoria and also in those areas that's coupled with the real likelihood of higher rates of discrimination, 14 15 homophobia and transphobia and more likely that LGBTI 16 people will be in the closet and fearful of seeking help. So those experiences are very much compounded in those 17 geographical areas. Obviously we can look at on-line or 18 more remote delivery of services from those metro areas, 19 20 but this is still quite difficult.

21 We also raise in our submission particular issues around faith based or religious service providers, and 22 that is that the law in Victoria has exemptions for those 23 providers when it comes to discrimination and, whether or 24 not those providers do discriminate, the LGBTI people 25 still fear the risk of discrimination and that is a very 26 27 real barrier, in addition to all those other barriers we 28 mentioned, in their ability or their willingness to access those services. 29

30 So we would strongly recommend that in this forum 31 we explore possible amendments to discrimination laws and

2542

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission limiting or removing those religious exemptions when it comes to these service providers, but indeed any service provider that's delivering services to vulnerable communities.

5 MR MOSHINSKY: Just on that point, do we have information 6 available which indicates when faith based organisations 7 are providing services to victims of family violence, 8 whether that exemption is being utilised to deprive LGBTI 9 people of services?

MS BROWN: No real data. We have anecdotal stories from 10 11 people, particularly, for instance, transgender women, 12 that have experienced difficulties and we know from work 13 we did around federal discrimination reforms that LGBTI people experience discrimination from faith based 14 15 providers in a whole range of settings. So I would assume 16 that family violence is also there as a potential area of concern, but I don't have any concrete data on that. 17

I think a really important point to make is that it's the fear of discrimination. Even if faith based providers are doing the right thing, and I think more than often they are, it's that fear and apprehension that will stop someone from accessing those services or indeed disclosing the nature of their relationship and getting the help that they need.

25 MR MOSHINSKY: Mr Aleksandrs, in your submission you have 26 investigated service provision and gaps?

27 MR ALEKSANDRS: Yes.

28 MR MOSHINSKY: And in particular at page 32 of the submission, 29 where you set out the key findings down the bottom of that 30 page, you summarise the service delivery and gaps. Could 31 you outline for the Commission, based on your work, what

2543

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

are some of the examples of gaps in the system where there
 aren't services available for LGBTIQ people?
 MR ALEKSANDRS: My page is slightly different to yours as well.
 MR MOSHINSKY: It's the section 1.2 "Key findings" and the
 second bullet point is "Service delivery and gaps".
 MR ALEKSANDRS: Sure. One thing that really came up for us was

7 people not knowing where to send LGBTIQ people for crisis housing in the incidence of family violence, particularly 8 for transwomen and also gay men and intersex people. 9 Although there are housing services and homelessness 10 11 services, there are very few available in a crisis model 12 that weren't sort of a kind of gendered form of 13 accommodation, which obviously would make it quite difficult for an intersex person or a trans person if it 14 15 is about how you are perceived.

16 Then I guess the other thing, too, is that although some LGBTIQ people knew that there were family 17 violence services available, they were like, "Well, it'll 18 all depend on how I'm seen on the day and what staff 19 20 member I'm going to deal with." I suppose it is a very 21 valid concern. There was response from the family violence agencies that we spoke with saying that they 22 didn't really have a specific policy and procedure for 23 24 LGBTIQ people attending the service. There were some procedures and policies for one agency and sort of some 25 26 starting to be implemented for a second, but then the 27 thing was that everybody again was sort of lumped into the 28 one acronym and there wasn't really an awareness of what 29 the differences in service delivery might be for each 30 person under that umbrella.

Certainly another service gap was sort of even

2544

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

31

during the processes of intake where people are sort of first accessing the service and there is no sort of discussions around relationship diversity or gender diversity or staff might not even know an intersex person would possibly come into the service, that was definitely putting people off.

We also did hear from some organisations in the LGBTI community stories about people sort of seeking support with police, also incidents in the courts where intersex status and transgender status became the focus of the issue rather than the violence itself, and that was something that was quite distressing for some people, feeling, "I have to come out in that sort of situation".

There is also no systematic referral for LGBTIQ 14 15 people. A lot of family violence agencies might have 16 lesbian in their overarching diversity strategy, but what we found is that some of the LGBTIQ organisations that we 17 18 spoke with, who are very underresourced and literally run by volunteers, had had LGBT people referred to them from 19 family violence services. So some of those were only 20 21 operating in a volunteer phone line capacity and so the lesbian status or homosexuality of the client was the 22 focus rather than the family violence issue and the LGBTIQ 23 24 community didn't have the resources obviously to deal with that. They don't have social workers there and child 25 26 protection workers and that sort of thing. So they were 27 like, "Well, why are we getting it?"

28 So we sort of discovered there was this full 29 circle of people just going from one to the other. 30 Obviously those people, those victims and perpetrators, 31 too, maybe, are going to give up along the way and fall

2545

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

back out through the cracks of the system.

1

2 MR MOSHINSKY: Are there any organisations that provide 3 specifically services for LGBTIQ people who are victims of 4 family violence?

5 MR ALEKSANDRS: There were minimal services. There's one 6 behaviour change program. But in terms of - - -7 MR MOSHINSKY: I will come to that in a moment, perhaps, but 8 just in terms of victims at the moment, are there any 9 specific organisations in existence?

10 MR ALEKSANDRS: There was one that existed at one stage a 11 couple of years ago and then no one really knows what's 12 happened with that particular service. I have spoken to 13 people who have worked in the said service and they have 14 actually told me that they need more help understanding 15 the issues because it's been so long since they promoted 16 their service that way.

That gets down to another issue that was raised 17 for us as well, which is that a lot of family violence 18 services were saying, "Well, we hire lots of lesbian 19 20 women, so that means our staff are going to be quite 21 cognisant of the issues and so forth." Knowing how small 22 the community can be, I would sort of reflect and be 23 concerned that at some stages staff will know victims or know perpetrators as well. So, that's one side of it. 24

But also it just means that, like I experienced with this particular service who was meant to be a focused lesbian service, that this sort of really depends on the staff there and their awareness of the issues and how willing they are to sort of take it up, but there wasn't anything that was kind of really consistent and built in. MR MOSHINSKY: So then, just picking up the behaviour change

2546

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

point, what, if anything, is available for those who have used violence who may be from the LGBTIQ community? Are there any programs available?

4 MR ALEKSANDRS: There is one that has recommenced after a bit There isn't really a built-in perpetrators 5 of a hiatus. 6 program model for LGBTIO people. The behaviour change 7 program that I'm aware of also is just for gay men, so it doesn't cover everybody under the acronym, so to speak. 8 So, it's extremely, extremely limited and it's a metro 9 program, so there again we go and reflect on what people 10 11 outside of the inner city might have.

Definitely individual counselling is an option, but then we miss I suppose the sorts of contexts and understanding of family violence that Philomena has already raised in terms of the inequalities and sort of how to respond to that kind of offending and perpetrating of violence.

18 MR MOSHINSKY: Can I invite the other panel to comment on what 19 are the main gaps in terms of what supports or services 20 are currently available?

21 DR HORSLEY: I think there are really significant gaps in terms of specific focus on the diversity of the needs within the 22 23 LGBTI population, and then there are broader gaps. So 24 I think we kind of recognise that there are family violence specific services, but then there's a whole outer 25 connected range of services such as social workers at 26 27 hospitals, counsellors at community health centres, people in the aged care sector who pick up a lot of domestic 28 29 violence and family violence related areas.

30 So, we have no specific services really beyond 31 temporary and ephemeral, and then within the family

2547

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

violence specific services I think it would be very much seen and is very much seen as an optional extra if there is time and if there are resources, as opposed to an approach where we are all Victorian citizens, we are all entitled to services of equal access and of equal quality, and that just seems to be not on the table for a whole range of complicated reasons, I understand that.

Then there's the issue around the broader 8 9 awareness. For instance, the last 18 months I have spent around Victoria running training in the aged care sector 10 around LGBTI inclusiveness, and that's a sector that 11 12 people would regard as fairly conservative and certainly had not a lot of access to this kind of inclusive practice 13 approach. That's really been taken up and people have 14 15 actually gone, "Wow, I'm more aware now than I was 16 before." We have certainly done a lot of work around community health centre staff, drug and alcohol staff, 17 mental health staff such as the services for young people. 18

So I think it's a process of integrating those, 19 20 greater awareness among the broader support services in 21 the mainstream and then also bringing that awareness and 22 integrating that awareness within family violence or 23 domestic violence specific services. But it requires 24 leadership from the government and it also requires 25 resourcing of the sector, because I think nobody who has any knowledge of this area would be unaware of the fact 26 27 that this is an area that is significantly underresourced generally in the community and we need a whole range of 28 29 services to meet the current needs and the developing 30 needs. But within that there has to be an integration of 31 understanding of the needs of people who are sexually

2548

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 diverse or gender diverse.

2 It's just a basic human right to be able to 3 access services that will support you and ensure your 4 safety or in fact, if you are an offender who wants to 5 change, that will support your desire to change your 6 behaviour.

7 MR MOSHINSKY: Can I ask each of the panel to reflect on this question. What direction should we be moving in? You 8 9 have outlined very, very significant gaps in terms of supports and services. Should we be moving to a model 10 11 where the existing family violence sector organisations 12 broaden their reach to include services and supports for 13 LGBTI people or should we be looking at specialised services and supports which are dedicated towards LGBTI 14 people? Do you have reflections on that issue? 15 16 DR HORSLEY: I'm sure there will be a range of views,

hopefully, and that would be healthy, because I think what 17 we have seen in terms of other sectors such as drug and 18 alcohol and mental health, you need some specific services 19 20 where possible, but the key ultimate aim is always to have 21 accessibility everywhere, in all mainstream services. You 22 need services that are welcoming, that are well informed 23 and that are absolutely inclusive with all of the 24 integrity in the way those services operate, because 25 specific services will never meet a need.

They may in fact play a role in being able to capture data that will really add to our very limited knowledge in this area, so in that sense they would be very useful. For some people who will never trust mainstream services, they will be essential, but there is a problem then around where you roll them out, as we have

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission 2549 BROWN/HORSLEY/ALEKSANDRS XN

1

indicated, and how you roll them out.

2 So I think the key thing is to actually start 3 consulting with the community, start having those 4 conversations, funding more research, so that we can 5 really drill down to a better sense of what the service 6 needs are in a specific sense and how those needs could be 7 met by a combination of specific services and broader 8 general services.

9 MR MOSHINSKY: Other reflections from the panel?
10 MR ALEKSANDRS: I think that, looking at the issue of family
11 violence and then looking at the experiences of LGBTIQ

people, I think that the thing is no one really owns all of the expertise in this particular space. The LGBTIQ community to me are struggling with both understanding the issues and responding to the issues. It's an early discussion, I think, in the community itself, as we have already raised.

I think the LGBTI community really needs the 18 expertise of social workers, counsellors, child protection 19 20 workers, courts, police. The sector has been operating 21 for a long time and there are some very skilled workers in 22 there, but obviously the sector itself and some of the 23 related services that come into contact with family violence, as Philomena has just mentioned, some of those 24 service providers are really struggling with understanding 25 26 the specific situations of LGBTIQ people.

27 So it would be a great benefit if government 28 could support the collaboration and working together of 29 both sides, so to speak, even though we know it is diverse 30 within the acronym, but just to make it simple, from both 31 sides and just sort of start it. Also from how are you

2550

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

going to collect the data and do the research 1 appropriately? When you are developing your policy 2 frameworks and any legislative tools, who is on your 3 4 reference groups and your committees and is the government also supporting, I suppose, those agencies or the 5 6 organisations from the LGBTIO communities who are very 7 grassroots? Are they being supported and resourced to be able to do all these consultations and be part of these 8 9 processes because they are all very volunteer run and very So there needs to be some respect there too 10 stretched. offered to the community. Then hopefully that will 11 increase the possibilities of a really healthy dialogue. 12

13 I think we are on the cusp of this. There are a lot of things that can be done through just simply a 14 15 consultative process and using an integrated model. We 16 have had regional family violence networks running for a long time where we've got sort of all these agencies 17 getting together and sharing ideas, and I think if the 18 LGBTIO communities are involved in those sorts of things 19 20 that would be really beneficial. So, that's where I think 21 the possibilities for a more positive future in this area 22 lie.

MR MOSHINSKY: Ms Brown, did you wish to comment on that? 23 24 MS BROWN: I agree with everything that's been said, really, 25 and would just emphasise the points that have been made already about the need for research and data to inform 26 27 this process going forward and for collaboration between 28 the LGBTI community and the expertise that's there, also 29 recognising that we lack expertise as communities and we 30 particularly lack resources and funding. Whether it's 31 Transgender Victoria or the Gay and Lesbian Rights Lobby

2551

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission or some other body, most of the organisations in the LGBTI sector are completely volunteer run and this presents real struggles if we are going to grapple with these issues properly and it is a challenge for government to work out how to do that properly.

I would also like to emphasise in our submission 6 7 we recommended specialist organisations and services and LGBTI inclusive, working towards LGBTI inclusive 8 mainstream services as well, so I completely agree with 9 what Philomena said, and I think Gay and Lesbian Health 10 11 Victoria has developed some really good tools and training around LGBTI inclusion, including the Rainbow Tick that's 12 13 referenced in their submission which could be a model for government and for service providers to look to. 14 So 15 there's lots of positive progress and I think learnings 16 that we can build on, but obviously there's a need for a lot of work in this space. 17

18 MR MOSHINSKY: I might at this point see whether the

Commissioners have any questions they wish to ask.
 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: No, we don't have any questions.

21 MR MOSHINSKY: I might then take up another couple of questions
22 with the panel.

23 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Yes.

MR MOSHINSKY: One of the points that's raised in some of the submissions is the prevention programs directed at the population at large relating to family violence. I was wondering whether any of you wish to comment on that and whether they should be looked at differently?
DR HORSLEY: I think we need a combination of approaches.

I think the larger community based programs, whether it's
 Respectful Relationships programs in schools or in

2552

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

sporting clubs or whether it's broader, television ads and broader kind of education of the community, it needs to kind of start framing family violence and domestic violence in broader ways. I know this is a challenge in terms of those kinds of programs, but nevertheless it's a really key aspect of it.

7 Secondly, I think we really need targeted community education of the LGBTI community. We really 8 have had very little, if anything, in terms of helping the 9 community grapple with the issues, to even find the words 10 to name it within our community, to recognise the 11 struggles and the kind of barriers around naming it, and 12 then recognising that we lack even basic information 13 around referrals. If we're a friend of someone and we're 14 15 worried about them or they're fleeing an abusive relationship, how can we as friends or workmates of LGBTI 16 17 people actually assist in that process.

18 So, I think it's a combination of things. It is 19 to actually open up the conversation more broadly in the 20 general community, but also to target our community so 21 that we have an opportunity to learn more, to actually see 22 that it really relates to us, to the lives of our friends, our workmates, our partners, our children, and bring to 23 life a conversation. It will be a difficult conversation 24 because I think it's surrounded by secrecy and shame, but 25 it has to happen and it has to happen soon, and through 26 27 that process we really are starting to then spread that 28 information and knowledge in terms of the services sector, whether it's maternal and child health services, hospitals 29 or family or domestic violence specific services. 30

31 MR MOSHINSKY: Do either of the other panel wish to add

.DTI:MB/SK	11/08/15	2553	BROWN/HORSLEY/ALEKSANDRS XN
Royal Commission			BY MR MOSHINSKY

1

anything on that point?

MR ALEKSANDRS: Certainly I agree with everything Philomena has 2 3 raised there, and most definitely not just approaching the 4 mainstream awareness programs and also creating, like, campaigns aimed at the community, but definitely a 5 structured program perhaps with educative workshops for 6 7 people that are running the LGBTIQ organisations and community groups. There's a lot of also support groups 8 out there where family violence has come up and I have 9 been made aware of a few instances where support groups in 10 11 the LGBTIQ community have been floundering around how to 12 respond to an intervention order when it came up amongst 13 one of their members, and also sort of the difficulties that people have as well in terms of discussing the issue. 14

As a social worker I have been quite alarmed 15 16 sometimes when I have seen the responses, for instance, on the internet around alleged offences and I suppose I have 17 been alarmed around that because I have been concerned for 18 the victims, the impact that it might have on a victim's 19 20 legal recourse because people have been naming and shaming 21 on the internet and also maybe compromising victims' 22 safety.

23 So I think there needs to be an awareness within 24 LGBTIQ organisations about what they can do, what intervention orders mean, how people are meant to be 25 26 responding to them, how to take them out, that sort of 27 thing. I think that's a really fundamental thing that 28 could be learned for those people because they are still 29 getting it - because there's going to be this gap between 30 when the services do step up their culturally appropriate 31 service delivery to these communities, there will be some

2554

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 time passing before that's fully implemented and rolling. So the community in the meantime kind of has to juggle a 2 lot too. So I think it would be good to train both. 3 4 DR HORSLEY: Could I just add to that because we haven't really mentioned very specifically the justice system, but I do 5 want to acknowledge the leadership of Ken Lay in terms of 6 7 bringing this issue to the fore in VicPol and the fact that we are on a couple of committees with VicPol, the 8 9 LGBTI Portfolio Reference Group and the External Education Advisory Group which is providing education for both 10 11 current and new police. I think that's been a really key 12 and supportive initiative on the part of VicPol.

13 I think it still leaves the issue around prosecutors and magistrates and the need for education 14 15 there. We certainly hear of stories where people have had 16 very negative experiences with lawyers who have not taken 17 their experience of violence seriously, and certainly in the court system it's been seen as a trivial issue. 18 I think that those areas, they are moving quite 19 considerably with VicPol, but I think the broader justice 20 21 system also needs some education and training around these 22 issues.

MS BROWN: I agree with all that's been said, and would also 23 24 add on the social marketing and awareness campaign front that obviously there's been invisibility of LGBTI people 25 26 in those campaigns and it's not to say that we necessarily 27 lose the gender focus, as we discussed earlier, but just having some visibility would be useful and send the 28 29 message to LGBTI people that this is an issue that speaks 30 to them.

31 Then, in addition obviously we need to do work

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission within the LGBTI community and there should be targeted programs and initiatives for the community, not only around family violence and domestic violence, but we made the point in our submission that campaigns targeting homophobia more broadly would actually assist the LGBTI community in dealing with violence and hopefully reduce the prevalence of violence more broadly.

8 The school programs, Respectful Relationships as 9 Philomena mentioned, I think provide a useful model to 10 expand perhaps for an adult context for LGBTI communities, 11 and other anti-violence campaigns could also be tailored 12 to our communities. So really again it is that 13 combination of strategies that I think would be most 14 successful.

MR ALEKSANDRS: Certainly somebody's opinion of LGBTI community 15 16 members impacts, I suppose, how they feel about violence against members of that community. So when we have looked 17 18 at campaigns around violence against women and people sort of evaluating the view of women in society, increasing the 19 20 respect of women obviously is going to increase people's 21 alarm at violence perpetrated against them and I think the same goes with LGBTIQ communities and a lessening in all 22 the phobias associated with that acronym, that that would 23 24 play a really big role in making people aware of violence perpetrated against them. 25

26 MR MOSHINSKY: Thank you. Do the Commissioners have any 27 questions?

28 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: I just had one question. Are there any 29 programs in schools that you consider effective that are 30 dealing with the whole question of equality and people 31 from an LGBTIQ background, the whole issue of sexuality

2556

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission BROWN/HORSLEY/ALEKSANDRS XN BY MR MOSHINSKY and sexual orientation and so on in schools?
DR HORSLEY: Our research over 18 years of young people and schools, the non-heterosexual group and the group who don't identify strongly as a binary gender have pretty much all said that the sex education or the human relationships education was next to useless, was uninformative and really they didn't relate to it.

We do have within our centre input into a broader 8 9 national curriculum and I think there are different aspects now that are relevant in terms of the ways that 10 this kind of inclusion could occur in schools' curriculums 11 12 or currently. But there is not an overarching sense of it 13 being a priority or a specific need, whether in the more traditional notion of sexuality or human relations 14 15 education or in more broader areas around human rights 16 education.

MS BROWN: Yes, I agree, and I'm not an expert in education by 17 any means, but I would say that the Victorian Government 18 has through the Gay and Lesbian Health Victoria 19 20 established a very good program called Safe Schools 21 Coalition which is about developing safe environments for LGBTI young people within schools and that program has 22 been funded to roll out to all government schools in 23 24 Victoria. It used to be just be voluntary, but now it is going to be mandatory. Obviously there is still a gap in 25 26 the independent school system and there are also schools 27 where we might see attitudes promoted that are not positive towards sexual and gender diversity. 28 29 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: So this is a program that is directed at,

30 for example, homophobia?

31 DR HORSLEY: It takes a whole of school approach around keeping

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 2557 BROWN/HORSLEY/ALEKSANDRS XN Royal Commission BY MR MOSHINSKY

young people at school safe. So, it actually requires the 1 school as a whole community to take action to keep 2 students who identify as LGBTI or same sex attracted or 3 4 gender queer safe. We know from our statistics that it has been so successful in Victoria that the levels of 5 violence and bullying that young people experience in 6 7 schools is lower in Victoria than in other states. As a result of that, the Commonwealth Government just this year 8 has funded a national rollout of that program which is 9 10 overseen by FYA.

11 So, that's a really important thing. It doesn't 12 necessarily address some of the specific issues we are 13 talking about, but I think it does what Anna says, which is essentially saying, "These children have a right to 14 15 feel safe at school. They have a right to respect and to 16 equality within the school environment." It's clearly an evidence based program that has been shown to reduce the 17 levels of physical violence and verbal bullying that's 18 occurring in the lives of these young people, 80 per cent 19 20 of which has been occurring at schools from both students 21 and from staff.

22 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Could you just tell me the name of that 23 program?

24 DR HORSLEY: It's called the Safe Schools Coalition of 25 Victoria.

26 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: That's the one that's being rolled out?
27 DR HORSLEY: That's being rolled out nationally. We have four
28 staff at Gay and Lesbian Health Victoria who are involved
29 in that program and they are doing a lot of intensive
30 support for schools generally, but also for families of
31 young trans children who are transitioning, and we are now

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission 2558 BROWN/HORSLEY/ALEKSANDRS XN BY MR MOSHINSKY moving into and about to release in fact a manual around how to incorporate these issues into curriculums in schools. So that's a really welcome initiative. So we are looking forward to that launch, which is just about to be signed off by the Commonwealth.

6 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you very much.

7 MS BROWN: I might add also that Philomena is probably being modest, but the Victorian model has been recognised as a 8 9 huge success and that's why it is being rolled out nationally. There has actually been some mobilisation 10 11 within some fundamentalist Christian organisations against 12 the program, which I think is indicative in some ways of 13 its success, but obviously the campaigns and the work that's being done in Victoria I think is really first 14 15 class.

16 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you.

MR MOSHINSKY: Commissioners, if the witnesses could please be excused and could we now have a break for about 10 minutes to about 11.05.

20 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you very much indeed. You are 21 excused.

22 <(THE WITNESSES WITHDREW)

23 (Short adjournment.)

MS DAVIDSON: Commissioners, the next panel is one in which we will be exploring the issues that are particular for people with disabilities. This of course is not the first time in this hearing that we have been canvassing the issues of the effects of disability on the ability to access services and the experiences of people with disabilities with respect to family violence.

