Driver: Condoning of violence against women with disabilities

This includes excusing or justifying perpetrator behaviour, shifting blame to the victim, trivialising and downplaying violence, and using deficit language*.

*Deficit language is when disability is framed as a tragedy, a weakness, or an extreme and unusual way of existing. When used in the context of violence against women, deficit language imposes the idea that women with disabilities are 'better off dead,' or that violence against women with disabilities is inevitable, to be expected, or has a lesser impact.

X Victim blaming

Her disability makes her vulnerable to violence.

X Perpetrator excusing

He was just experiencing carer stress.

X Deficit language

She is severely disabled and has high needs.

X Trivialising violence

It was just a bit of rough handling.

X Deficit language

She has the mentality of a 3-year old.

X Trivialising violence

She doesn't know how good she has it. X Victim blaming

Her disability causes her to have wild mood swings.

X Perpetrator excusing

He's actually a really devoted carer.

X Trivialising violence

It was for her own good.

X Deficit language

She has a lower quality of life.

X Perpetrator excusing

He was depressed and overwhelmed from having to care for her.

X Victim blaming

She is too demanding about her needs.

X Victim blaming

She is a burden.

Perpetrator excusing

He's such a great guy for looking after her.

X Trivialising violence

Sometimes
you have to use
a bit of force
on someone
like that.

X Deficit language

She is "afflicted with" and "suffering from" her disability.

Men who hold such beliefs are more likely to perpetrate violence against women with disabilities, and both women and men who hold such beliefs are less likely to take action to support victims and hold perpetrators to account.