31 I particularly draw the Commission's attention to

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 2559 BROWN/HORSLEY/ALEKSANDRS XN Royal Commission BY MR MOSHINSKY

the evidence that we had from "Melissa Brown", who was a 1 victim of family violence. We heard her evidence on day 8 2 of the hearings and that was in circumstances where she 3 4 was a woman with a physical disability and she talked about the impact that that had and her dependence upon her 5 husband as her carer and how that impacted upon her 6 7 experience of family violence and her ability to access services. 8

9 I will ask that the witnesses be sworn, but we 10 have representatives from two agencies today; firstly, 11 Colleen Pearce from the Office of Public Advocate and is 12 in fact the Public Advocate. We also have two 13 representatives from the Women with Disabilities Victoria 14 agency who have also made a submission to the Commission. 15 I ask that they be sworn.

16 <COLLEEN GEORGETTE PEARCE, sworn and examined:

17 <KERAN ELIZABETH HOWE, affirmed and examined:

18 <JEN HARGRAVE, affirmed and examined:

19 MS DAVIDSON: Thank you. Can I perhaps start with you,

20 Ms Pearce. You are the Victorian Public Advocate? 21 MS PEARCE: Yes.

22 MS DAVIDSON: You have held that role since 2007?

23 MS PEARCE: Yes.

24 MS DAVIDSON: Can I just get you to outline the role of the 25 Public Advocate and the role of the office?

MS PEARCE: As Public Advocate I'm appointed by VCAT as guardian of last resort for adults in Victoria with a disability, a cognitive impairment, for people who are in need of a decision being made in relation to their personal circumstances, so such as accommodation,

31 healthcare or with whom they might have access to, people

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 they might have access to.

Other parts of my office concern the volunteer 2 program. We have around 900 volunteers who work in a 3 4 number of programs. We have the community visitor program. Community visitors are volunteers who are the 5 eyes and ears of the community who visit closed 6 7 environments, so mental health facilities, residential services for people with a disability, group homes, but 8 also supported residential services. 9

We have a volunteer program, the independent third person program. Last year volunteers sat in on 2,700 police interviews for people who had an apparent cognitive impairment. This was victims, witnesses, as well as alleged offenders.

15 We have a community guardianship program and we 16 have a policy and research team. I should also say that as part of the Guardianship and Administration Act my 17 staff also undertake investigations on behalf of VCAT 18 where a person may be subject to abuse, neglect or 19 20 violence or considered to be in need of guardianship. 21 MS DAVIDSON: Just in relation to cognitive impairment, what 22 sort of situations does that cover? I take it you are talking about people with an intellectual disability, but 23 24 do you also cover older people who have diminished ability? 25

MS PEARCE: Yes. In fact the majority of people under guardianship in this state are people over the age of 65, so around 60 per cent of people under guardianship are over the page of 65, with the majority of those having some form of dementia. But we also cover people with mental illness, an acquired brain injury or an

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

intellectual disability. So there is a whole range of 1 2 cognitive impairments. MS DAVIDSON: Thank you. You have made a statement for the 3 4 Commission in this matter? MS PEARCE: Yes. 5 MS DAVIDSON: Are you able to confirm that that statement is 6 7 true and correct? MS PEARCE: I am. 8 MS DAVIDSON: Can I move to you, Ms Howe. Can you just outline 9 the work that Women with Disabilities Victoria does? 10 11 MS HOWE: Yes. Women with Disabilities Victoria is a systemic 12 advocacy organisation and we are responsible for providing 13 advocacy on behalf of women with disabilities around Victoria; that is, women from all walks of life and with 14 15 all types of disabilities and experiences. So that covers 16 women with physical, intellectual, other cognitive disabilities, women with mental health. 17 MS DAVIDSON: You are the Executive Director? 18 MS HOWE: I'm the Executive Director. 19 20 MS DAVIDSON: You have held that position since 2007? 21 MS HOWE: That's correct. 22 MS DAVIDSON: You have made a statement together with Ms Hargrave, who is beside you. Are you able to confirm 23 24 that that statement is true and correct? 25 MS HOWE: I can. 26 MS DAVIDSON: Can I move now to you, Ms Hargrave. You have 27 made a statement together with Ms Howe, and can you confirm that that statement is true and correct? 28 29 MS HARGRAVE: I can. 30 MS DAVIDSON: Can you just outline what your role is with Women with Disabilities Victoria? 31

2562

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

MS HARGRAVE: My role is policy officer on violence against 1 women with disabilities. This role, like the work of 2 Women with Disabilities Victoria, is focused on systemic 3 4 advocacy, working to build up connections between the family violence systems and the disability systems. 5 Perhaps we can just start with the topic of the 6 MS DAVIDSON: 7 experience of violence for people with a disability. I think, Ms Howe, you refer to women with a disability 8 experiencing a double disadvantage? 9 That's correct. 10 MS HOWE: 11 MS DAVIDSON: Can you explain that? 12 MS HOWE: Yes. Part of our work as an advocacy service is 13 undertaking research and we have undertaken two significant pieces of research around the issues for women 14 with disabilities who experience violence in Victoria. 15 16 What we find from our research and also from listening to women with disabilities as our members is that women 17 experience the same kinds of violence that other women 18 experience. They experience violence from intimate 19 20 partners, but also from other family members. In addition 21 to that, we find women with disabilities experience 22 violence from a broader range of perpetrators of violence than other women. So that can also take in paid carers 23 24 and service workers such as transport workers and can be 25 targeted in the general community.

In the same way that other women experience violence from predominantly men as perpetrators, that's also the case for women with disabilities. We also find that women with disabilities experience disability based violence. An example of that would be where a perpetrator of violence wants to control a woman by removing her, for

example, wheelchair or another mobility aid or it might be a communication aid, and also we have heard from women about having their medications increased or decreased and being socially isolated because they are more easily controlled because of their disabilities.

So that violence that women experience, we find 6 7 women are at higher risk of violence because of their disabilities because as women we experience the same 8 9 discrimination that all women experience on the basis of gender, but we also experience high levels of 10 11 discrimination as people with disabilities. Research 12 that's undertaken here in Australia finds that young 13 people with disabilities are five times more likely to experience disadvantage and discrimination than other 14 15 young people.

16 So, for women with disabilities we experience poorer socioeconomic status, poorer economic and social 17 participation than both men with disabilities and other 18 women. So that gives us what we might call a double 19 20 disadvantage. If you are an Aboriginal woman, that's 21 again compounded and this disadvantage compounds itself 22 and significantly increases the risk that women with 23 disabilities, and particularly women from other disadvantaged groups, experience. 24

MS DAVIDSON: I think you have also identified - I appreciate that your service is for women with disabilities, but you have identified that the area of men with disabilities experiencing family violence is poorly researched.
MS HOWE: Yes, we think that the area of particularly institutional violence against people with disabilities in general is very poorly researched and we need to

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

understand more about the dynamics of gender within 1 disability services. Disability services have 2 traditionally not been particularly cognisant of the 3 4 issues for both men and women and the different needs that men and women might have, but we do know that both men and 5 women experience violence because of their disability. 6 7 MS DAVIDSON: Ms Pearce, are you able to comment on that issue as well, the general topic of the experience of violence 8 9 for people with a disability and how having a disability might impact upon that experience? 10 11 MS PEARCE: Sure. OPA has done quite a lot of research just into our own cases and of course there's our research with 12 13 Women with Disabilities Victoria, our Voices Against Violence. We have been trying to establish, I guess, some 14

15 baselines around what might be the extent of violence in 16 the community against people with disability.

In 2010 we looked at 86 cases of violence and 17 We found that 66 per cent of those were women and 18 abuse. 20 per cent of those were men and they had been subjected 19 to a range of violent and abusive acts, including physical 20 21 and sexual violence, emotional and psychological abuse, including segregation and restraint and, as Keran said, 22 impairment related abuse, financial abuse and neglect. 23 24 The research found that the perpetrators included parents or a parent's partner, a sibling, another relative, a 25 26 partner, a neighbour, a staff member, a co-resident or a 27 stranger.

28 So you can see there's a wide variety of 29 perpetrators for people with a disability. One of the 30 differences, of course, is the dependency on carers, so 31 both paid carers and unpaid carers, so we certainly see

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

many cases of that as well. In the 64 cases where the
 perpetrator was characterised as a relative or a partner,
 the actions could be categorised as family violence under
 the Family Violence Protection Act.

Thank you. Just picking up on that issue of 5 MS DAVIDSON: where the perpetrator is a carer, we heard from the 6 7 witness "Melissa Brown" on day 4 who talked about the impact that having a disability had and her reliance upon 8 9 her husband as her carer - I'm reminded it's actually day 8 - how that impacted upon her ability or her reliance 10 11 upon him, the changes in the power dynamic and how she 12 felt unable to leave the relationship. Is that a sort of 13 fairly consistent issue for women who experience family violence, and for men? 14

15 MS PEARCE: It is, and just when you were talking it reminded 16 me of a particular case where we were the guardian for a woman. She had had a stroke. She was in hospital. She 17 told the quardian that she wanted to go into care because 18 her husband hit her. Now, she was in that relationship 19 for 40 years. It was a very difficult decision for her, 20 21 but her choices were very limited and she expressed the 22 desire to go into care rather than return home. But she said, "Please don't tell him that I've told you that he 23 hits me." 24

The power dynamic continued when she was in fact placed in care and he was continually at her bedside and interfering with the care that was being provided to her, and particularly around feeding time, insisting on - he controlled even her feeding. So, this control that we see is really a very common pattern.

31 MS DAVIDSON: Did you want to also add to that, Ms Howe and

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission 1

Ms Hargrave?

2 It certainly was affirmed in our research and many MS HOWE: 3 women spoke about feeling trapped. They are trapped 4 because they have that dependence that Melissa experienced for personal care and a belief that in fact there is no 5 other assistance that might be available, which is untrue. 6 7 They are also reliant on their partners for care of their children sometimes and believe that there is no other 8 assistance available in that situation also. 9

So we need to be able to promote more effectively 10 the sorts of services that we do have. I might ask Jen to 11 talk a bit more about some of those services. But I think 12 13 that perpetrators of violence are aware of this power dynamic and are aware of this dependency and are also 14 15 aware that they are more likely to be believed and that 16 their word carries more authority than the authority of their partner. So, they play on this and women told us 17 about how partners threatened them that they would put 18 them into an institution if they tried to leave. 19

20 That's right. In Melissa's case she spoke about MS HARGRAVE: 21 different professionals from services such as police and 22 mental health asking her if she wanted to leave. In her mind that wasn't an option because she couldn't imagine 23 who else might be able to assist her and her children. So 24 25 I think it points to a need for workers to be resourced 26 around having skills around asking questions; for example, 27 What would you need in order to be safe?" or "I see that you have a disability. What sort of things might assist 28 29 you?"

Although workers can feel very uncomfortableabout asking these questions, there is more danger in not

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

asking them. In fact, if women know why the question is
 being asked and what's going to happen to the information,
 the majority of the time they are really comfortable to be
 asked.

The Disability Family Violence Crisis Response 5 Initiative is something that the Department of Health and 6 7 Human Services developed to replace this type of care that partners may be giving for the family violence crisis 8 period to allow women and children a period of time to 9 leave the violence or for the perpetrator to be excluded 10 11 and for other alternative care arrangements to be made for 12 the longer term.

13 But it is important to note that there are three important questions about the initiative. One is to look 14 at the eligibility criteria for the initiative, which at 15 16 the moment is in accordance with the Victoria Disability 17 Act, which actually excludes mental illness, injury, 18 preschool age children and people over 65. So, for example, a woman that we know of who was driven over 19 during a family violence incident and was unable to walk 20 21 and required daily assistance with her disability was not eligible because doctors weren't able to definitively say 22 that that injury was permanent. 23

Another issue which was raised through Melissa's example was that while the initiative has lots to offer those who are eligible, many workforces and workers still don't know about the initiative. So, services such as disability, child protection and police could be more informed about the availability of the service.

30 Thirdly, this is the only initiative we know of31 in Australia that provides this funding for disability

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

support, but the future for the funding is completely 1 uncertain with Victoria's transition over to the National 2 Disability Insurance Scheme and we are very concerned that 3 4 it might get lost in the national pool of funding and also that those relationships across sectors between 5 disability, family violence and women with disabilities 6 7 that are integral to building the initiative and making it work effectively would be lost in the national scale. 8 9 MS DAVIDSON: Ms Howe, you have talked about in your statement the right of women with disabilities to be recognised as 10 parents and identified an issue in relation to how 11 12 services or the lack of them or the reliance upon family 13 members potentially reinforces or contributes to the control that a perpetrator is able to exert over a woman 14 15 with a disability. Can you explain that issue and how you see that being potentially addressed? 16 MS HOWE: Yes. This is a very real issue and again our 17

research found that women did have children removed from 18 their care. There are two aspects of this that we need to 19 20 There is a strong belief in our community, think about. 21 an unfounded belief, that women with disabilities are not 22 able to provide adequately for their children. In fact, it's very common, when a woman reports family violence, 23 that the child can be removed from her care because the 24 25 belief is if the partner isn't around that she's not able 26 to provide adequate care.

There is nothing in the research that suggests that women with disabilities are less able to provide effective parenting and to be good mothers. What the research tells us is that it is the appropriateness of family support that's very important. So we need to be

able to tailor effective family support so that women with disabilities are able to provide the support they need.

In my experience in my previous role as chief 3 4 social worker at the Royal Women's Hospital, I experienced on a number of occasions where women had mild intellectual 5 disabilities that children were removed from the hospital 6 7 at the instigation of Protective Services without any further follow-up, any further assessment of the woman and 8 her capacity to provide care and no intervention plan to 9 reunite the family. This was in my view based on 10 attitudes that were unfounded and without adequate 11 12 intervention.

13 So I think we need to look more carefully at the 14 ways we are protecting children, and of course it is 15 critical that we provide a caring environment for all 16 children, but there are ways that we can do that that mean 17 that women are not fearful that, if they come forward and 18 report family violence, that their children will be 19 removed.

Another way that we can I think address this is 20 21 with disability services who haven't traditionally seen women in a caring role if they have a disability. 22 So we tend to think about people with disabilities as 23 24 individuals who might have families of origin that provide care, but we don't tend to think about people with 25 26 disabilities who are providing parenting support to their 27 children.

So, disability services need to be open to the idea that there is a role that they need to support through disability service support. We have an instance of one woman who told us about a disability worker that

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1

2

was authorised to feed her and to assist with meal 1 preparation for herself, but wasn't allowed to give a 2 drink to her son who was there. There is no reason why 3 4 through policy that this should be the case, but in practice disability services can have a very narrow view 5 of what's reasonable in providing support to parents. 6 7 MS DAVIDSON: What does that mean in terms of the woman's capacity, a woman with a disability, her capacity to 8 9 contribute equally to a relationship and have that equality within the intimate relationship? How do the 10 11 disability services deal with that?

12 MS HOWE: This is another aspect of how we view families within 13 the disability care system and we tend to see families as partners, if you like, collaborators in providing care. 14 15 For example, if you were to apply for Meals on Wheels or 16 other family support through home and community care, the first question to a woman is, "So, do you have a partner? 17 Okay, he can do that." We could argue that it's perfectly 18 reasonable for men to assist in and to contribute to 19 20 domestic duties, but in reality we don't recognise that 21 it's absolutely important for power balances within a relationship that both are seen to be contributing and 22 23 have something that they can contribute in practical terms 24 to the relationship.

So one woman spoke to us about how she had to insist with the new National Disability Insurance Scheme that it was reasonable for her to have assistance in preparing her husband's meal because she felt he did a great deal for her in terms of personal care and in reciprocating in that relationship and ensuring some kind of balance in that relationship that she needed to be able

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

to provide for him too and she was successful in the end in being able to advocate for her needs. But I think it's very important across all disability service provision that it's recognised that we have to be very aware of power within relationships and to be respectful of the need for women to be able to contribute equally to a relationship.

8 MS DAVIDSON: Ms Pearce, do you have anything additional to add 9 to that?

MS PEARCE: Just in support of Keran, it's certainly our 10 11 experience that women with disabilities have their 12 children removed at a far greater rate than other people 13 in the community. If you look at Child Protection itself, you will see that many of the families, but in particular 14 15 the women that are there, are women with some form of 16 disability, that they are significantly disadvantaged in the justice system and that many of these people may have 17 been removed from families themselves, they may not have 18 an experience of what a family life might look like and, 19 20 as Keran said, they are not given any support in order to 21 equip them to be able to parent their children in an 22 effective manner.

23 So the first instance is whilst, like Keran, the 24 protection of the child is paramount, but also children 25 have a right to grow up in a family and people should be 26 supported to be able to exercise that right.

MS DAVIDSON: Moving on to another topic in relation to attitudes towards people with disabilities, it's an issue that you have all raised in your witness statements. How does attitudes towards people with disabilities impact upon their ability to access services and leave or get

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1

away from family violence?

2 MS HOWE: With regard to disability services, we are concerned that disability services do not have an adequate 3 4 understanding of family violence and more broadly in terms 5 of what women might need in providing an appropriate 6 quality service. So, one example I can give is a woman 7 recently brought to our attention - we will call her Monica - who is in a supported accommodation service and 8 when she first went to that service checked whether in 9 fact they would provide intimate care, whether they were 10 11 able to provide the intimate care that she needed through a female worker, and she was assured that that would be 12 13 the case.

As time has gone on, that has not transpired and 14 at the moment she is receiving care with her catheter and 15 care with showering and care with her menstrual care from 16 male workers. She is finding this extremely distressing. 17 She is going without showers. She is having all sorts of 18 health problems as a result of refusing the care of male 19 workers. There seems to be very little understanding by 20 21 the disability service that this is in fact quite a violation of her human rights as a woman to dignity and 22 she is experiencing that as a very humiliating experience. 23

So women with disabilities who have that 24 experience of demeaning and degrading practices, they 25 26 often have talked about internalising this experience and 27 believing when they do experience violence that maybe it's 28 their fault and perhaps they deserve it. Women spoke 29 repeatedly in our research about that sense, and it again 30 was reinforced by partners and others, that in fact "This 31 is your fault."

So I think we have a real responsibility that we 1 provide empowering services to women, to all women, and 2 that the barriers to escaping violence are addressed. 3 So 4 I think that example provides a very clear example to me about the ways that we need to address this. We at Women 5 with Disabilities Victoria are working with disability 6 7 services to raise their understanding of women's rights to gender-sensitive services and also raising awareness of 8 9 the existence of family violence and the critical importance of disability services in identifying that and 10 11 making appropriate referrals.

12 MS HARGRAVE: If I may just add an example of how critical 13 family violence training can be for the disability sector. I would like to point towards two recent examples that 14 15 I received that were actually both quite similar regarding 16 disability services, both involving women with communication difficulties. So they didn't communicate 17 18 through speech, they communicated through gestures. Both were appearing increasingly withdrawn in their disability 19 20 services and looking very reluctant to go home.

21 In one service the staff member was able to go to her manager, who'd recently received an introduction to 22 common risk assessment training, and the manager was then 23 24 able to have a conversation with the woman using assisted communication techniques to confirm, yes, she was feeling 25 unsafe to go home and then to call the Family Violence 26 27 Service who was able to send out an outreach worker who worked with the communication assistant to develop a 28 29 safety plan and to give the woman important messages that 30 the violence she was experiencing wasn't her fault and to 31 look into options for the future.

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

In the other service the worker went to her 1 2 manager, who did what is common practice in disability services and called the woman's mother. This in turn put 3 4 the woman and her mother at greater risk of the violence they were experiencing and in fact resulted in a terrible 5 outcome where the woman became withdrawn from the service 6 7 and became more isolated and more exposed to the violence she was receiving at home. 8

9 So resourcing for disability service workers 10 around identifying and responding to family violence is 11 critical, both in disability services that are run in 12 Victoria and nationally, and of course mental health and 13 other associated services such as aged care.

MS PEARCE: If I could add to that and to say in support of Keran and Jen that what we are seeing in disability services, particularly in residential settings, is the normalisation of violence against people with disabilities. So we see that the tolerance is very high and what I think any reasonable person would consider violence is in fact tolerated.

21 I recently received a letter from the Department 22 of Health and Human Services in relation to a notification. So, when I see a situation where I am very 23 24 concerned about the safety and wellbeing of residents in a house, I notify the department. I got a response back 25 26 from the department that said that they did acknowledge 27 that what they called "episodes of behaviours of concern" can be a cause of distress to other residents and they 28 29 then went on to say, "I have no evidence to substantiate 30 the concern regarding resident compatibility."

Yet in the very next paragraph they talk about,

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

31

in a 12 month period, "28 incidents of reports were 1 submitted relating to incidents involving physical 2 assaults directed towards staff and other residents and a 3 4 small number of incidents involving the attempt to pull down the pants of the residents." Now, who here would 5 tolerate that? And would you describe that, if that was 6 7 happening in your house, as "resident compatibility"? It's got nothing to do with resident compatibility. It's 8 9 got to do with violence.

One of the things that the family violence sector 10 11 has fought for for many years is to have violence and abuse named as that. What we see in disability services 12 13 calling it "behaviours of concern" or "resident compatibility" and not naming it as violence and abuse 14 15 means that it remains hidden, we are not getting the 16 cultural change or the policy change that's required to in 17 fact address what's happening in these houses, it remains hidden and if it's not named and it's hidden, we can't 18 address it. I think the examples that Keran and Jen gave 19 are just very much examples of that. 20

21 MS DAVIDSON: Ms Pearce, you talk in your witness statement 22 about group homes and you make an argument that some 23 residents would be regarded as family members under the 24 Family Violence Protection Act. Can you explain what a 25 group home is for people with disabilities and why you say that in some cases the violence that might occur between 26 27 residents would be regarded as family violence? 28 MS PEARCE: Group homes, they are houses in the suburbs, in the 29 community, when following de-institutionalisation it was 30 thought that people would have better outcomes and be more 31 included in the community if they in fact lived in the

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

community. So they are small houses with a home-like environment as opposed to an institutionalised setting where people live together. They can live together for a very long time. It could be years where they could live together.

In that same letter that I read from earlier, the 6 7 department describes the relationships in that household as saying, in talking about an individual, that they had 8 9 "made friends" with the other residents. They go on to say that they had "engaged in playful activity with other 10 11 men and has an especially good relationship with one man 12 who has taken on the role of 'big brother' towards him." 13 They also later in the letter describe two of the people living in the house as siblings. So, there's a range of 14 15 relationships. Many of them are long-term; some of them are more short-term. People don't have a choice about who 16 they live with, so it is an arranged relationship. But, 17 18 notwithstanding that, we know that in these relationships people can form long-term relationships. 19

Just by way of an example, I was speaking to a palliative care doctor recently who was talking to me about her experience of helping a person with a disability die at home because he wanted to be with what he perceived to be his family and for them to all be able to grieve with him. She said it's increasingly common that people might choose to die at home if that's possible.

We know from the department's own material that each of the residents will have what's called a "person centred plan" and in that person centred plan there is a description about the relationships with other people in the house. They are not described as family members, but

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

nor are they described as people who are just simply
 co-habiting in the house; they are more akin to family
 type relationships.

4 While the aim of the group home is to improve the quality of life of people who live there, part of the role 5 of the staff is also to foster relationships. 6 So that's 7 why I think that they are in fact people's homes. They live there for a long time. They are not a shared 8 9 household such as you might see in a university household. They are completely different to that. 10

11 Can I also add that in some houses they might buy 12 white goods together. Historically that's happened in 13 some houses where they have ISPs, so the supported packages. They might share that in terms of purchasing 14 food for the house. So there's a lot more interdependence 15 16 than there would be in a normal shared house setting. MS DAVIDSON: In your experience, to what extent do residents 17 of those homes get a family violence response from 18 services in terms of a response that's been developed 19 under the Family Violence Protection Act? 20 21 MS PEARCE: In my view they don't. If I could just take you 22 through, and I have been using one household and I will 23 stick with that one household because I think it 24 characterises the relationships in the household, the fact 25 that 28 incidents of violence are just considered - not 26 even accepted as resident incompatibility, let alone 27 acknowledged as violence.

But, six months after I got that letter, the community visitors were alerted there had been violence going on in that house, so continually, unabated. I just wanted to share with you an incident report, to just

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

illustrate the difference in the response that a person in
 a disability service might receive to a person with a
 disability residing in the community. I'm just
 paraphrasing from this incident report.

So, we have a resident sitting on a chair in the 5 lounge area singing to himself. The staff can't see any 6 7 trigger, but he's then attacked by a resident who grabs his shirt and his chest. The victim was screaming. 8 The 9 staff tried to redirect the attacker verbally, but with no impact. The attacker then proceeded to grab the victim by 10 the neck and shake his head so that the head banged 11 12 repeatedly on the hardwood of the chair backing. The 13 victim was screaming. The perpetrator could not be redirected. Staff tried to block him from being 14 continually hit and scratched and banging the victim's 15 16 head against the wooden backing of the chair. The 17 victim's shirt was ripped open and a wound started to appear on the victim's chest. 18

The victim was taken, rushed into the office and 19 20 they were all locked in the office. In the meantime other 21 residents were moved out of the lounge room. Somebody in 22 the shower was attempted to be protected. In the office they sighted several bleeding scratches on the front and 23 24 the back, the most prominent being on the upper right side of the chest. An ambulance was called in case of head 25 26 injury. The perpetrator wouldn't let them out of the 27 front door and so the staff locked the front door and escorted the victim out of the back where he was treated 28 29 in an ambulance outside because the paramedics couldn't 30 get inside.

31 This is categorised as a category 2 incident, not

2579

PEARCE/HOWE/HARGRAVE XN BY MS DAVIDSON

even a category 1, and no police were called. So what's 1 the difference? It's not recognised - I mean, that's a 2 terribly frightening situation for everybody. 3 The 4 ambulance is called. We know this has been going on in this house unabated for nearly two years. The police 5 aren't called. It's just simply another category 2. 6 Then 7 I think the department has the hide to say that it's about resident compatibility and not about violence and abuse of 8 9 people with a disability.

MS DAVIDSON: You talk in your witness statement about personal 10 11 safety intervention orders compared with Family Violence 12 Protection Act orders. What is the usual mechanism - you 13 have identified that these residents may be family members under the Family Violence Protection Act. How often would 14 15 that Act be used by police to apply for an intervention order for a resident in that situation? 16 MS PEARCE: I haven't found one case where the Family Violence 17

Protection Act has been used. To a certain extent, whether you get an intervention order under the Personal Safety Act or the Family Violence Protection Act, the thing is people have got protection. But the issue is what about those people who are residing in houses - so let's just take the house that I have been concentrating on, in that house.

In the first place police aren't called. So where is the access to justice there? But in the second case should police be called? There is the question: is this a family violence situation or is it a question of the Personal Safety Act? A lot of things flow from that. So, for example, who will apply for the intervention order? Where there's family or a guardian

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

from my office, we will apply. So a person can gain
protection in that manner. But a person with questionable
capacity, how is one of these residents without family
members going to be able to apply for an intervention
order?

Secondly, when the police come, if it was 6 7 considered to be family violence, there is the family violence code of practice and a lot of things flow because 8 of that. But if it is not considered to be family 9 violence and it's merely the Personal Safety Act, police 10 11 have a choice about what they are going to do. They are 12 coming into a situation which is extremely volatile. They 13 may not be able to communicate with the residents. In another case where police did come capsicum spray was used 14 against residents and one of the residents was charged. 15

16 But I'm very sympathetic to the police coming into that environment. It's very difficult. They are 17 often relying on workers to intervene and to tell them 18 what has occurred. We know from the case that I have 19 cited it's only a category 2 . So in their minds it's not 20 21 particularly serious in any case. It's serious enough to warrant a category 2, but not a category 1 nor to call the 22 23 police.

24 So you are often stuck with what you do. What do 25 you do in those situations? Many people do not have a 26 family member nor do they have a guardian. So it's what 27 flows for these residents. What is their access to 28 justice in a system where the only protection they have is 29 the personal safety intervention order and their ability 30 to apply for it? I should say also that if you are 31 applying for a personal safety intervention order then

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 your access to Legal Aid is somewhat more limited. So how can they get an intervention order? What can they do? 2 3 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: I just need to understand that. It would 4 be helpful to the Commission for you to differentiate a little bit more. The police safety notice procedure that 5 applies under the Crimes (Family Violence) Act does or 6 7 does not apply to personal safety orders? I don't think it does apply, does it; that is, the police make the 8 9 application on behalf of the affected person? MS PEARCE: I think they can. I'm not sure. I'll need to take 10 11 some advice on that. But they are less likely. If they 12 are able to do so, they are less likely to do that. COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Because it's not covered by the code? 13 MS PEARCE: Because it's not covered about the code. 14 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: So this is as much about police practice 15 16 as it is about what the law might be. MS PEARCE: It is indeed. 17 18 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you. MS DAVIDSON: Can I move to another topic in terms of family 19 20 violence services and the availability of accommodation, 21 particularly for women or men with disabilities. This is an issue that I think you have raised, Ms Hargrave, in 22 your statement. Can you identify what you see as barriers 23 24 for women being able to escape family violence given the availability of accommodation? 25

MS HARGRAVE: Family violence response services, as we know, are under enormous demand and they are faced with an unenviable decision. As one service said to me and one refuge said to me, "We could take one woman who has disability support requirements or we could take five other women." So we would argue we need to be putting in

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1

2

place things that allow them to prioritise women who have higher risks, because where else can that woman go?

In talking about disability access we should 3 4 recognise that there are many different types of disability which require different types of access. 5 For women requiring, for example, ramps or handrails or wider 6 7 doorways we have on record from the Department of Health and Human Services and Safe Steps that there are up to 8 9 nine refuges with those facilities across Victoria. Appropriately three of those are for Aboriginal women 10 11 because nationally 51 per cent of Aboriginal women have a 12 disability.

13 But in looking at those refuges, which will often not have availability and not all their beds will 14 15 necessarily have accessible features in that area, they 16 are not located in each region. So we can't guarantee that there's a crisis accommodation response that's 17 18 accessible to women requiring universal access accommodation in each region of Victoria. There's also 19 other types of access barriers that we need to talk about 20 21 that might be based on attitudes or other types of 22 resources.

MS DAVIDSON: In terms of longer term accommodation for women you have identified issues regarding the building industry and the lack of accommodation that would be suitable for women with disabilities fleeing family violence.

MS HARGRAVE: That's right. I might hand over to Keran in a moment to talk about the building industry, as she's done some work in that area. But not only do inaccessible housing options - so we know that housing options are very limited for all women in terms of being affordable and in

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

the areas that they have their social and service networks. But for women with disabilities that's even lower.

So, in terms of family violence prevention, that means that women with disabilities don't have housing options to live independently as much as other women, but also in terms of leaving short and medium-term crisis accommodation it means there are fewer exit options. Some refuges may intake according to what they see as the exit options for women.

11 For these reasons putting women in short-term and 12 medium-term crisis accommodation isn't always ideal, and 13 it's really important to look at what other things we have in place such as Safe at Home programs. These programs 14 are able to assist women to stay safe in their own homes 15 16 while maintaining their social and disability support networks. It's unfortunate that the national funding for 17 the Safe at Home programs is continuously in doubt. 18 If I go back to Monica for a moment, the woman who 19 MS HOWE: 20 was living in supported accommodation and was unhappy 21 about her care, she has said that she would love to move out and has a friend who would also move with her into a 22 23 unit and she could then be eligible for personal care 24 through other packages. But there isn't any accessible 25 accommodation for her to move into.

So to address this we have to look more broadly at how we are developing housing. In Victoria we have looked at this. The previous Labor government looked at building regulations and making changes to building regulations so that there was a minimum standard of accessibility for all new housing and apartments. That

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

was held up with the election and it was never taken up 1 again. I think this is a critical issue for us to take up 2 both nationally and within Victoria; that we catch up with 3 4 other countries where universal design standards have been around for years with regards to new buildings. Unless we 5 do that, we are not going to deal with an ageing 6 7 population that requires accessible, basic universal design standards and we are not going to address these 8 9 issues with regard to women with disabilities and people with disabilities generally who need affordable and 10 11 accessible housing.

MS DAVIDSON: I take it that that issue would also arise for able bodied women but who have got children with disabilities.

15 MS HOWE: Correct. That's right. We are talking about anyone 16 with a disability, child or adult. If we look at the incidence of disability it is very high in our community. 17 One in 20 people have a disability. It's higher if you 18 look only at the adult population. One in 16 to 17 have a 19 profound and severe disability . So we are not talking 20 21 about a small minority. We are talking about a significant population that's at the moment excluded from 22 affordable accessible housing. 23

MS DAVIDSON: Can I move perhaps to another topic that you have raised, Ms Pearce, about the independent third person program and the extent to which it is utilised in a consistent way by police. Can you identify, firstly, what that independent third person program does and what you have identified as being potential gaps in the way it's implemented?

31 MS PEARCE: The independent third person program are

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

volunteers. They sit in on police interviews wherever anybody has an apparent cognitive impairment. I say "apparent" because it doesn't have to be a diagnosis. In a police interview it's not possible of course to have a diagnosis. So it's really up to the police to make that determination.

Last year we sat in on around 2,700 police interviews. We know if we look at the number of people that are in our prison system who have either an acquired brain injury or a mental illness or an intellectual disability that there are many more than 2,700. So what we think is happening is that there's an under-utilisation of the independent third person program.

In part that's because it's not in law that it's 14 15 mandated; it's in the police manual that police are 16 required to have an independent third person attend the interview. But we know when we do the analysis of where 17 18 we see police using independent third persons that there's a great disparity across the state. So some stations, 19 20 such as Dandenong, are really outstanding in their use of 21 independent third persons. But you might see another station, such as Sunshine, where one would think there's a 22 relatively similar demographic with significantly less 23 24 numbers of people interviewed by police with an 25 independent third person. So it's the station by station analysis that tells us that there is a significant 26 27 under-utilisation. We have also looked at data from the out court and we estimate that only 50 per cent of people 28 29 going through the out court have in fact had an 30 independent third person in their police interview. 31 MS DAVIDSON: That program would apply potentially to victims

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

and perpetrators and witnesses of family violence who 1 might have a cognitive - - -2 MS PEARCE: Yes. If I could also just make the point that a 3 4 young person - so we would see young people - without a cognitive impairment has what's called an independent 5 person, and that is in legislation. So it is there for 6 7 young people. But what about people with a disability? Why is it that there is this choice? 8 9 MS DAVIDSON: Are you calling for it to be put into legislation? 10 11 MS PEARCE: Yes, we are. 12 I will move to another topic which you have all MS DAVIDSON: 13 identified which is the possibility of an expansion of the Office of Public Advocate's powers to conduct 14 15 investigations. Can I ask you to explain what you would 16 be seeking in relation to the conduct of investigations and why you think it would be helpful in the context of 17 family violence? 18 MS PEARCE: This was an issue that was canvassed extensively by 19 20 the Victorian Law Reform Commission in its review of the 21 Guardianship Act in 2012. So it isn't just my office and people like Women with Disabilities Victoria that are 22

calling for it. There has been a lot of thought that hasbeen put into this.

What we typically see is that the power of the Public Advocate to investigate abuse and neglect in a broader community setting is limited to cases where a person might be in inappropriate guardianship or an application for guardianship is likely to occur. There are many cases of abuse in the community where a guardianship order is not appropriate and the person is

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1

not being held in appropriate guardianship.

2 If I just give you an example. The Aged Care Complaints Agency has contacted my office on a number of 3 4 occasions where there is a person who is not in receipt of Commonwealth aged services funding, so they don't come 5 under that scheme, but they hold significant concerns for 6 7 the wellbeing of this person with a disability in our community. Their question is who and in particular is 8 there anything my office can do. And these people are 9 living at home. 10

11 So in one case we used my advocacy power and 12 simply knocked on the door, and we found the person was in 13 fact being very well cared for. But had the person or the family not let us into the house then it would have been 14 very difficult for us to ascertain whether or not there 15 16 was any question of abuse of that person. Police could have been called. But the question was around peg feeding 17 and whether inappropriate materials were being used in the 18 peg feed and whether the family understood how to care for 19 20 a peg feed. So it was something that was going to be far 21 more complex than I think the police could understand.

In my view we call it investigation, but perhaps it's more akin to a preliminary investigation and referral to an appropriate body, including guardianship should it be warranted. But in many cases guardianship itself won't be warranted.

MS DAVIDSON: That investigation power that you would be calling for, it would cover family violence incidents? MS PEARCE: Yes. But with the advent of the National Disability Insurance Scheme and the Commonwealth establishing safeguards, and these safeguards would apply

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

to people who are in receipt of those services, there will be other mechanisms. So we wouldn't necessarily be looking at group homes where there are other regulatory environments. It's really for people with a disability in the community where there is no other option.

6 MS DAVIDSON: I'm conscious of the time. Does the Commission
7 have any questions for these witnesses?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: Yes. I would like to ask about 8 9 the implementation of the National Disability Insurance Scheme where, as I understand it, it's creating a market 10 11 of providers, both for profit and not for profit. Central 12 to the whole scheme is this concept of consumer directed 13 care, which should empower people to determine the type of care that they wish to receive. But it's also been put to 14 15 me that it opens up the opportunity for coercion from 16 family members about what sort of care is going to be determined and that under this scheme there may not be 17 enough monitoring of that to be able to identify when it 18 19 occurs.

20 MS PEARCE: I wouldn't mind commenting first. My office has 21 had quite a lot of experience with the trial site in 22 Barwon, and that's right. The philosophy is empowered 23 consumers in a competitive market based environment. But. 24 the people that my office works with are people with 25 cognitive impairments, particularly those with significant cognitive impairments, and it doesn't matter which way you 26 27 look at it they are not going to be empowered consumers in this new model. 28

I think around 60 per cent of people who are in receipt of NDIS funding are people with cognitive impairments. It's far higher than they thought. So

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

initially not enough thought was put into how that might
work. So, for example, the nominee provisions that are
there that are akin to those under the social security
system are not routinely being used because many people do
not have family members and the nominees are not paid
people.

7 So who is making decisions for people with 8 significant cognitive impairment? Often it's the planners 9 who are making those decisions. It's only recently been 10 recognised that a support person needs to sit in on a 11 planning session. People were left to their own devices 12 and didn't cover all of their care requirements such as 13 continence aids.

But I think NDIS is starting to recognise this and does have a number of priority projects coming under the NDIS Board where they are looking at the issues related to cognitive impairment. But I think there is a very strong disconnect between state based guardianship laws and this legislation, particularly around nominee provisions and who can make decisions.

21 MS HOWE: We also have concerns about the coming reforms and the present reforms in the Barwon area, and the extent to 22 23 which the agency that's responsible for the National 24 Disability Insurance Scheme, which is the NDIA, are aware 25 of, for example, the issues of family violence and of the 26 importance of ensuring that the person that's to receive 27 support is comfortable with family members being part of 28 the planning process.

There's not really, that I'm aware of, real attention being paid to the fact that not all families have the best interests of the person with a disability in

the family at heart and there are opportunities for
 exploitation. There are currently families where abuse is
 occurring and it's not being picked up as part of that
 assessment process.

5 So we think there is a very important role in 6 workforce development within the NDIA to make sure that 7 planners and anyone involved with the direct care planning 8 is aware of these issues and that there are practices and 9 policies in place so that these things are assessed as 10 part of the assessment process.

11 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: Perhaps if you have specific 12 ideas about the practices and policies you might like to 13 forward those to the Commission.

The second thing about the NDIS, I was unsure as to what provision it makes for housing assistance, whether that's modifying accommodation or whether it's simply assisting a disabled person be competitive in a tight rental market.

MS HOWE: I'm not sure that I can fully answer that question.
There was a housing strategy.

21 MS PEARCE: Which hasn't been released and we are all anxiously awaiting that to understand what the relationship with 22 23 NDIS will be in relation to the funding of accommodation. 24 We do know that there has been a long, hard battle by my office and many, many disability advocates to ensure 25 26 small-scale accommodation, a home like environment, the 27 group homes and for the closure of institutions. We need 28 to see the accommodation paper to understand what will in 29 fact NDIS fund. Will they fund large-scale congregate 30 care facilities? Is that part of the agenda? So we need 31 to understand that because there has been a long battle to

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

move towards people having the right to live in the community in small-scale settings. But I do acknowledge that some parents certainly do want their children to live in larger scale settings.

5 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: It would seem that if the NDIS 6 doesn't adequately address the housing issue then the 7 whole notion of consumer directed care is a bit of a 8 nonsense.

9 MS HOWE: I think that's right, but I think also government has 10 a broader responsibility through housing to ensure that 11 housing - as I have made the point - is accessible because 12 sometimes we are using congregate care because we don't 13 have other options for accessible housing where you could 14 use a support package. So I hear significant numbers of 15 people who are in - - -

16 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: Would that be in terms of a 17 rental subsidy, for example?

18 MS HOWE: It could be. But it's also about the practical lack 19 of accessible housing, which I think needs government 20 leadership.

MS HARGRAVE: I might just add there very briefly too. In a rental property you are not legally protected to make a disability modification even if you pay for that to be installed and removed at your own cost.

MS HOWE: Can I just add another area with the National Disability Insurance Scheme is advocacy, and with the move for all funding to go across from Victoria to the Commonwealth, advocacy services in Victoria such as ourselves could be at risk and in terms of the empowerment of people with disabilities and women with disabilities in particular this is a concern we have.

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: No further questions, thank you.

2 MS DAVIDSON: Perhaps these witnesses can be excused.

3 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you very much indeed.

4 <(THE WITNESSES WITHDREW)

5 MR MOSHINSKY: Commissioners, we may just change the order

slightly and we will call Mr Fonzi later in the day and we
will go directly now to Ms Blakey and Mr Chesterman. If
they could please come forward.

9 <JOHN HENRY CHESTERMAN, sworn and examined:

10 <JENNY BLAKEY, recalled:

MR MOSHINSKY: Ms Blakey, if I could start with you. You have previously given evidence on Day 4 of the public hearings in relation to the topics of financial abuse and financial empowerment. Your statement on that occasion is also

15 before the Commission today.

16 MS BLAKEY: Yes.

MR MOSHINSKY: You indicate in that statement that you are the manager of Seniors Rights Victoria.

19 MS BLAKEY: Indeed I am.

20 MR MOSHINSKY: In the interests of time I won't go over the

21 introductory matters that we canvassed last time in terms 22 of your position and the organisation.

23 MS BLAKEY: Yes.

24 MR MOSHINSKY: Mr Chesterman, you work at the Office of the 25 Public Advocate?

26 DR CHESTERMAN: That's correct.

27 MR MOSHINSKY: Could you briefly outline what your position is28 and your professional background?

29 DR CHESTERMAN: I'm the Manager of Policy and Education at the 30 Office of the Public Advocate. I have been there now for 31 six years. Prior to that I was an academic at the

University of Melbourne where I lectured in political 1 science. I have a background in law and history. 2 In terms of your work, is part of your work or 3 MR MOSHINSKY: 4 focus on issues to do with elderly people or older people? DR CHESTERMAN: I'm the manager of our systemic advocacy arm, 5 our policy and research unit, and we do have some 6 7 involvement in the elder abuse area. I'm also a member of the Seniors Rights Victoria Council. In 2013 I was 8 fortunate enough to be on a Churchill Fellowship, which 9 looked at matters that are germane to the topic of elder 10 11 abuse. It wasn't focused just on elder abuse. It looked at at risk adults and the adult protection system 12 13 generally.

MR MOSHINSKY: I may direct questions to Ms Blakey and if you wish to add anything at any point in time please do so. DR CHESTERMAN: Thank you.

MR MOSHINSKY: I also will just indicate that, given that the focus of the questions with you, Ms Blakey, on the last occasion was around financial abuse, I won't be focusing on that so much today in the topic as we have covered that to some extent already.

22 Can I start with this topic broadly, Ms Blakey. Can you briefly encapsulate what we mean by elder abuse 23 24 and how that intersects with what is family violence? 25 MS BLAKEY: Yes. Elder abuse is a term which is defined by the World Health Organization, and it's defined as an act 26 27 which causes harm to an older person and is carried out by someone they know and trust, which might be a carer, a 28 29 family member or friend. It can take the form of a single 30 or repeated acts in that relationship where there's the 31 expectation of trust.

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

The relevance to this Commission is that we see a 1 lot of - we are an organisation that focuses on elder 2 abuse, but the people who contact us, a lot of it occurs 3 4 within the context of family. So we see that for the most part our experience indicates that elder abuse occurs 5 within the family. In fact we have some statistics which 6 7 were produced over a two-year period which show that in 92 per cent of the cases the perpetrator is a family 8 9 member, and in fact of those approximately two-thirds the perpetrator is the adult son or daughter. So it is very 10 11 clearly abuse occurring within the family.

12 DR CHESTERMAN: Can I contribute just a word on that and just 13 to say the only areas where elder abuse wouldn't be family violence would be probably in two areas: one where the 14 abuse might not constitute violence, as such, and the 15 16 other one would be where the trusting relationship wouldn't be seen as family-like. But largely, that's 17 right, most situations of elder abuse would be family 18 violence. 19

20 MR MOSHINSKY: What do we know about the prevalence of elder 21 abuse? In the Seniors Rights Victoria submission at page 22 15 there's a section dealing with the prevalence of elder abuse. Are you able to indicate to the Commission the 23 extent to which there is evidence about the prevalence? 24 25 MS BLAKEY: Yes. There is very limited evidence of the prevalence in Australia in that there haven't been the 26 27 appropriate prevalence studies undertaken. But there is an estimate that it is 5 to 6 per cent of older people, so 28 29 over the age of 65, experience elder abuse.

Overseas studies are much more disparate in terms
 of their estimates, but the World Health Organization says

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

that the estimate could be anywhere between one and up to 1 10 per cent of older people experience elder abuse. 2 We consider that it's highly underreported, and that is also 3 4 the reports from other research. So that may again be low figures. There is a reluctance and difficulty to know to 5 what extent people may report elder abuse. In part that's 6 7 because people don't know what they are experiencing might be considered elder abuse and therefore identified as 8 9 such.

MR MOSHINSKY: Ms Blakey, in your witness statement at 10 11 paragraph 26 you make the point that a distinction between elder abuse in families and other forms of elder abuse is 12 13 this intergenerational aspect. Can you expand on that? MS BLAKEY: Yes. A common conception of family violence is 14 15 that it is intimate partner violence and generally between 16 male and female. So therefore it has a very distinct focus on the enormous number of women who are affected by 17 family violence. 18

Our experience is that the defining 19 20 characteristic is the difference in ages. So the person 21 who is experiencing the family violence is usually someone in the age group of 70 to 84 years old and the perpetrator 22 is usually someone aged between 35 and 54 years old, 23 24 according to our experience. So we are talking about people in their 70s and their 80s and sometimes older 25 experiencing the abuse at the hands of someone who is 26 27 younger, so someone in their 40s, 50s generally, and also 60s and 30s. 28

29 So whilst we also see the overlay of the 30 difference between genders in that approximately 31 62 per cent of the people who experience elder abuse at

our service are women, and that means about 27 per cent 1 are men, so still a high proportion of people who 2 experience it are women, but also a significant proportion 3 4 who are experiencing it are men as well. So the distinctive characteristic is the age. So it is the 5 overlay of the age difference and the gender. 6 7 MR MOSHINSKY: I was wondering whether both of you may be able to comment on a situation that was referred to guite a 8 number of times in the course of the community 9 consultations that the Commission has carried out which is 10 a situation of the adult child who is using violent 11 behaviour to an older parent, and that situation poses a 12 13 number of very difficult issues, particularly around engagement of the police by the victim. I was wondering 14 if you are both able to speak to that situation and the 15 16 difficulties in accessing help in that situation. MS BLAKEY: Yes, I will start with perhaps just explaining 17 there are different types of abuse for elder abuse. There 18 was the mention of financial abuse, which we talked about 19 20 last time, and then there is psychological or emotional, 21 physical, social, sexual and neglect. The foremost 22 experience that we see most of at our service are financial, which we have covered, but also emotional and 23 24 psychological. So it can be the name calling, the abusive 25 language and those sorts of things.

To come to your question, the difficulty for older people in seeking assistance is that it is a family member, and it is frequently their son and daughter, and so they are very loath to take action against that person. They try to keep it within the family. So there's a sense of saying, "I don't want to call the police on my son.

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

I don't want the police involved. I don't want it to happen. I don't want my son to go to gaol", or they are worried about, "If I engage in someone to take action in this matter, what are the implications for me and my relationship?"

So for some people they are prepared to tolerate 6 7 the abuse at the expense of themselves because they don't want to lose the relationship. They are worried about 8 9 that being fractured, it being lost and that that may mean that they are even further isolated. As someone ages, 10 it's because of their health conditions and also their 11 12 peers are dying, they have less social supports and 13 networks available to them or able to access, and they become more reliant - they can become more reliant - on a 14 15 few key people, and that can be family members. So losing 16 those relationships can be very significant in terms of increasing their isolation, their loss of those 17 significant relationships, particularly if they are sons 18 and daughters, and also the threat for them that they may 19 feel that they can't stay where they are and they may have 20 21 to move into care facilities.

22 MR MOSHINSKY: Mr Chesterman, did you have any comments on that 23 scenario that I have asked about?

24 DR CHESTERMAN: Sorry, I just missed your follow-up question. 25 MR MOSHINSKY: Do you have any comments about that scenario of the adult child who is using violence, it may be physical 26 27 or it may be emotional or psychological or financial, 28 against an older parent and the difficulties confronting 29 the victim in that situation and who to turn to for help? 30 DR CHESTERMAN: Sure. The initial point I would make is 31 obviously where there has been clear criminal activity

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

then police should be the first port of call. But for the reasons that Jenny has outlined sometimes the victim will be the only witness to the behaviour and they may be reluctant to call police.

One of the things that we have identified - and 5 Seniors Rights as well - is there is what we have called 6 7 an investigations gap at the moment where there's not obvious criminal activity - so if we are talking about, 8 for instance, psychological abuse, even some forms of 9 financial abuse, which I know you have covered - who do 10 11 you call in that situation; who does the victim or others 12 who observe kind of worrying signals, who should they call? In the absence of obvious criminal activity or an 13 obvious medical emergency, then emergency services, 14 15 police, ambulance officers are unlikely to be able to 16 assist.

This is why we have said that there is a need to 17 empower a statutory authority to conduct what we would 18 call a supportive intervention or supportive investigation 19 where they would go in and just assess what's going on, 20 21 link a person to services where that's appropriate, refer 22 matters to police where that's appropriate, but be able to go in in a supportive way and identify further what's 23 24 actually going on.

MS BLAKEY: Can I add another response to that which fits with what I was saying before. Parents can have this sense of shame and not wanting to disclose what's happening and there can be a sense of, "I haven't parented well enough," or there can also be the sense of, "I need to keep trying," particularly where there may be issues with their adult child which may relate to substance use or alcohol

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 use or mental health or gambling or whatever, there is still this sense of wanting to be a parent and take care 2 of that person and try and resolve it in some way. 3 4 MR MOSHINSKY: Can I turn then to the topic of barriers to seeking assistance if you are an older person who is 5 experiencing family violence or elder abuse. What are 6 7 some of the barriers that exist to reaching out to get 8 support?

9 I think the first barrier again is identifying what MS BLAKEY: it is and knowing that such a thing as elder abuse exists; 10 11 so being prepared to then talk about it and if it's spoken 12 about with someone that they identify what it is as well. 13 So the importance is that if they talk about it with someone that they trust, it might be another family member 14 or it might be a professional such as their GP or it might 15 16 be some other health worker that they have contact with, that there is the ability again on that professional's 17 behalf to actually recognise it as elder abuse and be able 18 to respond. 19

20 So it's important that there is an awareness not 21 only within the general community and with older people 22 that this is something which exists and what it looks 23 like, but also with those parts of the service system that 24 people might come in contact with, and that might be the 25 banking system, it might be accountants, it might be hospitals, it might be GPs or whatever, it might be the 26 27 support services that come into the house to help the 28 person with their housekeeping or their shopping or 29 whatever. So it's important that people are trained to 30 recognise elder abuse and be able to respond and then act 31 in some way.

The other barrier that I mentioned before is 1 about the disclosure, so the difficulty of the older 2 person actually disclosing and feelings of trust and 3 4 feeling a concern about how will it be responded to, will they lose their relationship or will things be taken out 5 of their hands so they will no longer have control over 6 7 what they want and how they want their world and their relationships to exist. 8

9 The other difficulty of course is the isolation which I talked about before where people have less and 10 less contact with others and so therefore are not able to 11 12 have a place where they can go to and talk about it and 13 comment. That may be particularly the case for people in rural and regional areas where there is a growing number 14 of older people because younger people are leaving to find 15 16 work and study elsewhere. So there's an isolation which is geographical as well as these other barriers. 17

18 The other barrier which is probably worth noting 19 is for people of a different cultural background and their 20 ability to access information in a way which is 21 appropriate and culturally appropriate to them. So there 22 are pockets within our community who may have difficulty 23 having access to that information.

24 DR CHESTERMAN: Can I just add to that. I agree with 25 everything that Jenny has just said. I think that's a good articulation of what the barriers are. One of the 26 27 things I would just add to is in looking at the limited 28 service responses we have currently available we have 29 really got some pretty blunt strategies in this area which 30 include a person losing control being moved into an aged 31 care facility, for instance, or having a guardianship or

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

administration order taken out against them where we effectively remove their decision making authority. So as protective mechanisms they are fairly blunt ones. What we would certainly be proposing would be kind of more varied service responses that support a person and listen to what the person wants and support them better than we are currently able to do.

8 MR MOSHINSKY: At this point we might just show a short video 9 which is not specific to family violence but what raises 10 some other relevant themes. If you might turn around and 11 watch it and then I will ask you to comment after we have 12 watched it.

13 (Video played to the Commission.)

MR MOSHINSKY: I should just note that I think we missed a few seconds - - -

16 DR CHESTERMAN: There are some words at the start.

MS BLAKEY: I can tell you what that text is because I know 17 this well and it moves me every time I see it. What 18 begins is that you can see there's someone standing in 19 front, which you assume is an aged care worker or nurse, 20 21 saying, "What's this one's name? What do we call this one?" Then the woman comes in to see him and says, "These 22 aren't your clothes. Where are your clothes?" I guess 23 24 for me it highlights very strongly how older people can be regarded in our community as nameless objects, people who 25 aren't individuals, who are just treated as something to 26 27 be clothed and fed.

Then we go back through the richness of this person's life and we then immediately start to think of this person in a very different way and see him as a more complete person and someone we accord rights to, who has

wishes and desires and who may want to be in control of their life and be listened to and spoken to and speak. So I find that that illustrates the point of ageism which I guess underlies the point I was making before about the power abuse occurring between different ages, and that's the ageism that older people experience in the taking of control and the abuse they experience.

8 DR CHESTERMAN: Everyone who I show that to thinks it's just 9 fantastic. I have shown it to my teenage children, who 10 are moved by it. They have a grandfather in aged care. 11 It is a very powerful rendition of the vulnerability and 12 the lack of respect that can accompany ageing in our 13 society.

MR MOSHINSKY: Can I move then to the issue of availability of services or gaps in supports and services that are available. What are some of the main gaps that you would identify in terms of older people seeking help for elder abuse within a family context?

I think again it comes back to services knowing 19 MS PEARCE: 20 about elder abuse and being equipped and able to respond 21 to them. I have talked about that, so I won't go over that again. But the other issue is appropriate 22 accommodation. So the family violence sector is set up 23 for women and children and there can be needs for 24 accommodation for people who are older. We are not 25 26 talking about aged care facilities. People who are still 27 very active and competent don't want to end up at that point in time in a facility which is established for 28 29 different health needs. So accommodation is certainly one 30 of those issues.

31 MR MOSHINSKY: Are you referring to crisis accommodation, for

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 example?

2 MS BLAKEY: Crisis accommodation and maybe some longer term 3 accommodation. That can come up particularly where they 4 may have lost their accommodation. They may have lost their home, going back to the financial abuse that we have 5 talked about at another time. Also it may be because they 6 7 have their adult child return home. Their adult child has qone through some crisis. They have separated from their 8 9 Maybe there has been family violence within that partner. relationship. Maybe there are alcohol and drug issues or 10 11 financial problems, they have lost their job, their business has gone broke, and they turn up and they need 12 13 somewhere to live. So they return home.

There is no accommodation for them, and it comes to the family and mum and dad to take them back. For a lot of families that works really well; families manage that. But for some families it's just not appropriate and there is not alternative accommodation for the perpetrator or the person who may become the perpetrator.

I think that there is a greater need for the services for the perpetrator, and older people will frequently say to us, "Can you fix him?" So it's about services which are supportive to the family and the older person in their situation, but also to the perpetrator.

25 Certainly one of the things that we were 26 interested in exploring and one of our recommendations was 27 how there might be appropriate sort of anger management or 28 appropriate sort of courses or programs perhaps is the 29 right word for the person who is the perpetrator to assist 30 them to change their behaviour and also to support the 31 older person in undergoing that sort of change.

1 MR MOSHINSKY: Mr Chesterman?

2 DR CHESTERMAN: Yes, there are very few service responses that 3 are geared towards supporting older people. If you remove 4 aged care from the equation - and we are talking about situations of abuse and violence - there are very few that 5 are specifically focused on older people. Those that tend 6 7 to be utilised are, as I was suggesting before, fairly blunt strategies where the decision making authority often 8 9 is removed or a person is moved into an aged care setting.

You would be familiar with the state's elder 10 11 abuse prevention and response quidelines, which the most 12 recent iteration of those was 2012 to 2014 which I have 13 here, and there will be we believe some new guidelines drawn up. If you look at those, what we currently do is 14 15 largely draw on existing services and try and pool them 16 together in situations where there is elder abuse. We 17 would be liking to see more specific support mechanisms geared towards, for instance, assisting older people to 18 stay in their home and be safe in their home and have the 19 recognition that they do often still have decision making 20 21 authority over most of their lives as they have had since they have been an adult. 22

MS BLAKEY: Can I add a couple of other points that have come 23 24 to mind, and that is again to think about older people from different cultural backgrounds and in terms of the 25 26 services that would be very useful for those communities 27 is of course around providing information in appropriate 28 language and written in a culturally appropriate way 29 because for some, for example, cultures the word "abuse" 30 may only talk about physical violence, so they may need to 31 use other language. Of course there are the other issues

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

around the roles within different cultures of family 1 members and particularly how money might be handled and 2 what the adult son's role is and how older people are 3 4 regarded, particularly older women. So there is a need for the training of bicultural and bilingual workers and 5 providing information in the language which is appropriate 6 7 to them. For example, we gave advice to people from 49 different countries of origin over the last two years. 8 So 9 it's really important.

The other area of course which we haven't really 10 11 explored in great depth and so we are not an expert to 12 talk about is the Aboriginal community. But we are very 13 aware that there are issues around the way older people are regarded in Aboriginal communities, and again their 14 roles within those communities and how they are viewed by 15 16 the other members in their extended families, so making sure that there is some resourcing to Aboriginal 17 organisations to deal with that issue. 18

We also think that it's an issue which crosses a 19 20 number of sectors so that there is the aged care sector, 21 the health sector, the family violence sector, the 22 accommodation sector and so forth. So what we are really 23 keen to see is that there is some work at a regional level 24 which brings together those sectors to respond to the 25 issues in the areas. There are examples overseas of regional coordinators, and I'm thinking of New Zealand but 26 27 also in Canada and in a different way in the UK, although the set-up there is a bit different because of the 28 29 different government structures than here, so something 30 which works across the services that exist in local areas 31 and for us to be able to coordinate and to act as a bit of

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

a clearing house to share that information across regions so that we are not doing something in one area that the others don't know about, that they can learn from each other and actually leverage off each other in terms of their knowledge and responses.

Mr Chesterman, I'm not sure whether you wish to 6 MR MOSHINSKY: 7 make any further comments about the issue of investigating elder abuse beyond those you have already made so far. 8 9 DR CHESTERMAN: Yes, the point really is who does one call if a neighbour, for instance, appears to be at risk or 10 11 suffering harm but it's not obvious criminal activity, 12 it's not obvious that they are in a situation of medical 13 emergency, who do you call in that situation. That's a question that confronts us, and that was the question that 14 I took overseas in my Churchill Fellowship and came back 15 16 with some responses to that. But both of our organisations think there is an investigations gap at the 17 moment which could be cured by us doing in this state what 18 the Victorian Law Reform Commission recommended in its 19 20 guardianship final report in 2012 which was to empower in 21 this case the Office of the Public Advocate to undertake investigations where people are at risk. 22

23 MR MOSHINSKY: Are there any other responses that you saw 24 overseas through that Churchill Fellowship that would be relevant here that you might be able to refer to? 25 That was the main one because I went and 26 DR CHESTERMAN: 27 examined some quite interventionist adult protective service systems and I don't think they would translate 28 29 well here. In large part they are kind of modelled on 30 Child Protection. I don't think they have the balance 31 right in terms of respecting the wishes and autonomy of

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 the people they are investigating.

Also there was quite a problem with the extent to which adult protective services would go and investigate and make a finding. They were then quite unable to link in appropriate services. So there was nothing that I saw from those interventionist systems that I would immediately adopt.

One of the things, though, that I did see 8 9 particularly in Scotland, and this draws very much on what Jenny was saying, where they have adult protection 10 11 committees which are cross-disciplinary local committees, 12 I think there are 29 of them in operation in Scotland with 13 a population of about the same as Victoria, and they meet locally and discuss individuals who are at risk in the 14 community with a range of service providers and emergency 15 16 services. So I think that works well in Scotland and that's an idea that I think we could draw from, especially 17 not just in the elder abuse area but obviously family 18 violence more generally. 19

20 MR MOSHINSKY: Ms Blakey, in your statement at paragraphs 40.3 21 and 40.4 you deal with the issue of training or education 22 of GPs and also Victoria Police. I wonder if you might be 23 able to expand on that.

MS BLAKEY: Yes. I'm aware that both of those areas have some 24 25 information and training already, but I think it's fairly 26 limited. Our experience is there is a lack of consistency 27 in terms of GPs and their response and understanding, and I think there could be a lot more training that occurs. 28 29 I'm aware that there could be particular questions that 30 could be asked by GPs which may prompt and explore the 31 condition of the elder person and it may be simply like,

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

"Have you noticed a change in your ability to pay your bills," which might then lead to a discussion. So there are some things that could be done. There can also be importance around training GPs to ensure that they make appropriate referrals and good referrals and good assessments around the capacity of older people and their ability to make decisions.

In terms of the police, our experience has been 8 9 quite disparate. We have had instances where the response from the police has been excellent in dealing with a 10 11 situation where we have called them to an elder abuse 12 situation and other situations where it's been very poor. 13 In fact we have had one situation where the police was called because an adult grandchild called them and they 14 15 spoke to the adult children, who were the perpetrators. 16 The older people were Vietnamese and couldn't speak English and weren't spoken to, and the police left. But, 17 as I said, there have been other instances where the 18 police have been very good. 19

20 So there needs to be some greater training and 21 consistency across the police force to respond to these 22 issues and, like us all in the community, to have a 23 greater awareness of how we work and speak to older people 24 and include older people in the conversation and hearing 25 what they have to say.

26 DR CHESTERMAN: Just a footnote on GPs, people may be aware 27 that medical practitioners from 1 September are one of the 28 authorised witnesses for the powers of attorney that can 29 be executed under the new powers of attorney legislation 30 which means that they are attesting to the capacity of the 31 person to complete the instrument. Often times we know

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

that when a person is at risk or is suffering some cognitive decline but there is some concern about their financial affairs in particular they will be encouraged to complete an enduring power of attorney. So this is of relevance, I think, that GPs will have this enhanced power and role to play.

7 MS BLAKEY: We think it also is important for other professions like accountants and within the banking industry that 8 9 there is a greater awareness of elder abuse and more readiness to ask again some of those exploratory 10 11 questions, particularly where there has been a 12 relationship of trust. Also with lawyers. Lawyers might 13 be involved in transferring assets and property. Again there's been training in that area but our experience is 14 there has been different levels of response. We have had 15 16 some situations where lawyers have not really made sure that the older person has had independent advice and has 17 just treated as the son's come in and asked them to do 18 that, they have brought mum along and they do it. So 19 20 there has been a transaction which has occurred which has 21 been to the detriment of the older person.

22 We would like to see greater emphasis, possibly within law, that if there is a transfer of significant 23 24 assets and money at a point where someone is older that it's not necessarily an assumption that it's a gift - that 25 can be the assumption that's occurring within that 26 27 situation between the generations - but there might need to be more greater consideration about it being a loan or 28 29 at least making sure that there are some clear 30 arrangements about what the expectations are of this 31 money, what will happen if something goes wrong and how it

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1

might be repaid in future.

2 MR MOSHINSKY: I'm not sure if the Commissioners have any 3 questions.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: Yes, I did. We heard earlier 4 about the introduction of consumer directed care in 5 disability. Of course that's happening in aged care 6 7 driven by the Commonwealth and the introduction of a more competitive market of service providers, and of course 8 9 that opens up I think the potential of coercion of people receiving care by family members, particularly where 10 11 there's now greater transparency around how many - the 12 level of funds available to that person et cetera. Do you 13 have any views about what sort of safeguards are required? Are there safeguards? Are they adequate? 14 DR CHESTERMAN: We have given this a lot of thought in the 15 16 context of disability as well about which you will have heard. I think it's very important that the consumer 17 choice philosophy is not being implemented to the 18 detriment of those who have some decision making 19 20 disability which can be something that's lifelong or it 21 can be acquired through an injury, through an accident or, for instance, with dementia. So the question is - - -22 23 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: Even where they don't have 24 impaired decision making ability it's been said that the 25 potential is for family coercion in determining what sort of care they get, the level of care et cetera. 26 27 DR CHESTERMAN: In that case I think a range of safeguards are

I think you have probably heard in the last session and monitoring of how money is being spent. It depends on the situation in which the person is living what monitoring is

The availability of advocacy is one about which

needed.

appropriate. If they are in a private home it's more
 difficult. But in any supported residential setting we
 would say there needs to be monitoring.
 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: The vast bulk will be in

5 private homes receiving care packages.

6 DR CHESTERMAN: We won't go into the area of financial abuse, 7 but that's in my view likely to be the most significant 8 danger. So we would be recommending greater kind of 9 police ability to monitor financial abuse and recognise it 10 and respond to it.

11 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: Do you have any specific 12 recommendations about the sort of safeguards that are 13 going to be required in this new environment?

MS BLAKEY: The only recommendation I can make - and you have 14 posed a great problem and we have the dilemma of how we 15 16 respond to it - is if there is an independent case worker, so there's someone which is standing outside the family 17 who has a role to work with the older person around the 18 choices they are making and again maybe more attuned then 19 20 to picking up where there is undue influence on the older 21 person and decisions being made which perhaps are not 22 representing the person's needs and then having the ability through that relationship to have the discussion 23 24 separately with the older person about what they want and 25 how they manage the difficulties which are occurring 26 around perhaps other needs within the family. That would 27 be my suggestion.

28 DR CHESTERMAN: Can I just quickly add. The safeguards would 29 be both preventative and responsive. The preventative one 30 would be education about what is appropriate and what is 31 inappropriate in that kind of setting, because some family

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 members do think that they have an entitlement that they 2 actually don't have. So that can lead to situations of 3 abuse. In terms of responding, I would say again the idea 4 of having an agency that could be phoned where there is a 5 situation of concern to a neighbour or another family 6 member who could then go and look at what is happening in 7 that situation.

8 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: I had two other quick 9 questions, if I may, counsel. Are you aware of any 10 training given to people that are delivering care packages 11 into the home, personal care attendants et cetera, that 12 would enable them to identify or understand the risk of 13 family violence?

MS BLAKEY: Yes, there is training. The State Government 14 15 funded Victoria University to run training for home and 16 community care workers, and there was a substantial amount of training that occurred over the previous two years. 17 That funding to Victoria University has ceased and there 18 is now an on-line training program which the State 19 20 Government runs. There is also - I'm not quite sure of 21 the right word - licensing or recognition to two agencies, two registered training organisations to deliver training, 22 and that is fee based training. I'm not really convinced 23 whether that's sufficient and whether there needs to be 24 more that's done because I think that care workers who 25 26 enter into the home are a key point to identify issues 27 that may be of concern.

28 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: Is there any requirement upon 29 service providers funded by the Commonwealth who are going 30 into the home to have any training?

31 MS BLAKEY: Not that I'm aware of. I think the initial vision

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

was to have it incorporated into mandatory training, so
 particular diplomas and certificates, so it became a
 module that people had to undertake as part of their
 training.

I think there is also a need, though, for 5 training to continue because once you have had the 6 7 training that then becomes more and more distant with time. So there needs to be refreshers in terms of 8 training and, I guess, the services that deliver that 9 training. There was one service, Aged Care Channel, which 10 11 we explored some time ago about delivering some training on-line which goes out to care facilities. But I think 12 13 that's just residential. I'm not sure that would reach workers who would then go into people's private homes. 14 15 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: My other question was about the 16 care packages that are delivered into the homes, because that's the bulk of the people, as I understand. There are 17 far more receiving care in that way than in residential 18 settings. Are those packages able to be easily and 19 quickly adjusted or redeployed in circumstances of family 20 21 violence; for example, perhaps enable someone to travel or to purchase temporary accommodation? 22 DR CHESTERMAN: T'm not sure. 23 24 MS BLAKEY: I don't have experience in that so I couldn't 25 answer it. 26 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: I have no further questions, thank you, 27 counsel. MR MOSHINSKY: If the witnesses could be excused and if we 28

29 could adjourn until 2 o'clock.

30 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you very much indeed for your 31 evidence.

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1	<(THE WITNESSES WITHDREW)
2	LUNCHEON ADJOURNMENT
3	
4	
5	
б	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	

1 UPON RESUMING AT 2.00 PM:

MR MOSHINSKY: Commissioners, before the next witness is called 2 I would like to indicate to the Commission that some of 3 4 the evidence this morning during the panel relating to 5 people with a disability went beyond the evidence 6 foreshadowed to the State. In the circumstances, the 7 State would like the opportunity to consider whether it wishes to put on any evidence or make a submission in 8 response and arrangements will be made in this regard. 9 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you, Mr Moshinsky. That's 10 11 appropriate. 12 MR MOSHINSKY: The next witness is Mr Fonzi. If he could please be sworn in. 13 <ROCCO FONZI, sworn and examined: 14 15 MR MOSHINSKY: Mr Fonzi, could you please state your current position? 16 MR FONZI: I am the Director of the Client Outcomes and Service 17 Improvement branch of the East Division of the Department 18 of Health and Human Services. 19 20 MR MOSHINSKY: Have you prepared a witness statement for the 21 Royal Commission? MR FONZI: Yes, I have. 22 MR MOSHINSKY: Are the contents of your statement true and 23 24 correct? MR FONZI: Yes, it is. 25 26 MR MOSHINSKY: Your statement deals with the disability family 27 violence crisis initiative and you explain in the 28 statement how the fund came about and how it operates. 29 I just want to ask you a few specific questions about the 30 fund. 31 In paragraph 14 you indicate that the primary aim

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 of the initiative is to provide immediate disability 2 support when required to women with a disability who are experiencing family violence or children with a disability 3 who are experiencing family violence with their mother, 4 and then in paragraph 15 you indicate the initiative is 5 designed to achieve certain objectives. Perhaps if 6 7 I could just ask you to sort of encapsulate briefly what sort of gap was this initiative designed to address? 8 9 The initiative was designed to address the gap of MR FONZI: where a woman with a disability or a woman caring for a 10 11 child with a disability was experiencing family violence 12 and the specific disability that she had or the disability 13 needs acted as a barrier for her escaping the family violence. This initiative provides resources and funding 14 15 in an immediate and flexible way to allow the woman to receive those supports, to basically supplement the 16 support that she's providing so that it no longer acts as 17 18 a barrier. I think the previous panel gave quite a detailed and good example about a woman who is relying on 19 an intimate partner who is also the perpetrator. 20 21 MR MOSHINSKY: In terms of the criteria for being eligible to access this fund, you indicate in paragraph 16 that there 22 are three criteria. I won't read them all out at the 23 24 moment, but is one of the criteria that the person has to have a disability as defined by the Disability Act? 25 MR FONZI: Yes, it is. 26 27 MR MOSHINSKY: Is one of the implications of that criteria that 28 the person needs to be assessed as having a permanent 29 disability? MR FONZI: Yes, that's right. 30

31 MR MOSHINSKY: So is one of the gaps in terms of this fund

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

that, if one isn't yet assessed as having a permanent
 disability, one would not be eligible to access this fund?
 MR FONZI: That's correct.

4 MR MOSHINSKY: We had some evidence this morning, I think you 5 have been in the hearing during the day, from Ms Hargrave who gave evidence of a situation of a woman who was driven 6 7 over, was unable to walk, the doctors were unable to say definitely that her disability was permanent and therefore 8 wasn't able to access the fund. Is that a situation where 9 someone wouldn't be able to take up this initiative? 10 11 MR FONZI: Without hearing more about the specific case, if it 12 wasn't determined that it was permanent, then they wouldn't be eligible. But I would just like to add that 13 in situations where - we can show some discretion in some 14 15 situations and if there was no other available funds to assist a woman to escape the family violence, we could 16 show some discretion in that situation. 17

MR MOSHINSKY: But in terms of discretion, are you able to exercise discretion in terms of whether they meet a disability within the definition of the Act? MR FONZI: No, that's pretty fixed. But I guess the point I'm trying to make is that where we are faced with a woman who has a barrier to escape family violence and if there is no

other way of supporting that, then we would do all that wecould to assist her to escape the family violence.

26 MR MOSHINSKY: Are you referring to perhaps drawing on other 27 - -

28 MR FONZI: Initially, yes, we would look at what other supports 29 were available, yes.

30 MR MOSHINSKY: I think this has been drawn to your attention 31 that the lay witness who gave evidence on day 8 was a

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

woman with a disability and she had been subject to 1 violence from her partner who was also her carer. 2 The police took out an intervention order which precluded him 3 4 returning to the home and Child Protection were also involved. But, notwithstanding the police involvement and 5 6 the Child Protection involvement, for a period of eight 7 weeks there was no-one else provided as a carer and she didn't have a shower for some eight weeks. Is one of the 8 issues communicating the message that the initiative is 9 available to relevant people such as police and Child 10 11 Protection?

12 MR FONZI: I think that's the case. From what I heard of the 13 case, I think that's what happened in that instance. We do quite a bit of promotional activity. There's a 14 full-time liaison officer and more and more of her time is 15 16 spent visiting agencies and promoting the initiative and providing information about it. It was one of the issues 17 that was identified in the independent evaluation that was 18 undertaken after the pilot and so the promotional 19 activities were significantly increased. 20

21 MR MOSHINSKY: The last question I wanted to ask you was about what will happen, so far as you are able to say, under the 22 23 NDIS. You deal with this towards the end of your statement, but what's the bottom line in terms of will 24 this initiative continue after the NDIS commences? 25 26 The service type and the funding for this initiative MR FONZI: 27 are in scope to transfer to the National Disability 28 Insurance Agency. So what that means is that, upon full 29 implementation, the State Government would not provide 30 this service. So what we are doing in the transition 31 process is that we are advocating very strongly to the

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 National Disability Insurance Scheme about the need for this kind of response to continue. My understanding is 2 that the NDIA are aware of that and they are doing some 3 4 work to look at how they deal with crisis response across the whole of the population that's eligible for the NDIA, 5 not just for this specific group. But we are certainly 6 7 making it clear that this is a keen need that's required and needs to continue, and in the interim we will continue 8 in the State Government to provide the service right up to 9 the transition period to make sure no one falls through 10 11 the gaps.

MR MOSHINSKY: What's the timing on finding out whether it will be continued under the NDIS?

MR FONZI: The bilateral agreement has to be signed or is 14 planned to be signed later in August. It will give quite 15 16 a bit more information about what the timing and the phasing will be. The full implementation is in June 2019, 17 so it will be some time between August and that period and 18 we will need to work with the NDIA about how we transition 19 this particular function. So, I don't have any sort of 20 21 more definitive dates other than that particular period. So is the position that it's as yet not clear 22 MR MOSHINSKY: whether this sort of initiative will be able to be 23

MR FONZI: My understanding is that crisis response will be available and that will be a service that's provided by the NDIA, and it will be provided so all of the clients that are responsible, and that's the type of service that will be able to take over from this particular initiative. So, as best I can tell, it's something that would be provided as part of crisis response, but at the end of the

available after the NDIS commences?

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

24

1 day it will be up to the NDIA to decide how and when it 2 phases that in.

3 MR MOSHINSKY: Thank you. Do the Commissioners have any questions?

5 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: I just have one. Will the crisis response 6 deal with a situation where the woman herself would not be 7 a recipient of support under the NDIS, but the child is 8 disabled and because the women is escaping family violence 9 she has an issue about where she is going to go and how 10 she's going to manage?

11 MR FONZI: Under the current arrangements that would be 12 eligible. My understanding is that that should be 13 eligible under the new scheme and that's certainly something we will advocate to because it is the same 14 15 eligibility as we require at the moment. As I said, the 16 NDIA will make decisions about that, but I would have thought that that should be something that should be 17 18 covered.

19 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Does any other state have an arrangement 20 of this kind, because if Victoria is the only one and an 21 attempt is being made to get the Commonwealth to pick it 22 up, it might be in a stronger position to do so if other 23 states had a similar sort of arrangement; do you know? 24 MR FONZI: My understanding is no other state has one of these 25 programs and that was identified in the evaluation.

26 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you.

27 MR MOSHINSKY: If the witness could please be excused.

28 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you very much, Mr Fonzi.

29 <(THE WITNESS WITHDREW)

30 MS DAVIDSON: I call the next panel of witnesses. We have
 31 Ms Maya Avdibegovic and Ms Elizabeth Becker, both of whom

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

are from inTouch, and Ms Joumanah El Matrah. 1 2 <MAYA AVDIBEGOVIC, sworn and examined: <ELIZABETH BECKER, affirmed and examined: 3 <JOUMANAH EL MATRAH, affirmed and examined:</pre> 4 MS DAVIDSON: Perhaps can I start with you, Ms Avdibegovic. 5 Have you made a statement for the Commission? Are you 6 7 able to confirm that your statement is true and correct? MS AVDIBEGOVIC: Yes. 8 MS DAVIDSON: Can you just explain perhaps what the role of 9 inTouch is and the communities that it serves? 10 11 MS AVDIBEGOVIC: Intouch Multicultural Centre Against Family 12 Violence is a statewide agency that provides services, 13 programs and responses to culturally and linguistically diverse communities around issues of family violence and 14 15 we have been around for more than 30 years and the work 16 that we do is across the whole continuum of family 17 violence. So we run prevention activities, early 18 intervention programs, multi-disciplinary crisis response and post-crisis response, advocacy research and we do 19 20 provide training to the mainstream services around 21 cultural competency. MS DAVIDSON: Can I turn to you, now, Ms Becker. You have also 22 made a statement for the Commission? 23 24 MS BECKER: That's correct. 25 MS DAVIDSON: Can you confirm that that's true and correct? 26 MS BECKER: It is. 27 MS DAVIDSON: You are also employed with inTouch. Can you tell the Commission what your role is? 28 29 I'm the Principal Lawyer at inTouch, so I run the MS BECKER: 30 inTouch Legal Centre. MS DAVIDSON: So you are in the inTouch Legal Centre? 31

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 2622 BY MS DAVIDSON Royal Commission AVDIBEGOVIC/BECKER/EL MATRAH XN

1 MS BECKER: I'm the Principal Lawyer at the inTouch Legal 2 Centre. MS DAVIDSON: How many lawyers does the centre have? 3 MS BECKER: We currently have four lawyers employed and a 4 number of volunteers. 5 MS DAVIDSON: I think the statement and the inTouch submission 6 7 identifies that you also have a registered immigration agent; is that correct? 8 MS BECKER: We do. 9 MS DAVIDSON: You are the only service that has an immigration 10 agent within - - -11 12 MS BECKER: A family violence setting, that's correct. MS DAVIDSON: Can I turn now to you, Ms El Matrah. You have 13 made a statement for the Commission? 14 15 MS EL MATRAH: Yes, I have. MS DAVIDSON: Can you confirm that that's true and correct? 16 MS EL MATRAH: Yes. 17 MS DAVIDSON: Can you tell the Commission what your role is and 18 what your organisation is involved with? 19 MS EL MATRAH: I'm the Executive Director of the Australian 20 Muslim Women's Centre. We are a nationwide service. In 21 Victoria we provide services, one-to-one support and also 22 information to Muslim women around ostensibly anything 23 that could be called the social welfare issue, and so we 24 do do a lot of work on domestic violence and we provide 25 training for service providers in Victoria. 26 27 MS DAVIDSON: Perhaps to begin with I will ask you, 28 Ms Avdibegovic, you are a migrant yourself; is that right? 29 MS AVDIBEGOVIC: Yes. 30 MS DAVIDSON: Can you tell the Commission from your experience 31 what the experience of a migrant is like, when does it .DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 2623 BY MS DAVIDSON

Royal Commission

AVDIBEGOVIC/BECKER/EL MATRAH XN

1

start and when does it finish?

MS AVDIBEGOVIC: Migration experience, and I'm talking about 2 this again in the context of family violence, so migration 3 experience on its own, it's a fairly important milestone 4 and a very traumatic experience even under the best 5 circumstances. But when you add other complexities to 6 7 that and experience of family violence, it becomes really complex. Migration has a very big impact on 8 perceptions of how you experience family violence. 9

In terms of the migrants and newly arrived 10 11 communities, that whole journey starts pre-migration. We 12 have communities who have different pre-migration 13 experiences, and pre-migration experiences have big impact on issues around family violence and when they happen once 14 families arrive to Australia. A lot of families, there 15 are families who have spent all their lives in refugee 16 camps, there are families who have had very traumatic 17 18 pre-migration journeys.

19 In terms of the settlement experience, that's 20 also another journey. Settlement is also not one point in 21 time. It's a lifelong journey for the first generation of 22 migrants and also experiences of settlement have a really 23 big impact on the experience of family violence.

24 MS DAVIDSON: Can I address the question perhaps to all the 25 panel members, but what is the role of culture and family 26 violence?

MS AVDIBEGOVIC: I might start and then I will pass it to you. I think what we fairly often see when it comes to issues of family violence in CALD communities is we quite often hear the statement, "It's our family violence, but it's their culture," and we quite often focus on some cultural

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission 1 practices and some very specific forms of family violence and very easily attach the label of culture to those forms 2 of family violence. I'm talking in particular about 3 issues such as honour killings, forced marriage, female 4 genital mutilation, dowry, those kinds of things. 5 I'm not saying they don't exist, I think that they exist, but they 6 7 are only the tip of the iceberg when you look at the complexity of family violence issues in CALD communities. 8

9 I think what we really have to talk about is that universal culture and that's the culture that excuses 10 11 violence and that's the culture of gender inequality, the 12 culture of male dominance, the culture of power and 13 control being perpetrated by men. So the causes of violence are the same as in the mainstream communities and 14 15 we have to be very mindful of that. Saying that, where culture plays a really big role is in how we provide 16 support and how do we develop and tailor the programs that 17 18 target CALD communities from prevention to post-crisis support, that's where we need very a targeted approach and 19 20 that's where we need to take into account the role of the 21 culture.

22 MS DAVIDSON: Ms El Matrah?

The only thing I would add to that is that men 23 MS EL MATRAH: 24 who are violent against their spouses or children or so 25 forth often themselves use the cultural defence. It's 26 really typical for men who are violent to have excuses for 27 their violence, anything else other than accepting 28 responsibility. So it's really important at that point 29 that people are well versed in exactly what violence is 30 about and that it's not about culture.

31 The other thing that I would add to really

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission reinforce Maya's point is that unless you attend to the issues of culture when you are working on prevention and shifting community attitudes and the way gender inequality manifests itself in different cultures, you are unlikely to actually be able to manage change and to eradicate violence.

7 MS DAVIDSON: On the topic of accessing services and the support and providing support to women and children from 8 9 culturally and linguistically diverse communities, we have a slide in relation to some research that was done by 10 11 Dr Satyen which compares some of the reasons why migrant women might - I might need to have one of these passed up 12 13 to you - not seek help relative to non-migrant women. I think each of you deals with these sorts of issues in 14 15 your statements.

The first issue that often is discussed in 16 relation to migrant women is knowledge. How does the 17 knowledge of services differ within migrant communities 18 compared to within non-migrant communities and how does 19 20 that play out in terms of accessing services and support? 21 MS AVDIBEGOVIC: Talking about newly arrived communities, you obviously have someone who has just arrived to the country 22 and they really do focus on what are the priorities for 23 24 them when they want to settle. So, finding out about family violence at that point in the time might not be the 25 26 priority for the newly arrived communities.

You also have to understand that those newly arrived families left everything behind and have come to a country, to a completely different system. So, apart from language barriers, there is also huge gaps in their knowledge about how the system works and what is available

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

2626 BY MS DAVIDSON AVDIBEGOVIC/BECKER/EL MATRAH XN

to them. The current Australian system might be completely different from what is available in their own country, if there is anything existing at all. So there are a lot of those gaps, particularly in the first years of migrating to Australia, that newly arrived communities have to deal with.

7 On top of that there are some other barriers in 8 terms of accessing services and in particular to family violence services but also to justice and legal services. 9 In 2009 we did a research called "Legal barriers for CALD 10 11 women experiencing family violence" and that research 12 report talks a lot about the range of barriers on two 13 different levels: first of accessing the services and then, secondly, once they are in the court and justice 14 15 system, other barriers that they experience.

16 So there is a range of that, and I don't want to 17 repeat all of them because they are all in our submission. 18 But maybe Elizabeth wants to talk a little more 19 specifically about legal barriers.

MS BECKER: Yes. What we found at the inTouch Legal Service is 20 21 there are a number of steps that our clients fall through 22 the gaps in mainstream legal services. There is the original issue of a lack of knowledge of the legal system, 23 24 of fear of authority, of language barriers, of social isolation, but when they actually do try to engage a legal 25 service, any kind of referral is extremely difficult for 26 27 our clients. If they are referred to an alternative legal 28 centre or if they are just being given, like at court, a 29 brochure about legal services, they can't actually follow 30 through that next step of where to go. That's how we at 31 inTouch try to carry them through the continuum of the

1 legal issue.

2 MS DAVIDSON: Ms El Matrah?

MS EL MATRAH: I would support all those insights. I would 3 4 also add that for some women they do experience a range of restrictions that are tied to their cultural entity. 5 One 6 may be a generalised prohibition within their community 7 around not breaking the family apart and the blame that targets women when they try to leave a violent situation. 8 Often their families actively try to restrict them from 9 accessing assistance and help. I'm sure you guys would 10 11 have had a lot of experience where women have come to court, say, to get an intervention order or something like 12 that and have found not only the perpetrator there with a 13 community leader, but in fact not only his family, but in 14 fact her family as well, trying to prevent her from 15 getting an order. So, those things are really important. 16

17 The final thing I would say is that when women go 18 to religious leaders, this doesn't occur nearly as much as 19 people say, but where they do go to religious leaders, 20 often religious leaders use religion as a prohibition of 21 seeking outside help as well.

MS DAVIDSON: The data in the slide identifies that not knowing that domestic violence is illegal is a much bigger issue for CALD communities than non-migrant communities. Not knowing how to get protection is also a much bigger issue. How do you go about breaking down those knowledge gaps in migrant communities?

MS AVDIBEGOVIC: Obviously prevention and awareness raising plays a big part in that, but I also think what is even more critical is provision of the crisis intervention services at that end. So when you finally have a woman

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

that is brave and courageous enough to seek assistance and disclose all the details of her personal life when she comes to the service, and I will talk about our service in this example, we have developed a model that is trying really hard to overcome a lot of those barriers.

So, engaging bicultural, bilingual workers is the 6 7 first step. I know that we quite often talk about issues around interpreters a lot and how to overcome those. I'm 8 not going to talk about it now, but I just want to say 9 that even in the case where you have a perfect interpreter 10 11 there, it's a third person between the case worker and the 12 client and that whole issue of establishing trust and the 13 relationship and enabling that client to disclose most personal details is actually a huge barrier. 14

So we promote that use of bilingual, bicultural 15 16 workers and we developed a model in our service where we have 12 workers who provide services in 25 different 17 languages and that makes a huge difference. In addition 18 to that, and Elizabeth mentioned that earlier, a lot of 19 our clients are women who don't have permanent residency, 20 21 so that is obviously a huge barrier and a huge sort of risk factor for those women. We are the only service that 22 has an in-house registered migration agent, the only 23 24 family violence service, and she specialises in providing support to women who are on spousal visa and accessing 25 26 family violence provisions under the Migration Act.

We supported 377 women in the last financial year to access family violence provisions, but I have to add there that that service has been provided by inTouch for the last 15 years and it's an unfunded service.

31 In addition to that, we established an in-house

legal centre. So, legal needs are obviously huge and 1 access to legal system and to justice system is a huge 2 issue for our client. So we again have that in-house 3 legal centre which practices so-called therapeutic 4 lawyering model which is based on social workers and 5 lawyers working together. The clients are really 6 7 transitioned softly from their case workers to the lawyers. There is ongoing communication between case 8 workers and lawyers and they are continuously working 9 together on client files. 10

11 In addition to that court support, we see a lot of clients coming to the court, in particular after the 12 weekend, and the safety notice is being issued on the 13 weekend. In most of those cases they are police initiated 14 intervention orders; clients - it's not their will to be 15 there and they don't know what to expect. So, having case 16 17 workers at the courts is really important. That can make 18 the whole journey very, very different for the clients, and really when you catch them at that early stages and 19 provide information about services available, that can 20 21 make a huge difference. At this stage we have case workers at Sunshine, Dandenong and Heidelberg courts. 22

So, those are sort of the elements of the model, 23 24 I think, that is quite appropriate to meet the needs of CALD women when they experience family violence and the 25 model that can support them and make sure that a lot of 26 27 those barriers - that we overcome a lot of the barriers. 28 MS DAVIDSON: Can I just take it back just a step. How do they 29 get to know, one, that it's illegal; two, that there is a 30 service out there that can help? How do they even get to your service in the first place? What sort of things do 31

2630

you think need to happen in the system in order to improve the knowledge of women in the first place of what the system can provide, that family violence is illegal, that they can perhaps approach police officers, that they can trust police officers potentially to do the right thing? How do we get women to a service like yourselves earlier in the piece? Do you have any ideas about that?

MS AVDIBEGOVIC: I think it's not only about how do we get them 8 9 to come to our service. It's also about how do we get to them and how do we make ourselves more accessible. 10 11 Obviously a lot of work in the prevention, awareness raising, providing information, that's quite important, 12 13 but it's only the initial step. A lot of our clients come to us through the courts, so I think courts are a really 14 15 good place where you can access those clients quite early, 16 because we can see a lot of clients, even if there is an intervention order and they come to the court, you see 17 them there and then they disappear. They either withdraw 18 the intervention order or they don't provide the 19 appropriate support and they just - they are lost to the 20 21 system. So for them to come back to the system again, it's a real issue. 22

23 A lot of our clients come through other family violence services, but a lot of them are also 24 self-referrals. About one-third of the clients that we 25 see are self-referrals. I would assume that would be 26 27 through specific agencies that we provide information with and work through them, that would be through settlement 28 29 services that we also work with. So there are other ways 30 of providing information to the other services and raising 31 that awareness.

1 But, as I said, it's also a matter of us going to them and improving access for them. What I see from our 2 service, we are a statewide service that has a head office 3 4 centrally located, but what we try to do is to establish outposts at the courts. We are now partnered with Maurice 5 Blackburn so we have access to their office space in 6 7 Dandenong and Sunshine and can provide outposts there. From October this year we will have an outpost in 8 9 Dandenong Hospital. So, it's those places where those women will come and seek the help. 10

11 I think that's something that we should think 12 about how to improve access for them, particularly in 13 those areas where we have a high CALD population, for example Dandenong where you have 60 per cent of the first 14 generation migrants, and then it's the whole question of 15 16 what is the mainstream there. Should we only have CALD 17 services in Dandenong providing services to all the population? 18

But in those areas, Dandenong, Sunshine, 19 20 Broadmeadows, it's really important to make sure that we 21 have outposts there where clients can access the services. 22 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Counsel, I have a question about that. At 23 Dandenong and at Heidelberg there are applicant workers 24 and respondent workers who deal with the victims of family 25 violence and those against whom they are seeking orders. 26 There will be a duty lawyer. There will be various other 27 people. How does inTouch work with the other bodies that are at those courts? Not at all courts, but at those 28 29 courts?

30 MS AVDIBEGOVIC: We are part of the court support network at31 both Dandenong and Sunshine and Heidelberg. I think the

roles - we have been there for quite a while, so the roles 1 are quite defined and clear and they know which days we 2 That was all done in consultation with the court 3 are on. 4 staff, that the magistrates and the court staff really knew what were the most important days for us to be there. 5 6 So in Sunshine and Dandenong we are there on Monday and in 7 Heidelberg we are on Friday because it's now under - - -COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Just as a practical matter, if somebody 8 9 goes to the registry at the court, they would be told, "There is a worker from inTouch here who may be able to 10 11 speak your language," won't always, but may be able to and at least will have some insights into the difficulties 12 that CALD women face. 13

14 MS AVDIBEGOVIC: Yes, the applicant workers would also refer 15 them.

16 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: So they'd work together with the applicant 17 workers, presumably.

18 MS AVDIBEGOVIC: Yes.

19 MS DAVIDSON: Ms El Matrah, from your perspective how do we 20 overcome or are there other points in the service system 21 where migrant women might make an early contact, health 22 services, those sorts of places where there are greater 23 opportunities, if we were to tap into them, to improve the 24 ability for women to know about services and access 25 services earlier?

26 MS EL MATRAH: I think there is always a lot of work that can 27 be done on the service sector itself, raising their 28 awareness how to engage with CALD women and specifically 29 Muslim women and I think work can be done there. In my 30 own experience, 20 years of working on violence, I find 31 that the best thing has been community education and going

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 out to women and consistently doing that to inform them of 2 what domestic violence is, the impact of domestic violence 3 on their lives, because a lot of women don't understand 4 that and, when they do, that can propel them forward, and 5 what are the services available.

When we have done that work over a five-year 6 7 period, one-to-one case work, the women who are accessing our support for domestic violence jumped from 40 per cent 8 of our case work to 80 per cent of our case work, so this 9 is really a very powerful strategy that unfortunately we 10 11 have not rolled out very much. So, increasingly government and services have relied on written material 12 13 about family violence. It just doesn't work in the way that actually going out to women's groups and working with 14 15 them, getting onto the radio, getting community leaders to do some messaging around family violence, not to do the 16 work but to do the messaging. 17

I think that to date we haven't made those 18 investments and I think if the investments were made, in 19 addition to work increasing the knowledge of the service 20 21 sector about how to respond to CALD and having people present at the courts, that in itself I think would make a 22 substantial difference. The sort of work we have done for 23 24 the Australian community or the Anglo-Saxon community around awareness raising is far greater than anything we 25 26 have done with CALD communities, so that needs to be done.

The final thing I would say is that I think a shift in the culture for Muslim communities around family violence and for women specifically would be greatly assisted by if there was a refuge specifically for Muslim women because we feel that that's the greatest barrier for

2634

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

women wanting to leave a situation of violence. They are just too scared about where they're going to go and the consequences of that, and that's become a sort of cultural impediment, really.

5 MS DAVIDSON: Is that the case for other CALD communities and 6 CALD women, not having access to a service that is 7 specifically for them? Is that an experience that you 8 would have observed?

9 MS AVDIBEGOVIC: Yes, definitely. In terms of the housing and the crisis accommodation, definitely there are other 10 issues. We know the issues for Muslim women. 11 We also 12 know the issues around large families who have a lot of 13 children. We know issues of the boys of a certain age not being able to accompany their mothers and go there. But 14 15 also the women who don't have permanent residency, there 16 are issues there because they are not eligible for a lot of other services like the financial support and because 17 of the process of applying for permanent residency under 18 the family violence provision, that whole process can take 19 20 up to a year.

21 So, if you have a woman in a crisis accommodation and you don't actually have an exit plan for that client 22 and she can stay there for a year instead of what is an 23 24 average of six to eight weeks, it really creates a lot of blockages in the system. So we have seen a lot of 25 26 refuges - I think they are doing their best to accommodate 27 those clients, but they really have to be mindful of how 28 many of them they can accommodate.

The other issue is also women on student visas. It's really conflicting for them. If they need to go to a refuge, most of the refuges are high security refuges.

1 They can't continue attending their university courses and 2 if they stop attending their university courses that has 3 immediate impact on their visa status. So they are really 4 in a very, very difficult position. So I think some of 5 the housing services and the crisis accommodation services 6 that meet specifically the needs of CALD women, that would 7 be really good.

8 MS DAVIDSON: We heard from a witness on the first day of the 9 hearings who had four children and was unable to find 10 accommodation for herself and four children. How much 11 accommodation is there, in your experience, that would 12 cater for large families?

MS EL MATRAH: It is not even 10 per cent, I think, of the housing stock caters for families of that size, and women have made choices about what children to leave behind, and it's worked against them later on when they have sought custody. It specifically disadvantages women.

MS DAVIDSON: Can I perhaps raise the issue of perpetrators, if 18 they are from a CALD community. To what extent are there 19 20 services available for men who use violence in a language 21 and that are culturally appropriate to their needs? MS AVDIBEGOVIC: There are almost none. As far as I know, 22 there are few of them. We started, in partnership with 23 other services, in 2009 the first Vietnamese men's 24 behaviour change program. So that's been running for six 25 26 years now, with very sort of sporadic funding 27 opportunities and I think for the first two or three years 28 it was funded by the partners. We are also in the process 29 of establishing Arabic speaking men's behaviour change 30 programs.

31 So all of these programs are designed according

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

to No To Violence standards for men's behaviour change programs. In addition to that we have three additional weeks and those are the first three weeks of the programs where we cover some specific issues such as pre-migration experiences, torture, trauma, settlement, migration experiences and their impact on family violence, and then gradually start talking about it.

8 But still it's a program; at the end of the day 9 it's still a program that is designed for mainly Anglo men 10 rather than taking into account what would work for CALD 11 men. If you ask me what would work for CALD men, I don't 12 have an answer to that because we haven't done any 13 research on that. That's a huge gap.

Also there are some South-Asian programs designed 14 15 for the South-Asian group that are delivered in English, but are taking sort of into account specific cultural 16 issues, and that's all that is there. So if you have a 17 perpetrator who is mandated to attend a program but he 18 doesn't speak English, there is nowhere for him to go. 19 So 20 it's almost giving him again permission to continue 21 perpetrating violence because there is no punishment for 22 him.

23 So I think what we need to start looking at is 24 more CALD-specific men's behaviour change programs. We also need to look into what are the other options and do a 25 26 bit of research and actually talk to CALD communities, 27 because I think that whole question about the difference between individualist and collective sort of communities 28 29 has to be taken into account there, because the approach 30 is it might not be that CALD men feel comfortable sitting 31 in a group environment with 10 other men that they don't

1

don't know and talk about their most personal things.

There are also some other programs that we can look at, programs that are focusing on fatherhood. That might be an option. Some other early intervention programs that are quite important, in particular for newly arrived migrants. So, there is a whole range of unexplored sort of territory there that we need to look at.

9 MS EL MATRAH: I would agree with all of that and would add that for Muslims there hasn't really been any engagement 10 11 in Australia, and actually not just for Muslims, but for 12 religious communities, spiritual abuse, which is basically 13 the use of religion to justify gender inequality and to justify violence against women. A lot of that work is 14 happening in the US and it's happening in Canada and other 15 16 European countries, and in Australia we seem to be completely silent about that and we focus on religious 17 leaders rather than tackling spiritual abuse. 18

So there needs to be a way of actually dealing 19 20 with that too. No sort of preventative program can be 21 developed or is going to be useful unless spiritual abuse 22 is actually looked at, because at the moment what you have is that men who promote Islam have one particular view to 23 24 women and violence and western culture has another view to women and experience of violence, and religious leaders 25 and men saying, "I'm not going to give up my religion just 26 27 to fit into Australia." So we shouldn't even allow men to 28 get into that sort of dichotomy. Any work with men has to 29 attend to that issue.

30 MS DAVIDSON: There has been often talk about faith based
 31 approaches to preventing family violence. Do you have a

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1

-

view on that issue?

2 MS EL MATRAH: The faith based approach was brought into Australia because of some research that had been 3 4 done - some work that had been done in the US. That literature and the work that was done in the US is not in 5 any way comparable to the sort of diversity of communities 6 7 we see in Australia. So I would dispute whether the work done in the US has any relevance for us here in the first 8 9 place.

The second thing is that the vast majority of 10 11 faith based work that people have tried to undertake has actually focused on religious leaders. There is no way 12 for us to make religious leaders accountable for their 13 conduct, accountable for what they say, and we cannot 14 15 monitor what they say to women and men when they are alone with them. We also cannot police community leaders' 16 17 views. So it has been highly problematic, this sort of 18 I would say slightly naive approach to the issue.

It is important to recognise where religion plays 19 a role and most especially if you are undertaking 20 21 preventative work and to recognise that women do have a religious identity that is important to them and must be 22 catered for and respected, but that doesn't mean you need 23 24 to work with religious leaders. It is more important to work with organisations and experts who actually can 25 demonstrate their expertise, have undertaken work in the 26 27 area and perhaps are even registered to do the work, and 28 not with religious leaders who happen to be saying the 29 right thing to the right people and perhaps saying 30 something entirely different to their community.

The final thing I would say is that while

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

31

religious leaders may not agree with violence against women, a great number of religious leaders do see men and women as unequal and the idea of men being superior to women is one of the lead contributors to violence against women. Unless that shifts, no amount of support to them to eradicate violence is going to work.

7 MS AVDIBEGOVIC: We haven't had a lot of experience in working 8 with the faith leaders. We mostly target community 9 leaders and work with them, and with our previous 10 prevention project we had some of our taskforces 11 established in four different communities. Some of the 12 members were faith leaders.

13 In terms of working with the faith leaders, I think it's quite challenging work and it is hard work, 14 but I think some efforts need to be made in educating 15 16 those who are responsive, finding those who are responsive in the right way. It's obviously quite challenging. I am 17 18 aware of the Jewish taskforce against family violence, that they have done some really good work with rabbis and 19 teaching them how to respond to women and how to refer. 20 21 But it's getting that across sort of the whole community is quite different. 22

I would say engagement there, because you have a specific agency working with a specific community, it's a lot easier rather than inTouch as a statewide agency working with a huge number of different communities. The engagement with the faith communities would be an issue. MS EL MATRAH: I think that work was led by women, actually, in the Jewish community.

30 MS AVDIBEGOVIC: Yes.

31 MS DAVIDSON: In terms of providing a culturally appropriate

1 response system and a service system that is responsive to the needs of CALD women and their children, I think you 2 have talked about in your statement - I think you might 3 4 have all talked about this issue - but the idea of workforce development in non-CALD specific agencies, so 5 6 more mainstream agencies and workforce development going 7 beyond being culturally appropriate but actually having an intention to employ and develop bilingual workers. 8 Can 9 I ask you to comment on that issue?

10 MS AVDIBEGOVIC: I think generally what we have in Australia 11 and in Victoria is 26 per cent of the first generation 12 migrants, 20 per cent of those speak languages other than 13 English at home, so we have this huge potential there of 14 the workforce, not only in family violence or community, 15 but across all of the industries where we are not tapping 16 in appropriately and using those resources.

But when it comes to family violence, I think 17 it's even more important. I talked about it before. When 18 you have an interpreter as the third person between the 19 case worker and the client, it makes a huge difference, 20 21 and we see that every day. Elizabeth can talk about it a 22 bit more because she works with the family violence workers and the clients that are referred to her and what 23 24 is the difference that is made by service provided in 25 language.

I understand that we can't simply provide services in all possible languages or have people available who can support clients all the time in their own language, but making that first initial contact with a client, it makes enormous difference when it's done in a language by someone who understands the culture and the

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

whole understanding of family violence in that culture.

We heard occasionally that some women don't want to be supported by someone from their own community. I heard about it. We haven't experienced it in our service.

1

MS DAVIDSON: Ms Becker, perhaps you could identify how many 6 7 languages you do provide services in at inTouch? 8 MS BECKER: Our case workers speak 25 different languages, which is an astounding amount. When we are seeing 9 clients we do utilise interpreting services, but my main 10 preference is to have our case workers present. 11 The bilingual and bicultural element that they bring is so 12 vital. The use of interpreters is obviously such an 13 important element to the clients giving their 14 15 instructions, to providing their story, and the ease at which the case managers at inTouch can establish a rapport 16 with the client, allow them to feel that trust between the 17 18 two that they can then convey their story.

19 When the case workers aren't there, when we have 20 an interpreter, it takes so much more time to establish 21 that system of trust and also just ensuring that the 22 interpreters are actually interpreting the right information. There's quite often such variations in legal 23 24 terminology that it's sometimes missed. It can be confused for the client, especially using telephone 25 interpreters rather than on-site interpreters. 26

27 MS DAVIDSON: Ms El Matrah, is that your experience as well for 28 Muslim women?

MS EL MATRAH: Yes. I think there is a general problem in the welfare sector around the homogenisation of the workforce. There are less appropriately skilled workers around.

.DTI:MB/SK	11/08/15	2642	BY MS DAVIDSON
Royal Commission		AVDIBEGOV	IC/BECKER/EL MATRAH XN

Mainstream services and their workers are not required, there is no mandatory training for people who don't come from relevant communities or people who are not bilingual to make sure they undertake annual training and they keep up their training around cultural diversity and culturally appropriate practice.

7 The funding model that the government has gone with, the mainstreaming model, it argues can sufficiently 8 service women. All the international research says that 9 doesn't happen. In fact, women do not get a service when 10 11 you mainstream, when you try to mainstream gender. So 12 when you try to mainstream gender, ethnicity and faith, 13 I think you are probably not servicing a whole variety of women who need assistance. Sometimes the government 14 15 argues that it is a cheaper thing to do, but when services are referring women to each other because nobody has the 16 exact skill base, that is not a more efficient way to run 17 18 things. That is exactly our experience.

19 I think that it is not as difficult as government 20 and organisations believe to employ a culturally diverse 21 workforce. All our employees at the centre, and we employ 22 eight, are multi-lingual. Sometimes people speak two or 23 three languages. It is possible to get that level of 24 expertise.

MS AVDIBEGOVIC: Can I just add to that that we don't forget that, in addition to being bicultural and bilingual, that those workers are actually accredited family violence workers. So, focusing on just the language and the culture skills, that doesn't mean that the service can be provided by the volunteers, and that's our great concern. We have seen a lot of small organisations coming up and

with the best intention of supporting the women, but not working within agreed sort of frameworks and codes of practice that are established within the sector, not being able to do appropriate risk assessment and I think a lot of them actually are putting clients at higher risk. So the workforce, what we are recommending is the family violence and culturally competent workforce.

8 MS EL MATRAH: Yes, I think you want a combination of
 9 mainstream services and specialist services, but really
 10 specialist services.

11 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Counsel, I just wanted to follow up on 12 that point. All of you may want to respond to this. What 13 do you consider is the most effective means of providing this training? So we have somebody who is bi- or 14 15 multi-lingual, but may not have any expertise in family 16 violence. What sort of qualification would they have to do, or would it be based on their experience? How would 17 you train your workforce? What do you do? 18

MS AVDIBEGOVIC: We have experienced a lot of issues around 19 20 that where we employ our case workers, because I think 21 that the model we are building is based around that bicultural, bilingual workforce. But you sometimes can't 22 find all of that in one person, so they might not have 23 24 enough experience or any experience here in Australia, they might have different qualifications, which I have 25 seen with our case workers. I have case workers who are 26 27 psychologists, I have case workers who used to be architects back home in their countries. 28

29 So what we are looking for is a range of issues, 30 is a range of skills and attributes that it's really hard 31 to find in one person. So what is not negotiable for us 1 is the language and the culture. What is also an added 2 bonus is that all of our case workers are migrants or 3 refugees themselves so they have that life experience and 4 added understanding of the issues around migration and 5 settlement. Other skills, which is the family violence 6 competence, they can learn that.

7 We have the basic standards, so most of our case workers have qualifications either in community 8 development or welfare, some of them as I said are trained 9 counsellors, so it's a range of activities, and then once 10 when they start working with us, if they don't have any 11 family violence experience, there is a set of five modules 12 13 that we expect them to complete before they start working with the clients and there's the training around risk 14 15 assessment, around family violence, family violence law, case notes and then working with the database. So, those 16 are the sort of five basic modules and then we build up on 17 18 that.

19 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you.

20 MS EL MATRAH: I think the only thing I would add to that is 21 some of the attitudinal stuff around gender equality. 22 I have discovered you can't assume, you actually have to 23 train for that, because so much of that is not spoken. So 24 you do have to do some of the attitudinal training as well 25 around gender.

26 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you.

MS DAVIDSON: What about issues with interactions between CALD women and police? What issues have you identified arise for the Victoria Police and how would you see those being addressed?

31 MS BECKER: One of the main things for our clients is that fear

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

of authority, that fear of the police coming to the door. It prevents them from seeking assistance in the first place and it also prevents them from giving a full picture of the level of violence that they have faced when they are questioned about a violent situation.

The police have been fantastically supportive for 6 7 a lot of our clients, but there remains a number of issues that are of concern where - I'm just recalling instances 8 where the police will attend upon a residence for a family 9 violence situation and there will be no interpreter 10 11 present, obviously, they have just arrived at a scene and 12 they will utilise family members to interpret for the 13 client. That's always proving difficult.

Where the clients are completely confused, they 14 15 have absolutely no idea where to go, what to do from that point once an intervention order or a safety notice has 16 17 been taken out, and they are just completely lost. So 18 they will quite often come to us as a result of being directed from meeting a case worker at court on a Monday 19 and they will have no idea of what to do, where to go or 20 21 sometimes no desire to continue with that intervention order because of the community influences that go from 22 23 there.

24 MS AVDIBEGOVIC: Can I just add some of the really positive experiences with the police, because I think we deal with 25 26 them on a daily basis and if there are issues - and we 27 recently had an issue with a certain client and that was 28 resolved in a matter of days. We basically had a whole 29 team from that particular police station coming to our 30 service, talking to our case workers and resolving the 31 issues and there is now an ongoing relationship going on

there.

1

Also in terms of we do some post-crisis work and 2 mainly around therapeutic group work, but also when we 3 4 manage to get some funding we do family camps where we take mothers and children for three days and it's a 5 combination of sort of a holiday but also a lot of 6 7 therapeutic elements in that work. Every time we do that, we do it in partnership with the police. They normally 8 provide us with transport. We would have two police 9 officers coming with us, staying sometimes for the whole 10 11 duration of the camp, in their civil clothes, and then on 12 the third day they put their uniforms on, deliver a 13 session, engage with children. So, I think there is a lot of willingness and 14

15 effort from the police to engage with CALD communities. I have to say that there are issues, but we are working on 16 them and the partnership has been really good. 17 Is there any protocol in place to enable women 18 MS DAVIDSON: who are identified as being from a CALD community, is 19 20 there a protocol in place for them to be provided by 21 police with your details, for example? MS AVDIBEGOVIC: I think that's a bit of issue how the system 22 works, and I think in particular with L17s, and L17s are 23 24 going to the regional local family violence services. I know that they are under a lot of pressure to respond to 25 I'm aware of the numbers. But I'm also aware of 26 them. 27 how many CALD women are not serviced appropriately at that 28 end.

I know that some of those services actually use messages, text messages, to inform women. They receive L17 and the first contact with the clients to follow up is

done through SMS. It is done in a region that has a very 1 high CALD population, which I find quite amazing, and then 2 thinking how many of those women would not have access to 3 the mobile at all, that might be sort of with their 4 abusive partner. The second thing is that they will 5 6 receive a message in English. We don't know whether they 7 can read in their own language, let alone in English, and whether they will understand that. 8

9 The message on its own, it's probably not the way 10 how you would engage someone from CALD communities, that 11 they might receive that message, leave it. It's highly 12 unlikely that anyone from CALD communities - any CALD 13 women would respond to that message and contact the 14 service.

15 I think we were there when we talked about it together, Commissioners, when we heard about the SMS 16 messaging being used as a sort of way of contacting 17 18 clients. I know that's the way how the system has been set up, so basically we receive them, clients are referred 19 to us by those services. I think there is a gap there. 20 21 There is something that needs to be changed in the system so that we are involved in that process a bit earlier. 22 MS DAVIDSON: What about data collection? How good is the 23 24 data? In relation to CALD communities in order to help inform you about what services are required, the rates of 25 family violence, the kind of violence that might be being 26 27 experienced, how good is that data and what needs to be 28 done there? 29 MS EL MATRAH: Vic Pol or the service sector in general?

30 MS DAVIDSON: In relation to family violence for CALD 31 communities.

MS AVDIBEGOVIC: I think there is a lot of space for
 improvement there. In terms of L17, I know that there is
 a field that is labelled, I think, cultural - ethnic
 appearance, and it's not mandatory, it is optional.

5 In terms of the SHIP data that we use, the 6 homelessness data, it's a database that's created for 7 homelessness primarily and then for family violence. It's 8 an issue of collecting all of these little - all of those 9 things that are quite important for CALD women, the issues 10 around permanent residency. There is a lot of data that 11 we don't collect on that.

There is a lot of data that we don't collect in 12 terms of the barriers. Even when we are assessing risk, 13 risks for CALD women are different than for women from the 14 15 mainstream communities, so in terms of that I think there is a lot of space for improvement. So, not having an 16 17 appropriate data system is really disadvantaging this 18 whole sector and the organisations working with the women, so we don't have evidence, we don't have appropriate data, 19 20 we can't respond then in an appropriate way and it can't 21 inform any of the programs and initiatives that we want to deliver. 22

I think at the moment, to sort of get any sense 23 MS EL MATRAH: 24 of what's happening from the sector, you have almost got to go service to service and just get little bits and 25 pieces where you can. The Department of Human Services 26 27 doesn't make its data available, which would be really 28 important, for example, and there's other government 29 departments. But even services don't make - some services 30 won't give you their data even if you ring them and ask 31 them.

1 Added to that, we have tried very hard to get data on Muslim women accessing services for domestic 2 violence and nobody collects them. There seems to be the 3 4 perception that Muslims would be offended if that was asked of them. I'm guessing some might be, but I think 5 others would give the information if they felt that it was 6 7 handled appropriately. We are of the view that that information is extremely important for service planning 8 and it is difficult to service plan unless you have the 9 10 data.

MS DAVIDSON: Those are all the questions I have. Do the Commissioners have any additional questions?
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: Thanks, counsel. I have one.
The Commonwealth government funds settlement services.
I was wondering to your knowledge does it include any information or education about family violence or about the law in Australia?

MS EL MATRAH: The Settlement Grants Program has moved away from civic and legal literacy, which it used to do about three or four years ago, and that allowed you to shift civic literacy to family violence and the Family Courts because that was women's area of interest. They no longer do that.

There is a new model, so to speak, in which 24 services can dictate to a greater degree what they would 25 26 like to do, but nobody knows what that looks like now. 27 Nobody knows what services will choose to provide and 28 nobody knows how the department will respond to that. So 29 the department is talking at length about providing 30 information that domestic violence is illegal in Australia 31 once people arrive here, but that's a very complicated

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

thing to do and they are doing that with I think single-sided A4 pages. So I think domestic violence, sexual assault, early enforced marriage, and perhaps there's a fourth which I can't recall, but it's very limited information.

6 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: In the first year of 7 settlement, in your view is that the best time to be 8 trying to alert people to the Australian law and how 9 family violence is considered?

MS EL MATRAH: We really always go with where women are at, and 10 11 what we find is that they are interested in those issues 12 where they relate to their children. They are very 13 preoccupied with the welfare of their children and what things are going to be like for them in the country. 14 That 15 is the way we have used to start speaking about violence in general and family and so forth, and in every situation 16 17 we have found women very open.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: So under the new arrangements for settlement grants, you think there is still an opportunity to do some of those community education around this issue?

22 MS EL MATRAH: It's unclear where the department is going to 23 go. It's upon services to run with what they think is 24 important. My experience of government is that there are 25 always limitations to that, so I'm not clear yet as to how 26 committed they are to services assessing what communities 27 need and then just providing it.

I should also say what hasn't shifted about SGP funding is that they want the one-to-one work with women to be very short-term and they want it to be more of a referral service. So, if you are getting a woman who has

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 a domestic violence situation, according to the funding 2 rules you really can't spend enough time with her to do 3 that work and you really need to be referring her on. 4 Even if she comes back to you because she didn't get the 5 service she needed from another organisation, technically 6 you are supposed to refer her on again.

7 MS AVDIBEGOVIC: Can I just add to that? I think there are different points in sort of journeys of migrant 8 9 communities where you need constantly to keep providing information and to keep raising awareness, because the 10 responsiveness is not the same at all of those stages. 11 When you first migrate to a country you are focused on 12 employment, house, school for your kids, learning 13 language. Even if you experience family violence at that 14 15 particular point in time, you are so focused on keeping your family together because that's the reason why you 16 migrate to a country, for that better life, for the better 17 18 opportunities for your children. Again it's all responsibility again on women to - they simply can't make 19 that decision in early stages unless their lives are 20 21 really genuinely at risk.

So, I think at different stages we need to keep 22 providing different information. We are not one of the 23 24 settlement grant services providers, but I just want to mention a really good program which is called Complex Case 25 Support and that's targeting migrants who have been in the 26 27 country for less than five years and who face complex 28 issues, so there is a whole range of issues. So we are 29 one of the providers of that program and that program 30 proved to work really well.

31 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: Thank you.

.DTI:MB/SK	11/08/15	2652	BY MS DAVIDSON	
Royal Commission		AVDIBEGOV	AVDIBEGOVIC/BECKER/EL MATRAH XN	

1 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: I do have one more question.

Ms Avdibegovic, what do you do about people in rural and 2 regional communities? How do you reach out to them? 3 There are people scattered all over Victoria from CALD 4 backgrounds. So is it a phone service? 5 MS AVDIBEGOVIC: There is a phone service and providing 6 7 secondary consultations to the workers from those services, but that is really minimal. In terms, yes, we 8 are a statewide service, but the resources that we 9 currently have do not allow us to do any of that. We are 10 11 currently looking into means of using technology to improve access, because I think there are great 12 13 possibilities there. But at the end of the day our service is funded to provide support to 697 clients a 14 15 year. Last year we had 1,034 women, so stretching us to beyond that is really hard. 16

But I agree with you that's something - on top of 17 that is another sort of barrier, another issue for CALD 18 women, if you have a woman experiencing family violence, 19 she is from CALD background and she is in rural and 20 21 regional areas where there is not that many services available for them, it's genuinely a very complex issue. 22 MS EL MATRAH: Can I just add to that. We have been to a 23 24 certain area in Victoria where they must have had about 50 families located there from a country with a history of 25 26 war, long-term war, and none of the women in that area had 27 actually accessed any of the services there. The women had been there for at least two, three years. 28 The men 29 had, but not the women. So, those women weren't in any 30 way going to be able to access support without a targeted 31 sort of strategy.

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

The other thing is that some of the settlement 1 patterns can itself create sort of vulnerabilities for 2 women. So, for example, in Geelong we have the highest 3 4 sort of concentration of women at risk in rural Victoria, women who came out on the women at risk category on their 5 own with children all concentrated in a certain area. 6 7 There's some funding towards that community, but the challenges those women have are profound, to say the 8 least, and they do need not only additional support around 9 family violence, but also the impact of family violence on 10 11 their children which starts to become apparent a decade or 12 so later.

13 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you.

14 MS DAVIDSON: May these witnesses be excused?

15 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you all very much for your really

16 important and interesting evidence.

17 <(THE WITNESSES WITHDREW)

MS DAVIDSON: Commissioners, the next witness is joining us via videolink from New South Wales. It's Stephen Lillie. STEPHEN JOHN LILLIE (via videolink), affirmed and examined:
COMMISSIONER NEAVE: There is a bit of an echo. I wonder if we
might try to deal with that before you give your evidence,
Mr Lillie. Is it possible to fix the echo?

24 MR LILLIE: I will just turn the volume down a bit. Is that 25 any better on my side?

26 MS DAVIDSON: It's a little bit better.

27 MR LILLIE: I will turn it down a bit more and see how we go.

28 MS DAVIDSON: You still need to hear us, that's all.

29 MR LILLIE: Yes, that will help.

30 MS DAVIDSON: Mr Lillie, you have made a witness statement for 31 the Commission?

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 MR LILLIE: That's correct.

2 MS DAVIDSON: Can you confirm that that's true and correct? 3 MR LILLIE: That's true and correct, yes. 4 MS DAVIDSON: Sorry, there is still a bit of an echo. I will 5 just ask our technical people. They are suggesting could 6 you turn down your speaker or use headphones. I think 7 there might be quite a significant delay, though, which 8 might be the problem. 9 MR LILLIE: We just have a laptop. There are a set of headphones coming now. We will just see whether this 10 11 works. Is that any better? 12 MS DAVIDSON: Can you hear me now? MR LILLIE: I can hear you now. 13 MS DAVIDSON: The echo has gone. We still have a bit of a 14 15 delay, but we will see how we go. MR LILLIE: Okay. 16 17 MS DAVIDSON: Mr Lillie, you are a Men's Health Worker at the Hawkesbury District Health Service? 18 19 MR LILLIE: That's correct, yes. 20 MS DAVIDSON: Can you just describe your role and the nature of the hospital that you are in? 21 MR LILLIE: Hawkesbury District Health Service is a private 22 hospital that's funded to deliver a public service and we 23 24 are in Hawkesbury, which is about a 60 kilometre drive out towards the mountains just above Penrith in the 25 26 Hawkesbury. Hawkesbury is about 65,000, is our 27 population, and we deliver the same services that all 28 other area hospitals deliver. It's just the contract is 29 run by Hawkesbury District Health Service to deliver that 30 service. So, it's no different to our counterpart, Nepean 31 Health.

1 MS DAVIDSON: Your role as a men's health worker, how common do you see those sorts of roles in New South Wales? 2 MR LILLIE: As far as I'm aware, I'm the only full-time men's 3 4 health worker in the health system within Australia, and definitely in New South Wales I can vouch that 5 In about 2009 the men's health policy was 6 100 per cent. 7 withdrawn, so there is no funding for men's health inside 8 the health system.

9 MS DAVIDSON: You have been involved with the Yellow Card 10 system in New South Wales that was identified in an ARACY 11 research program as being an example of a program working 12 with men who were victims of family violence. Can you 13 describe what the Yellow Card system does?

MR LILLIE: Within the Hawkesbury, the Windsor area, local area 14 15 command, we are sort of semi-rural, so we have a lot of contact as in most people that work in the Hawkesbury live 16 17 in the Hawkesbury. So we usually can get things done a lot quicker because it is a lot more community focused. 18 The DV Yellow Card is throughout New South Wales, but in 19 20 the Hawkesbury, because of my position, all male victims 21 of domestic violence, that card gets faxed over to myself and then I make the first point of call to the male victim 22 to engage with them. 23

24 MS DAVIDSON: How common is that sort of process in New South 25 Wales?

26 MR LILLIE: As far as I'm aware, Leslie, our domestic violence 27 officer at Windsor Police Station, they catch up I think 28 every three months with all the DVOs in New South Wales 29 and she believes we are still the only service that 30 actually offers support for male victims. All the other 31 areas will just offer the MensLine business card to the

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

client and it's up to the client to do something. 1 MS DAVIDSON: From your perspective, how important is it to 2 have a more proactive response to male victims? 3 MR LILLIE: Extremely high. A lot of the times people come to 4 counselling when they're stuck and it's no different to 5 what happens when they engage with the police service. 6 7 They're usually at a dead end in what's going on in their life. So for them, even though I don't call them back in 8 9 a day or two, sometimes it might be a week or two weeks because by the processes done, they feel validated that 10 11 they have been spoken to, that someone listens to their 12 concerns, and the majority of the time I'm giving either 13 education or resources of where to go to help resolve a problem and I also make referrals into services like 14 15 Partners In Recovery or FamS to finalise and help things and a majority of the time there are a lot of Family Court 16 issues around custody battles and relationship breakdowns 17 and family matters in that sense. But there are other 18 areas of elder abuse and also blended families which still 19 comes under the Family Law Act as well. 20

21 MS DAVIDSON: In the ARACY document a snapshot had been done of 22 the male victims who had been referred to you under the 23 Yellow Card program in 2011.

24 MR LILLIE: Yes.

25 MS DAVIDSON: That demonstrated one male victim of domestic
26 violence reported for every five female victims?

27 MR LILLIE: Yes, in Hawkesbury.

MS DAVIDSON: Yes. It had an initial statistical review of the data showing 5.5 per cent of male victims of domestic violence were under 18 years of age and were the victims of their father's behaviour; 25 per cent of male victims

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

of domestic violence were the victims of their 1 ex-partner's behaviour; 25 per cent of male victims of 2 domestic violence were the victims of their son, grandson 3 4 or son-in-law's behaviour; 30.5 per cent of male victims of domestic violence cited separation issues as the cause 5 of the incident; and 30.5 per cent of male victims of 6 7 domestic violence cited drugs and alcohol as the cause of the incident or a major contributing factor. Are they the 8 9 most up-to-date statistics that you have?

They are currently the only statistics we have. 10 MR LILLIE: 11 How those statistics came about is I actually went over to 12 the police station, we sat down and we went through every 13 Yellow Card and that information is only given by what the general duties officer has written on the card. So there 14 15 is no formal evaluation or research going into that 16 process. The system is not that - it's very basic. This 17 is goodwill work, let me say it that way to you.

MS DAVIDSON: You have talked about in your witness statement blended families being one of the more significant areas in which issues for male victims of family violence comes up. Can you explain what you have observed in relation to blended families and family violence for men?

MR LITLITE: The research - I don't know the exact figures, but 23 a lot of the time when there are children to male victims 24 or perpetrators of domestic violence in blended families, 25 26 it's usually an introduced male into the family. So, a 27 lot of times I will also see the actual blood father who 28 has got concerns with his ex-partner's new boyfriend who has moved into the house and the children or the sons or 29 30 the daughters are having relationship difficulties with 31 the new male within the system and that spends a lot of

1 time around, I suppose, setting structures back in the 2 family system and parenting issues and core values and 3 core beliefs of family roles.

4 MS DAVIDSON: What sort of services are available to assist men
5 in that situation and what kind of services do you see
6 being needed for men?

7 MR LILLIE: In the Hawkesbury, in my role I do a lot of anger management work, I do a lot of stress management, 8 9 I support males to Family Law Court. My history is in drug and alcohol as well, so I'm drug and alcohol trained. 10 11 In the other areas, the majority of the time is around mental health or depression and anxiety, so sort of 12 moderate mental health in that area. There isn't anyone 13 to refer into from my service in the Hawkesbury. I have 14 15 no one to refer out to. Then I also get a lot of referrals from other areas, so Penrith or Blacktown will 16 try and refer clients to myself, but due to areas we can't 17 pick these clients up. 18

19 I have been in this position since 2006, so I've 20 been around a long time. So my reputation has sort of 21 gone out into different areas because we used to do a lot 22 of health promotion, but that's been dropped over the last 23 couple of years as well.

24 MS DAVIDSON: In terms of the response that men need relative to what women might need, you have identified and you have 25 26 talked in your statement about having a telephone response 27 and the sort of shorter length of the response that might 28 be needed for men and the different type of response that 29 you would provide to a man compared with a woman. Can you 30 explain to the Commissioners your views about the kind of 31 response that's needed for men and how you are best to

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission 2659

S. LILLIE XN BY MS DAVIDSON 1

engage them?

2 MR LILLIE: I can comment on the men's health side. I don't actually pick up any female victims. That goes to our 3 4 Women's Cottage. But the males, as I said, all I get is their name, date of birth and 10 or 15 words of a 5 description of what's gone on. In my belief that first 6 7 phone conversation is quite important because when I introduce myself and I try to engage with the client and 8 first of all I'm trying to build that rapport with the 9 client that it's not a legal system that's trying to get 10 to them. Then after that I look at what resources they 11 need or do they actually just need a conversation and just 12 to debrief the trauma they have gone through in their own 13 14 way.

MS DAVIDSON: You have talked in your statement about the difficulty of engaging men on a long-term basis. How long would you ordinarily engage a man for?

MR LILLIE: The males that come through - I will answer it the other way. The ones that stay around for a long time are males that either have mental health or Family Law Court issues, purely because that old-fashioned case management is something we still do in the Hawkesbury, so we look after clients in not just a straight counselling format, we also do case management.

When we get the brief interventions and that follow-up, sometimes the males just need to know the phone number for the Family Law Court or what's their rights, what are they allowed to see when they see their children or where can they go. They may not be seeing an appropriate GP in the first place or the GP may not be giving them appropriate help, so my suggestion to them is

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

to get a second opinion with another GP. A lot of it is a 1 2 common sense approach and very basic engagement with males and probably solution focused in, "What's the problem, 3 4 let's resolve this and what can we work towards." 5 MS DAVIDSON: You have talked in your statement about where you 6 contact men, that you are more likely to contact them at 7 work? MR LILLIE: Correct. 8 MS DAVIDSON: What does that mean? The information that you 9

10 are given through the Yellow Card system, what sort of 11 contact details does that seek? Does it get a home number 12 or a mobile or what sort of system - - -

MR LILLIE: I'd say about 90 per cent of our clients - sorry, go ahead.

15 MS DAVIDSON: What sort of system is there to ensure that you are at a practical level able to contact a man if, as you 16 17 say in your statement, they are more likely to be working? MR LILLIE: I'd probably say about 90 per cent of our contact 18 is through mobile phones. We don't usually get home 19 numbers anymore. Then through - I'm not quite sure of the 20 21 statistics, but there would be easily 50 per cent work and 22 50 per cent unemployed. But the ones that are working, I usually have a conversation with them in the afternoons 23 24 on their way home or I organise a time to call them back. Sometimes they'll say "I can't talk" and I say "I'll call 25 you back at 2" and they just go "Yes" and we make a time. 26 27 One of the downsides for services for men is that it is 9 to 5, five days a week. The time I work is the 28 same time they - - -29

30 MS DAVIDSON: I think we've just lost your voice.

31 MR LILLIE: - - - work and there isn't services after hours for

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1

males to actually engage on that direct issue.

2 MS DAVIDSON: Can you still hear me okay?

3 MR LILLIE: Are we back again? I can see it flashing on and 4 off.

5 MS DAVIDSON: Can you just repeat what you said about the fact that men are more likely to be at work when you're at work 6 7 because the health system works around a 9 to 5 system? MR LILLIE: Yes, that's correct. So, the time that I'm 8 9 working, they're working as well, so there isn't any after hours services. After hours counselling in the Hawkesbury 10 11 is pretty hard to get as well, but even for after hours 12 counselling the majority of our counsellors in the 13 Hawkesbury are females as well, so they don't feel validated or supported. 14

MS DAVIDSON: I think you have talked about this in your statement, that your role actually didn't involve new funding; it was just diversion of existing funding or use of existing funding and creation of a role called men's health worker; is that how it worked?

20 MR LILLIE: Yes, that's correct, and to sort of break it down 21 for you, there's one day from a drug and alcohol position, 22 there's two days from a generalist counselling position 23 and there is two days from a health promotion position. 24 It's just loose ends which Peter Blanchard, our GM, put 25 together to deliver a service that we should be delivering 26 in the first place, in my belief.

The reason for that, just to give you an example, is even our generalist counselling team, when it comes to couples work or a male comes in, the majority of our team is female counsellors for the generalist team. When an aggressive male comes in to a female counsellor, sometimes

1 it's good for the male just to say, "That's not 2 appropriate behaviour and let's talk about what to 3 expect." In that sense it changes that sexual gender 4 issue. I think we've just dropped out again, have we? 5 MS DAVIDSON: No, we could still hear you, just couldn't see 6 you.

7 MR LILLIE: Yes. So a lot of the times it's just standing up as a strong male within the system and also protect, 8 9 inside the system, nurses. Even when I get called up to the emergency department, just when sometimes the males 10 11 are up there aggressive, it's just having a male respond 12 to the situation. There's plenty of females in the 13 emergency department, but there are not many males and, if they are, they're a doctor and that brings a lot of 14 15 perception that something different is going to happen 16 than what I would offer.

MS DAVIDSON: I have no further questions for you, Mr Lillie,
but the two Commissioners may have additional questions.
COMMISSIONER NEAVE: No, we don't have any additional

20 questions.

21 MS DAVIDSON: Thank you, Mr Lillie.

22 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you very much, Mr Lillie. I'm sorry 23 about the technical - - -

24 MR LILLIE: Can I just add something as well?

25 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Yes.

MR LILLIE: That in men's health, how our role is set up, it's an unusual model in that sense, that it's very client focused but also it's quite assertive. So we don't tolerate - when males ring up or are aggressive, we actually deal with that behaviour in that moment. So I think it's important that it's the role modelling within

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 the service, because you could set up another men's health 2 counsellor anywhere, that's not the issue, but I think the 3 value systems you need, what you are trying to push out is 4 also quite important to have within the system in the 5 first place.

One of the downsides, if you were to deal with 6 7 male victims in domestic violence, is having strong valued males that are quite assertive and able to stand up and 8 9 educate the other male what is appropriate and not appropriate behaviour. They are in the counselling 10 11 session, they are on the spot and I think that just brings 12 a lot of honesty, but it also shows this is how you can 13 perform in society but this is also teaching them communication with healthy people at the same time. 14 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you very much for that. Can I just 15 16 clarify one point. You talk about the issue of blended families and as I understand it that is mainly a situation 17 where the violence is by a child against the stepdad or 18 the partner of his mother. Did I get that right? Have 19 I interpreted your witness statement correctly? 20 21 MR LILLIE: Yes, in two ways. One example would be a 15-year-old boy, his mother has just introduced another 22 23 man into the house and he is moving in, and there is that side. Then the other side is that the actual blood 24 25 parent, who hasn't got any control into the family where his children live, is hearing what goes on in the 26 27 community and is helpless, so he tries to communicate, but then the police are involved because of the conflict that 28 29 goes on.

30 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you very much. And thank you very 31 much for your evidence, Mr Lillie.

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

MS DAVIDSON: Thank you. 1 2 MR LILLIE: Thank you. 3 MS DAVIDSON: Perhaps we could have a five minute break. 4 <(THE WITNESS WITHDREW) 5 (Short adjournment.) MR MOSHINSKY: Commissioners, the next witness is 6 7 Superintendent Charles Allen, if he could please be sworn 8 in. 9 <CHARLES THOMAS ALLEN, sworn and examined: MR MOSHINSKY: Superintendent, could you please tell the 10 11 Commission what your current position is and give a brief 12 outline of your professional background? 13 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Certainly. Superintendent leading the Priority Communities Division with Victoria Police. My 14 15 immediate work history, my previous role was with the 16 Transit Safety Division implementing the Protective 17 Services Officers across the system. Prior to that I was the Local Area Commander at Greater Dandenong for a period 18 of some four and a half years, and have a 33-year history 19 in policing across general duties, investigation, 20 21 supervision and leadership roles. MR MOSHINSKY: You have prepared a witness statement for the 22 Royal Commission? 23 24 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes, I have. 25 MR MOSHINSKY: Are the contents of your statement true and 26 correct? 27 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes, they are. I would just like to make one point of clarification, if I could. 28 29 MR MOSHINSKY: Certainly. 30 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: On page 24, paragraph 85, the paragraph 31 is referring to the Koori family violence protocols. The

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

paragraph states, "The ongoing commitment to the family 1 violence protocols and the rollout across the state will 2 be a key area of focus of Victoria Police in the short to 3 4 medium term." That is correct, but the statement "and the rollout across the state" may be interpreted differently. 5 Certainly Victoria Police intend to continue to roll out 6 7 the family violence protocols where there is a need based 8 on priority.

9 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Is that based on the fact that there is a 10 Koori community in the particular area or something, is 11 it?

SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Exactly. It's pointless establishing a protocol if there is no Koori community or a very small Koori community.

15 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you.

16 MR MOSHINSKY: Could you please explain briefly what is the 17 Priority Communities Division?

SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes. The Priority Communities Division 18 is a relatively new division. It came into being as a 19 result of a number of reviews, particularly reviews around 20 21 how we engaged with community, so our focus is engagement both at the strategic level and at the local level with 22 priority communities. Priority communities are 23 24 communities we identify that are overrepresented as victims or offenders or underreport in crime or have 25 26 over-representative contact with police.

27 So the communities we identify are Aboriginal and 28 Torres Strait Islander people, people living with 29 disabilities or mental health, including their families 30 and carers, LGBTI community, CALD community, faith 31 communities, seniors and youth.

1 MR MOSHINSKY: As I understand it, the division was established 2 in December 2013 following a review?

3 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: That's correct.

4 MR MOSHINSKY: Some of the key themes from that review you set out in paragraph 19 of your statement. They include in 5 19.3 there was a need to strengthen Victoria Police's 6 7 policies and procedures in relation to field contacts and to better recognise human rights principles in the 8 Victoria Police Manual, and in 19.5 you indicate 9 cross-cultural training for police officers provides an 10 11 important skill base.

12 One of the issues that you then take up in 13 paragraph 32 of your statement is the issue of low 14 reporting levels by some of the priority communities. Can 15 you expand on that? What is the sort of issue that you 16 are concerned about there?

SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Quite a few of those issues have been borne out in the evidence today. So, underreporting of family violence across CALD communities, Aboriginal communities, LGBTI community and difficulty in reporting for people living with disabilities or people living with mental health.

23 MR MOSHINSKY: What sort of data is available to Victoria 24 Police about underreporting? Is it possible for 25 particular crimes to map whether there is underreporting 26 among each of the priority groups that you have 27 identified?

28 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: A lot of the data is anecdotal,

29 qualitative in nature as opposed to quantitative. So, 30 yes, it is very difficult.

31 MR MOSHINSKY: What about more specifically on family violence,

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 which is the subject matter we are concerned with for this 2 inquiry. There is the L17 process that the Commission has heard many police witnesses give evidence about. Is it 3 4 possible to look at whether, because of the L17 data that's available, there's underreporting from any of the 5 priority groups that the division looks at? 6 7 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: I don't think that's evident from analysis of L17 data. Certainly we rely on external 8 9 reports, and those reports are cited in my statement, as well as our engagement directly with community. 10 11 MR MOSHINSKY: So with the priority communities that you have 12 identified and you have read out the names of them, they 13 are listed in paragraph 13, is there a way - I'm not suggesting you have it at your fingertips now - but is 14 15 there a way of analysing whether within one or more of 16 those groups there is underreporting of family violence related matters? 17 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Once again coming back to my previous 18 answer, certainly we are relying on anecdotes, from 19 20 engagement with community, from pieces of research that 21 are available, many of which I have cited in this

23 MR MOSHINSKY: In terms of that list of priority groups, to 24 what extent does the L17 process capture data about 25 whether either the victim or the perpetrator is in one or 26 more of those groups?

27 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Not very well. Capturing data on 28 diversity is not well addressed by the L17. It asks two 29 specific questions: ethnic appearance, which is 30 predominantly relying on either the information that the 31 attending constable is able to glean by direct questioning

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

statement.

22

1 or their view. There is also another question which is an 2 open text question around country of birth. MR MOSHINSKY: What about Aboriginal and Torres Strait 3 4 Islander? SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: It also asks the standard Indigenous 5 6 question and a large percentage is reported as unknown. 7 MR MOSHINSKY: Apart from ethnic appearance, country of birth and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander, in terms of the 8 9 list of priority communities there is no field which requires any of that identification to be entered; is that 10 11 right? 12 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: That's correct. MR MOSHINSKY: Is there any plan to address that, that you are 13 familiar with? 14 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Not that I'm familiar with. Having said 15 16 that, part of our division is certainly getting better visibility of offending and victimisation across priority 17 communities. One area of interest for us is certainly the 18 standard Indigenous question getting better data capture 19 20 on DSIQ. MR MOSHINSKY: So there is a focus on improving data on the 21 Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander question? 22 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes. 23 24 MR MOSHINSKY: But currently, as far as you are aware, no plans to try to capture data about the other priority groups? 25 26 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: That's correct. 27 MR MOSHINSKY: Can I turn then to the topic of liaison officers 28 that you deal with at paragraph 34. You list there the 29 different types of liaison officers. Just at a general 30 level, can you explain what a liaison officer is? What's

31 their role?

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: There's a number of different 1 2 categories. I think the easiest way to distinguish between the categories are those that perform the role 3 4 full-time and those that have it as a portfolio 5 responsibility. So, starting with those that have a portfolio responsibility, they are the police and 6 7 Aboriginal liaison officers, the gay and lesbian liaison officers and the mental health liaison officers. They are 8 9 all portfolio responsibilities. Those liaison officers, their full-time role would be generally a general duties 10 11 role within Victoria Police, but also have portfolio time 12 to deliver on their portfolio responsibilities. So, relationship building, working with community across 13 projects and programs, and being a point of entry into 14 Victoria Police for the particular community. It's 15 similar with the YROs and the MLOs - - -16 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: Can I just ask about that. 17 In these portfolio categories, what proportion of time 18 typically is devoted to the specific portfolio and what 19 20 proportion to the general policing duties? 21 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: It varies . The resources are owned by 22 the local area commands, usually at station level. So it 23 depends on the depth of resource at a particular area and 24 priorities at a particular area. So, to answer your 25 question, it could be from a couple of days a week to 26 grabbing moments when there's opportunity, and that's sort 27 of the full range. COMMISSIONER NEAVE: I have a follow-up question. Who were the 28

29 officers who were not portfolio officers? Just the YROs? 30 Have I got that right?

31 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes.

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: So the YROs are the only non-portfolio
2 full-time, is that right?

3 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: No, the full-time liaison officers are 4 the youth resource officers and the multicultural liaison 5 officers who are sworn police officers, but also the 6 Aboriginal community liaison officers and the new and 7 emerging community liaison officers are full-time liaison 8 officers but public servants.

9 MR MOSHINSKY: So the first four that you have listed are 10 full-time as liaison officers and then the last three, the 11 police Aboriginal liaison officers, the gay and lesbian 12 liaison officers and the mental health liaison officers 13 it's a portfolio role. They have other duties as well. 14 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: That's correct.

MR MOSHINSKY: Just following on from those questions, if someone is in the last three categories and it's a portfolio role, what are their other duties? Are they front-line police who go out in a van or do they have other roles?

20 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: They do have other roles, and it would 21 depend on their rank, predominantly either general duty 22 officers or general duty supervisors. Some station 23 managers take on the role also.

24 MR MOSHINSKY: What rank are the full-time liaison officers?
25 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: You are looking at a range of constable
26 through to senior sergeant.

27 MR MOSHINSKY: You have indicated some of the duties of the 28 liaison officers in terms of a point of contact with the 29 community, building the relationship with the community. 30 I was just wondering if you could explain a bit further 31 what's the interaction between the liaison officers and

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

the actual front-line police members?

2 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Once again it varies from site to site. 3 General duty liaison officers will be interacting very 4 much in the day-to-day process because they are embedded within general duties. The full-time liaison officers, it 5 will depend. All regions or all divisions are tasked by a 6 7 tasking coordination process, which sort of makes the decision about how we use our resources. Resources will 8 9 often be tasked to similar concerns. So liaison officers and general duty officers are working on similar issues. 10 11 They are situated within police stations. Good general duties police officers will use their LOs as a resource. 12 13 MR MOSHINSKY: Is it fair to assume that the liaison officers are located where it is most relevant for the particular 14 priority community that they are associated with? 15 16 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes. MR MOSHINSKY: They are geographically located in stations 17 where, if we are talking about an ethnic community, there 18 would be many members of that community in that area; is 19 that a fair assumption? 20 21 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes. Generally, yes. MR MOSHINSKY: Just at a sort of macro level, what's the 22 23 process of communicating to the front-line police members 24 the messages that you want to impart in terms of how to 25 deal with a particular community, some of the 26 sensitivities that might arise, some of the particular 27 practices or policies that pertain to that priority 28 community? 29 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: A number of ways. I guess first of all 30 there's our education and training processes, and I talk

31 to our cultural community and diversity education strategy

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

in my statement. The approach there is to use a golden
 thread, as we like to explain it, through training
 processes, so from foundation through to development and
 promotional programs.

5 There's also a wide variety of resources that we 6 maintain around priority communities. So they are 7 available on our intranet, so for officers who go seeking 8 the information, the cultural awareness guidelines is an 9 example, and also we will communicate via email, via other 10 sources, whether it's the Police Gazette or Police Life 11 around specific issues as they emerge.

MR MOSHINSKY: Is there some way of measuring success in terms of whether the messages are getting through and actually being adopted as matters of practice by police members? SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: As to the efficacy of the LO program, is that the question?

MR MOSHINSKY: No, I'm really focusing more now on the front-line police who are actually perhaps being called out to a family where there is a family violence incident, how they deal with it, when it might be from one of the priority communities that you have identified here. Is there a way of measuring success, whether practices have changed, whether members have taken on board the

SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: One measure is the complaints process. That certainly gives us an indication of where we're getting it wrong. Another process is feedback directly from community. I was very buoyed to hear some positive feedback today from some of the communities that are represented. So our priority reference groups, priority community reference group, which I also talk to in the

principles in your program, for example?

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

24

statement, a strategic way where we are able to gauge feedback directly from community at strategy level. So, what we are hoping to pick up there are issues, systemic issues across our service system, but also at the local level we are supporting good engagement processes at the local level, and once again through the feedback mechanisms of engagement at the local level.

8 MR MOSHINSKY: So one method is the complaints process. One 9 method might be the reference groups where you meet with 10 priority communities. I appreciate the priority division 11 hasn't been going for that long, it was set up in December 12 2013, but are you able to comment on what feedback you've 13 had so far?

SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: I can certainly comment on feedback from the reference groups because I sit on all of them. Once again, some of the reference group members were actually represented in the witnesses here today, so we have worked very hard at connecting people who are attached to peak organisations representative of their communities.

20 We certainly don't feel we will get it right at 21 first blush and we have just gone through our first 12 months of reference groups and part of the process I'm 22 undertaking is having conversations with how we can 23 24 improve those reference groups. The feedback I'm getting is about that two-way feedback process where we are 25 26 feeding back on operational issues and communities are 27 able to feedback to us on systemic issues they are seeing across their communities. 28

29 MR MOSHINSKY: Has it been positive feedback, negative 30 feedback, concerns? What's the nature of it in general 31 terms?

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission C. ALLEN XN BY MR MOSHINSKY

SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: It's positive that the conversations are 1 2 happening, but there's a long way to go for a lot of communities. Elder abuse was spoken at depth today. 3 4 I think we are very early in that journey of how to deal with elder abuse and that's a conversation that we have 5 within the seniors portfolio reference group. 6 7 MR MOSHINSKY: You get some feedback from the reference group. How do you then impart the message to the many, many 8 9 front-line police members, because I don't think that's part of the liaison officer role, is it? 10 11 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: No. We can use the liaison officers as 12 a resource and certainly we conduct development sessions 13 with the liaison officers to share learnings and understandings around issues. But another part of the 14 Priority Communities Division is the service delivery arm, 15 16 so the service delivery arm have their relationships into operational policing. So it's their responsibility to 17 meet either proactively or reactively in response to a 18 particular issue at either a regional level, divisional 19 level or local area command level, which is happening. 20 21 MR MOSHINSKY: Have there been any attitude surveys of attitudes held by police members, for example, to any of 22 the priority groups? 23 24 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Interesting; we are in the process of 25 trying to - sorry, the community survey. We have finished 26 a survey recently which was internally focused around the 27 Globe program. We have also joined Pride in Diversity and through that Pride in Diversity process surveyed our 28 29 people around attitudes with the LGBTI community. 30 MR MOSHINSKY: So you have done some survey of police member 31 attitudes towards LGBTI people?

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes.

MR MOSHINSKY: Do the results of those indicate concerns for 2 3 you in terms of your program? 4 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: No. Results are relatively positive. 5 I'm very conscious we have a lot of people working for us with wide-ranging views and biases. All of those 6 7 wide-ranging views and biases we have to deal with as an 8 organisation. 9 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: So you had an internal attitudinal survey relating to police attitudes to LGBTI people. Did you 10 11 also say you had a CALD one or not? Did I mishear you? SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: No, not a CALD one. 12 13 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: So the sort of question - I'm just interested in the kinds of issues that you pursued in 14 relation to the LGBTI community. What sort of attitudinal 15 issues were you pursuing within the police? 16 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: We joined Pride in Diversity some 17 18 months ago, which is a national initiative. I think we 18 were the first Victorian Government agency to join. Pride 19 20 in Diversity have a standard survey that they use within 21 organisations. We returned - 1,000 of our people 22 responded to the survey, which was a very pleasing outcome. The questions were wide-ranging around LGBTI and 23 contact with LGBTI community. The responses for our 24 organisation were certainly pleasing. I wouldn't suggest 25 26 that we haven't got work to do; we certainly have got work 27 to do. 28 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you.

29 MR MOSHINSKY: Is there any consideration given to doing 30 similar internal attitudinal surveys for any of the other 31 priority groups?

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes, it's a consideration.

2 MR MOSHINSKY: Do you think that would be a useful step?3 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes, I do.

4 MR MOSHINSKY: Is there any process to do surveys of attitudes 5 within the priority communities of police, because one of 6 the themes that you will have heard through the evidence 7 today is that within some of the groups that we have heard evidence from I think it's accepted part of the issue is 8 not necessarily police practices, but also perception of 9 police. So has any thought been given or has this 10 11 occurred of doing attitude surveys of members of the community and what they feel about the police? 12

13 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes.

14 MR MOSHINSKY: It has been done?

SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: No, it hasn't been done, but I think your question was is there consideration. We are actually pursuing a project at the moment to survey the LGBTI community.

MR MOSHINSKY: Any thoughts of doing surveys for the other priority communities?

21 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Considerations, but we are actively pursuing that project around the LGBTI community. Seeing 22 as we have a baseline about our internal attitudes, it 23 24 would be complementary to have that community view. 25 MR MOSHINSKY: Because is it fair to say that one of the issues 26 that the Priority Communities Division looks at, is 27 concerned about, is the perceptions of police held by members of the particular priority community? 28 29 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes

30 MR MOSHINSKY: And in that light it might be useful to gauge
31 what are the perceptions and then baseline them and then

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 do it again a couple of years later, perhaps. Do you think that would be a desirable thing to do? 2 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Absolutely. I should also mention that 3 4 there is a lot of material out there and a lot of other survey material that we can rely on. Once again, some of 5 those I have referred to in my statement. Also our 6 7 reference groups are another window into community attitudes. But would surveys be of use? Yes, absolutely 8 they would be. 9

MR MOSHINSKY: Can I turn to the topic of recruitment. 10 11 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Just before you do, Mr Moshinsky. Tt. 12 would be interesting to compare internal police attitudes 13 with those of the general community. I don't know whether there are any surveys on LGBTI people. I know there are 14 15 certainly surveys on things like community attitudes to gay marriage and so on, but I'm talking about something 16 that's broader than that. It would be interesting to 17 compare what attitudes the community generally hold with 18 attitudes of police. Has any thought been given to doing 19 20 that?

21 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: I agree it would be very interesting. 22 The preface is that police are the community and community 23 are the police. So, it would be nice for police to hold a 24 mirror as to where they stand against the rest of the 25 community. Hopefully we would be comparable or better, 26 given our roles.

27 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: But at the moment nothing like that has 28 been done.

29 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: No.

30 MR MOSHINSKY: Can I turn then to recruitment. Is there any 31 policy to encourage recruitment of police members from the

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission 2678

C. ALLEN XN BY MR MOSHINSKY

priority communities?

2 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes.

3 MR MOSHINSKY: Could you tell us about that?

4 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Certainly. There's a diversity plan which is very focused on improving diversity from across a 5 range of communities. There is a specific Aboriginal and 6 7 Torres Strait Islander employment plan which has a specific target of - I think it's 1.6 per cent of police 8 officers by the completion of the plan. There is a number 9 of initiatives under way to support both of those plans. 10 11 MR MOSHINSKY: Does the plan extend beyond Aboriginal and

12

Torres Strait Islander people?

13 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes. There is a general plan, which is a diversity plan that's owned and managed by our human 14 15 resource department, but certainly we contribute to that 16 plan, so that's looking at diversity across the board. There's probably a focus there on recruitment from CALD 17 communities, LGBTI and disability; also our accessibility 18 action plan which is not far off launch has a focus on 19 20 recruitment of people living with disability, but there is 21 also a specific Aboriginal employment plan which is part of our Aboriginal Justice Agreement undertakings to 22 improve representation of Aboriginal and Torres Strait 23 24 Islander people within Victoria Police.

25 MR MOSHINSKY: In terms of where things stand at the moment, is 26 there still work to be done in terms of improving 27 diversity amongst some of the priority community groups? 28 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes.

29 MR MOSHINSKY: Can I turn next to some of the evidence that we 30 have heard today. In the session on elder abuse Ms Blakey 31 gave an example of a situation where an adult grandchild

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 called police; the police came to the home; they spoke to 2 the adult child, so the parents of the person who called, who in fact was the perpetrator. They didn't speak with 3 4 the older person, who was of a Vietnamese background and was the victim. One infers there were language barriers. 5 Can you perhaps comment on that scenario in terms of not 6 7 obviously the specific case but just as a matter of proper practice what should occur? 8

9 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes, you are right; I can't comment on the specific details of the case. It's certainly less 10 11 than best practice that the police officers have spoken to 12 the perpetrator as opposed to the victim. I suppose the 13 question was hanging there for me, "Did they have a consciousness of who was perpetrator and who was victim on 14 attendance?" If there was some doubt about that, then 15 certainly the use of an interpreting service to get a full 16 sense of the scenario as well as considering some 17 isolation of the victims from the offenders so they can 18 speak confidently in a safe location. 19

20 MR MOSHINSKY: This issue of interpreters, how is that managed 21 in a family violence context? So the police are called to 22 a home, they arrive, the family members don't speak 23 English. How are police sort of instructed to deal with 24 that situation?

SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Very difficult; difficult to get an interpreter on their feet at a scene in a timely manner. So second best is telephone interpreting services or reliance on networks, which is less than best because that could be bringing another community member which could create a barrier to a safe place to have a conversation.

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

2

or should they have numbers available to them to contact interpreting services?

3 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes.

4 MR MOSHINSKY: One of the other points that was mentioned
5 I think by Ms Becker was the issue of police using family
6 members to interpret. Is there a practice or guideline
7 around that issue?

8 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Once again it's not ideal and either an 9 interpreter or a telephone interpreting service - the 10 complexity there, if the situation is very dynamic, police 11 need to be able to draw out information quickly to be able 12 to deal with the dynamics of a situation, hence why on 13 occasions other approaches will be taken to try to get a 14 sense of the issues at play.

15 MR MOSHINSKY: Can I turn to the topic of people with 16 disabilities. As you will have heard referred to today, the lay witness who was called on Day 8 of the public 17 hearings was a woman with disabilities. At one point in 18 the violent relationship the police took out an 19 intervention order, which in hindsight she was thankful 20 21 for. But she was sent home and there was no-one to care for her because her husband was her carer and he had been 22 excluded from the home. Again, I can't expect you to 23 24 comment on the specific case, but what's the proper practice around that scenario in terms of the police's 25 26 role?

SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: You are right; I can't comment on the specifics of the case. I was provided with a copy of the statement, which I read. My interpretation of the scenario was a little bit different in that the husband was excluded after he had been charged, which was after

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission 2681

C. ALLEN XN BY MR MOSHINSKY

the issuing of the intervention order, was my

2 understanding.

3 MR MOSHINSKY: Yes, I think that's right.

4 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: The case was handled by a SOCIT unit, as you would expect, because there were allegations of sexual 5 The SOCIT units are certainly very victim 6 assault. 7 focused. I found it unusual that the victim had been released to return home without appropriate levels of care 8 and it certainly seems a system breakdown there at some 9 I don't know what that is. 10 point.

It did concern me that there would have been an initial referral via the L17 process, but there would have been some distance between that initial L17 referral and the later charging of the husband. So I don't know if that created the system failure. Having said that, the SOCIT unit should have been conscious of the care needs for that individual.

In evidence today from Ms Pearce, the Public 18 MR MOSHINSKY: Advocate, and in her statement, which I think you have had 19 20 available, she refers in paragraph 71 to an issue of 21 whether police are using, where they should, an independent third person. She quotes from the Victorian 22 Police code of practice for the investigation of family 23 24 violence and quotes the section dealing with people with disabilities. Could you just explain what the code of 25 practice says about using an independent third person? 26 27 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: I haven't got the code of practice 28 before me, but I certainly have the Victoria Police 29 manual, our procedures and guidelines before me in 30 relation to interviews of vulnerable people.

31 MR MOSHINSKY: What is an independent third person? In general

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission 2682

C. ALLEN XN BY MR MOSHINSKY

terms when should they be used?

2 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: If it is a young person, first of all, then there is a legislative responsibility for us to have 3 4 an independent third person, which is identified as parent, guardian or if they are not available then an 5 independent third person via the referral process, which 6 7 is the YRIPP referral process. If it is a young person with a cognitive impairment then the independent third 8 person is to be an ITP trained via the Office of Public 9 10 Advocate.

MR MOSHINSKY: Can I just interrupt you there. You have provided through your counsel an extract from the Victorian Police manual. Could I just pass forward to you and to the Commissioners copies of the extract you

15 have provided.

16 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Sure.

MR MOSHINSKY: Is this the set of guidelines in the manual for dealing with interviews with vulnerable people?

19 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: That's right.

20MR MOSHINSKY: What is the status of these provisions in the21manual? Are these things that the police officers are

22 bound to comply with under the code of practice?

23 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes, is the answer.

24 MR MOSHINSKY: When dealing with vulnerable people in these 25 categories this sets out the guidelines of when an

26 independent person needs to be present?

27 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: That's right.

28 MR MOSHINSKY: The independent third person system for people 29 with disabilities, I think the suggestion was that that's 30 not always adhered to. Is that something you are able to 31 comment on? SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: I have also seen the Public Advocate sit
 on our reference group that provides us with the data
 which is referred to today about the use of ITPs.
 I certainly agree with the witness Colleen Pearce that
 there appears to be inconsistency across police stations.
 I think that data needs a greater depth of analysis.

7 The independent third person does not necessarily 8 have to be from the office - or ITP trained by the Office 9 of Public Advocate. There are occasions when it must be, 10 as in a young person with a cognitive impairment or for a 11 person with a cognitive impairment who is involved in a 12 bare interview. So there's a number of variables there.

Another variable is trained ITPs, independent third persons, are not always available, particularly in rural and regional areas. We are relying on a volunteer workforce. I get the difficulties in managing that, but they are not always available.

18 MR MOSHINSKY: So this issue of variable practice, which

19 I think you have indicated may in fact occur - - 20 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes.

21 MR MOSHINSKY: Is there any plan to look at that of trying to
22 improve the system?

23 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: Yes. So there's a ready reckoner which 24 we have out there which provides advice and guidance to 25 police officers. We are actually working with the Office 26 of Public Advocate to re-work that ready reckoner. So the 27 preference is that we are using a Public Advocate trained 28 independent third person for individuals with a cognitive 29 impairment.

30 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: So that ready reckoner, just to clarify,31 as I understand it what it does is provides the member

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

1 with some guidance as to whether the person might have a
2 cognitive impairment, because that's not always
3 immediately obvious; do I understand it correctly?
4 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: That's correct, and also refers the
5 member to the forensic medical officer if they have some
6 doubt to seek some advice.

7 MR MOSHINSKY: I have just been handed a sheet on a 8 confidential basis which I understand to be the ready 9 reckoner that you are referring to. Perhaps if I could 10 just pass forward that to you and to the Commissioners. 11 If you could just confirm that that is the document. Is 12 that the ready reckoner that you are referring to? 13 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: This is the existing document. We are

14 in the process of redrafting this.

15 MR MOSHINSKY: I see. Thank you.

16 SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: But that's still a live document, having 17 said that.

18 MR MOSHINSKY: Commissioners, those are the questions that19 I had for the witness.

20 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: I just had one question. 21 Throughout the hearings the Commission has heard of 22 circumstances where a young person is violent towards their parents in the family home. What are the 23 24 circumstances if a young person is a 15-year-old and the 25 police are called to the home to intervene with a 26 situation where that young person was being violent 27 towards a parent?

SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: There are a lot of variables in that scenario. Certainly police would look at any criminal aspects, first of all. So it would be following the code of practice effectively; so looking at either criminal

.DTI:MB/SK 11/08/15 Royal Commission

remedies or the civil remedies, an intervention order, or 1 2 looking at referral pathways is another option. DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: Would they be required to bring 3 4 in an independent person? SUPERINTENDENT ALLEN: If the young person was taken into 5 custody for interview by the police then there would be a 6 7 responsibility for police to contact an independent third person, which would be via the Centre for Multicultural 8 9 Youth, CMY, who provide the service for YRIPP; unless the young person had a cognitive impairment, then it would be 10 11 an independent third person via the Office of Public 12 Advocate. 13 DEPUTY COMMISSIONER NICHOLSON: Thank you. 14 MR MOSHINSKY: If there are no further questions, may the 15 witness please be excused. 16 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you very much, Superintendent. <(THE WITNESS WITHDREW) 17 MR MOSHINSKY: That completes the evidence for today. 18 COMMISSIONER NEAVE: Thank you, Mr Moshinsky. 19 20 ADJOURNED UNTIL WEDNESDAY, 12 AUGUST 2015 AT 9.30 AM 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31